Status and plans for the treatment of antibaryons in INCLXX, ...neutrinos, and uncertainties/errors





07-11 October 2024 - Catania

Plan

Antiprotons

- Antineutrons in INCL
- (light) Antinuclei in INCL

<mark>Bonus track</mark>

- Neutrino
- Uncertainties, errors (too early to discuss them, but...)



Why Antibaryons?

 \rightarrow We have been asked to implement antiproton as projectile

- by people from AD (Cern) at rest (low energy MeV) physics of anti-matter (% matter) new cross section measurements at ASACUSA
- by people from PANDA (FAIR) in-flight (higher energy GeV) study of $\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$ interaction

 \rightarrow And the GAPS (*) experiment might be interested in \overline{d} , 3 He .

(*) The General AntiParticle Spectrometer (GAPS) aims to study dark matter through sensitive observations of cosmic-ray antiprotons, antideuterons, and antihelium.



Antiprotons

In-flight E_{at rest}=200 MeV < E < 10 GeV



Main ingredients

- Cross sections
 - Elastic
 - Annihilation
 - Production
 - Charge exchange
- Final products (types; momenta)
- Potential (p)



Main ingredients

- Annihilation nucleon (p or n)
- Position of the Annihilation
- Final products (types; momenta)

In-flight E_{at rest}=200 MeV < E < 10 GeV

Multiplicities

- Charged particles (total) ~OK
- Charged particles (w/ K⁰) ~OK
- Charged particles (w/ Λ) To be improved

<u>Spectra</u>

neutron OK

Multiplicities

- π^{+/-}, n, α
- p
- d
- t, ³He
- Kaon

Particle Spectra

• OK

<u>Residue</u>s

• OK

At rest E < E_{at rest}= 200 MeV

- ОК
- ~underestimate
- ~overestimate
- underestimate
- To be understood



Multiplicities (charged particles)

<mark>p</mark> (4 GeV/c) + Ta

INFN 29th Geant4 Collaboration Meeting







In-flight

Spectra (neutron)

<mark>p</mark> (1.22 GeV) + ²⁷Al

p (1.22 GeV) + ²³⁸U



In-flight

Antibaryons in INCL...

CINFN 29th Geant4 Collaboration Meeting



Quite good, except a little too low multiplicities (4% too low)

 \rightarrow Lack of information on annihilation with (very) high meson multiplicity...?



Antibaryons in INCL...





At rest

INFN 29th Geant4 Collaboration Meeting

Antibaryons in INCL...



(INFN 29th Geant4 Collaboration Meeting

- ³He: underestimation (< x1.5)

• ⁴He: rather good

W. Markiel et al. Nuclear Physics A 485.3 (1988), 445–460.



At rest



(INFN 29th Geant4 Collaboration Meeting

• ³He: underestimation (< x1.5)

• ⁴He: rather good

<u>!</u>

Here for given kinetic ranges...
INC (→ Coalescence model?)
Deexcitation?

W. Markiel et al. Nuclear Physics A 485.3 (1988), 445–460.







P. L. McGaughey et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986), 2156–2159.

Shape ~OK

- π overestimate = artefact (INCL σ_{reac} too high here)
- p underestimate as previously seen



At rest

Residue production



Here, cumulative production (progenitors accounted for)

At rest

Not bad at all, is it?

(same reliabilty as in p + A)

E. F. Moser et al., Z. Phys.A – AtomicNuclei 333, 89-105 (1989)

Mass distributions $\overline{p} + {}^{98}Mo \rightarrow Z$



Antiprotons Status

In Geant4 (since Geant4-11.2)

•

- Rather good results,
 - but place to improvements
 - π high multiplicities (refinement)
 - p ~underestiamted
 - d overestimated; t and ³He underestimated
 - K K⁰ ~OK
 - K^{+/-} underestimated
 - Λ undestimated

new data from AD (ASACUSA) expected soon...

Some not-so-well-known ingredients ...

(potential, position of the annihilation, on which nucleon (n? p?) the annihilation...)

Antineutrons

Beyond ~500 MeV/c $\bar{n} = \bar{p}$

Below

- $ar{p}$ captured by electrons
- \bar{n} should not be...

But

INFN 29th Geant4 Collaboration Meeting

Exp. data exist only down to 100 MeV/c \rightarrow Below, extrapolation is bad!

And... From E. Friedman / Nuclear Physics A 925 (2014) 141–149

So 0 → 165 MeV/c 165 MeV/c → 500 MeV/c 500 MeV/c → ...

force annihilation fit $(\bar{n}) \neq$ fit (\bar{p}) $\bar{n} = \bar{p}$



Antibaryons in INCL...

