



# <u>Quantum Graph Neural</u> <u>Networks for HEP</u>

Master Thesis presentation

**Thibault Desrousseaux** 

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. G. Dissertori

**Co-supervisor: Vasileios Belis** 

#### Introduction

- Two projects:
  - Computation of Feynman diagrams
  - Jet classification
- Goals:
  - Explore potential applications of Quantum Graph Neural Networks (QGNN) for HEP
  - Study QGNN properties, when combined with other methods (data reuploading, equivariance...)

## <u>Computation of Feynman</u> <u>diagrams</u>

## Aim of the project

- Compute scattering amplitude  $|\mathcal{M}|^2(G, p, \theta)$ 
  - Using a classical/quantum neural network
- Two goals:
  - Compute several diagrams with the same model
  - Combine diagrams to compute the total amplitude



#### **Previous Work**

- Classical approach: Mitchell & al.
  - Consider Feynman diagrams as graphs:
    - Edges: particles
    - Nodes: flow of time
  - Use classical graph ML methods (GAT+FNN) to compute the scattering amplitude
  - Limit:
    - They don't try to compute different amplitudes with the same model
    - They don't combine amplitudes when they want add diagrams





- Quantum approach: L. Ballerio
  - Encode the graph structure in a quantum circuit (feature map)
  - Trainable ansatz and observable
  - Idea: amplitudes add with interferences, like quantum systems



#### S-channel





#### As for the classical model, combined training do not work

#### Algorithm and results

- New ideas:
  - Use data reuploading:
    - Equivalent in simple cases to adding frequencies to the Fourier decomposition of the target function
  - Use of one trainable observable per channel





• How does the model improve with the number of reuploads?



- Which information in the encoding is *really* important?
  - Keep only the topology of the graph
  - Training works



- Is this encoding just a fancy switch?
  - Change the encoding into an angle encoding
  - The model do not train correctly





- Can the encoding work if the topology is the same?
  - Use  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  :
  - The model trains



• Is it possible to reproduce the results with the classical NN?



### <u>Computing the total amplitude?</u>

- Original hope: the algorithm would produce a state corresponding to the complexe amplitude:  $|\mathcal{M}_s\rangle$  or  $|\mathcal{M}_t\rangle$
- Squared amplitude:  $|\mathcal{M}_s|^2 = \langle \mathcal{M}_s | O | \mathcal{M}_s \rangle$
- Total amplitude (using LCU):

$$|\mathcal{M}_s + \mathcal{M}_t|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \langle \mathcal{M}_s | + \langle \mathcal{M}_t | \right) O\left( | \mathcal{M}_s \rangle + | \mathcal{M}_t \rangle \right)$$

- However:
  - Complexe amplitudes actually depend on the spins
  - There is a extra degree of freedom (relative phase)



- New QGNN able to perform both classification and regression at the same time
- Graph encoding combined with data reuploading is a promising method
- Showed that computing the total amplitude won't work
- Could we apply this algorithm to other problems?

## Jet Classification with a hybrid equivariant neural network



- Task: classification of five types of jets: q,t,W,Z,g
- 6 constituents per jet, for each constituent:  $p_T$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\phi$
- Inspired of an article by Moreno & al.
  - Graph structure :
    - Fully connected graph
    - Node: constituent
    - Edge: concatenation of the parameters of the two constituents





 For a group G, a function f is G-equivariant with respect to its representations ρ and ρ' if:

$$\forall g \in G, f \circ \rho(g) = \rho'(g) \circ f$$

- Less restrictive than invariance
- Recipe for the permutation group for qubits:
  - 1-qubit gate: apply it on all qubits
  - 2-qubit gate: apply it on all pairs of qubits

### The Hybrid Algorithm

- FNN applied to the edges
  - Transform the edge information for the quantum circuit
  - One hidden layer
  - The same FNN for all the edges
- The resulting graph is encoded in 5 different quantum classifiers
  - With data reuploading, and invariant observables
- The whole algorithm is permutation-equivariant



#### **The Classical layers**



LAYER

INPUT

LATENT REPRESENTATION

- Dimension:
  - Input: 6
  - Hidden layer: 12
  - Latent representation: 6

#### **The Quantum Classifier**



Ansatz

#### <u>Results</u>

- Size of the dataset:
  - S=1000/10000
- Number of reuploads:
  - N<sub>R</sub>=3/5
- Equivalent MLP: 47% accuracy

Model	Loss	Accuracy	Training time
$S = 10000, N_R = 3$	1.5454	0.5037	$2.724 \mathrm{~days}$
$S = 10000, N_R = 5$	1.5397	0.5269	$8.151 \mathrm{~days}$
$S = 1000, N_R = 3$	1.5547	0.4747	14.84 h
$S = 1000, N_R = 5$	1.5590	0.4929	1.152  day









 $acc(x, y) = 1(\operatorname{argmax}(x) = \operatorname{argmax}(y))$ 



- Two projects that illustrate the potential of reuploading for QGNN
  - In the case of Feynman diagrams: much better results than the other existing methods
  - Jet classification: need some more work to compare its performances to other methods