Antiprotons

In-flight E_{at rest}=200 MeV < E < 10 GeV



Main ingredients

- Cross sections
 - Elastic
 - Annihilation
 - Production
 - Charge exchange
- Final products (types; momenta)
- Potential (p)



Main ingredients

- Annihilation nucleon (p or n)
- Position of the Annihilation

(overlap: wave function(\overline{p}) x nucleon density)

Final products (types; momenta)

Antineutrons

In-flight E_{at rest}=14 MeV < E < 10 GeV



Main ingredients

- Cross sections
 - Elastic
 - Annihilation
 - Production
 - Charge exchange
- Final products (types; momenta)
- Potential (n)

Main ingredients

- Annihilation nucleon (p or n)
- Position of the Annihilation

(approximated by a gaussian)

n

Final products (types; momenta)



« At rest »

 $E < E_{at rest} = 14 MeV$





From E. S. Golubeva and L. A. Kondratyuk, Nucl. Phys. B56, 103 (1997).

Antibaryons in INCL...

Antineutrons Results

Annihilation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$



- Underestimation
- Depending on
 - the target
 - the energy
- But encouraging

Antineutrons Results

Annihilation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$



- Underestimation
- Depending on
 - the target
 - the energy
- But encouraging
- ...and similar to other model



CINFN 29th Geant4 Collaboration Meeting

Lee and Wong. Phys. Rev. C 93, p. 014616 (2016)

Antibaryons in INCL...



Figure 29: Sections efficaces finales du Fer. Potentiel : 50 MeV, Seuil "Au repos" : 14 MeV. Points bleus et verts : Données expérimentales de Ref. [34]. Points oranges : Données en sortie d'INCL.

(INFN 29th Geant4 Collaboration Meeting

Antibaryons in INCL...

Antineutrons Results

Pion multiplicity

antineutron (750 MeV) on a target made of

	% of Target	
H1	66.8 %	
C12	26.6 %	
F19	5 %	
Br80	1.6 %	
Total (INCL)	100 %	
Total (Litt)	100 %	

	% of Target	π-	$\pi^+ + \pi^-$
Total (INCL)	100 %	0.98	2.73
Total (Litt)	100 %	1.23 ± 0.04	2.82 ± 0.07

- $\pi^+ + \pi^-$
- π⁻

- OK a little too low
- Well well well...

Antineutrons Status

- Probably not in Geant4 this year (some checks)
- Results comparable to others
- As with antiprotons, some not-so-well-known ingredients ... (potential, position of the annihilation, on which nucleon (n? p?) the annihilation...)

- INCL treats d, t, ³He, ⁴He-induced reactions (and more)
- Now \bar{n} and \bar{p} -induced reactions available
- So, why not \overline{d}_{1} , \overline{t}_{1} , $\overline{{}^{3}He}_{1}$, $\overline{{}^{4}He}$ -induced reactions?

It's in progress... but at an (very) early stage with antideuteron!

First results are encouranging.



preliminary results d̄(6.1 GeV/c per nucleon) + Ta multiplicity					
		π⁺/π⁻	р		
	Exp.	5.08 ± 0.08	7.26 ± 0.16		
	INCL	4.98	3.43		
	bias	0.04%	51.7%		

V. F. Andreyev et al., Il Nuovo Cimento A 103.8 (1990), pp. 1163–1176

29th Geant4 Collaboration Meeting

S.P. Denisov et al., Nuclear Physics B 31.2 (1971), pp. 253–260.

Antibaryons in INCL...

ALICE requirement UR-59

From talk of Marilena (Monday) • [1] Antideuteron inelastic c.s. (reevant results: Fig. 3 (c) and (d))

https://inspirehep.net/literature/1797442

INFN 29th Geant4 Collaboration Meeting

HEP data (tables 13-16): <u>https://www.hepdata.net/record/ins1797442</u>



- INCL treats d, t, ³He, ⁴He-induced reactions (and more)
- Now \bar{n} and \bar{p} -induced reactions available



- INCL treats d, t, ³He, ⁴He-induced reactions (and more)
- Now \bar{n} and \bar{p} -induced reactions available



Just for informations...

(linked to Geant4 on the medium and long term)



Neutrinos

In some neutrino experiments, Energy of the neutrino is known thanks to v-Nucleus interaction products BUT Increasing precision of the experiments means better/refined results in v-Nucleus interactions Then Need to use models known to treat well Final State Interaction (FSI) Reminder (several type of interactions) QE (CCQE – NCQE) Quasi-elastic (Charge/Neutral Current) RES Resonant (Δ)

Consequences for INCL

DIS

	2019	2020-2023	2024	2024	2024
Who	GENIE	A. Ershova (Thesis CEA)	Antoine L.T. Internship (CEA)	GENIE	NEUT
Link/Goal	Contact	v-oscillation exp. INCL to treat FSI	CCQE in INCL	New contact	Contact
Work	Implementing INCL	NuWro v-N INCL FSI	It works Some points to be understood	Implementation OK? Used within Geant4?	Implementing INCL

Deep Inelastic Scatering (higher resonances)

Antibaryons in INCL...

Uncertainties, errors

- At the 23rd Geant4 Collaboration Meeting (2018 in Lund)
 - A presentation on the optimization of parameter thanks to Bayesian statistics... Also the idea to determine the bias (error) of the model
- Difficulties
 - Building the tools From the stand-alone model to the use in Geant4
- Status for INCL

A project (NuRBS: Nuclear Reaction model improvement with Bayesian Statistics) has been funded (2024->2027) – CEA & Bern U. (and IAEA+Coruña U.) Goals:

- Building tools for biasing and parameter optimisation
- Applying them to INCL and ABLA for several cases
- Next steps propogate errors in Geant4...?



Projet-ANR-23-CE31-0008



Conclusions

- Antiprotons
 - In Geant4 (since Geant4-11.2)
 - room for improvements
 - Some not-so-well-known ingredients \rightarrow Nurbs project could help
- Antineutrons
 - Not yet in Geant4 (almost ready, but some checks are necessary)
 - Improvements (see antiprotons)
- Antideuterons and heavier
 - Work has started only... (but encouraging)





And thanks to the students

D. Zharenov

O. Lourgo

A. Ershova

A. Legendre-Terrolle

(antiprorons + antineutrons)
(antineutrons + antideuterons)
(neutrino in INCL using NuWro)
(neutrino CCQE in INCL)

and J. Hirtz who gave advices



This project has received funding from the *European Union's Horizon 2020 research* and innovation programme under grant agreement No 800945 — NUMERICS — H2020-MSCA-COFUND-2017

Backup



References

Most of the work presented here come from the thesis of Demid Zharenov, where all references are available (exp. data, input ingredients, etc.)

https://theses.hal.science/tel-04511526



At rest - Choice of nucleon to annihilate

More on proton than neutron

Group	S_p/S_n
Rome-Syracuse[Bar+64] Berkeley[CK66] Padova-Pisa[Bet+67]	$\begin{array}{c} 1.31 \pm 0.03 \\ 1.33 \pm 0.07 \\ 1.45 \pm 0.07 \end{array}$

Il Nuovo Cimento A (1965-1970) 53.4 (Feb. 1968), pp. 956–968

We

know

And, for a same experiment

 $S_p/S_n(D_2)$ between 57 and 170 MeV can range between 1.113 and 1.369

R. Bizzarri

use

We

$$S_p/S_n(Z, A) = S_p/S_n(D_2) \frac{Z}{A - Z}$$

 $S_p/S_n(D_2) = 1.331$

Antimatter-Nucleus reactions with the INCL code



At rest - Position of annihilation

• pbar

Captured in a high Bohr orbit Cascades toward the nucleus Stops/annihilates at a given « n »





Antimatter-Nucleus reactions with the INCL code

At rest - Position of annihilation

• pbar

Captured in a high Bohr orbit Cascades toward the nucleus Stops/annihilates at a given « n »

 Determination of « n » (fits from exp. Data)





Antimatter-Nucleus reactions with the INCL code

At rest - Position of annihilation

• pbar

Captured in a high Bohr orbit Cascades toward the nucleus Stops/annihilates at a given « n »

- Determination of « n » (fits from exp. Data)
- Position of annihiliation
 When overlap of nuclear density and antiprotonic radial density



$$P_{neutronic}(r) = N_{nl} \times \rho_n \times r^2 \times |R_{n,l}|^2$$

$$R_{n,l=n-1} = ((2n)!)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{2}{na}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{2r}{na}\right)^{(n-1)} \exp\left(\frac{-r}{na}\right)$$
$$N_{nl} = \frac{2}{n^2} \sqrt{\frac{(n-l-1)!}{((n+l)!)^3}} \quad \text{(a is the Bohr radius)}$$

Antimatter-Nucleus reactions with the INCL code



At rest - Final states

- In INCL we consider only π , η , ω and K (ρ goes directly to decay products)
- Kaon frequency is put at 5%
 - 2 old values
 6.82 +/- 0.25 % and 4.74 +/- 0.22 %
 « Recent » one
 5.4 +/- 1.7 %
- Final states with π , η , ω taken from
 - E.S. Golubeva et al. Nuclear Physics A 537 (1992), 393–417.
 and with K from
 - Eberhard Klempt et al. Physics Reports 413 (2005), 197–317.

Channel	Р	robability (%)
$\eta\eta \ \eta\omega \ \omega\omega \ \pi^+\pi^- \ \pi^0\pi^0 \ \pi^+ ho^- \ \pi^- ho^+ \ \pi^0 ho^0 \ ho^- ho^+ \ ho^0 ho^0 ho^- ho^+$	Example Of Final States	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \ (1) \\ 0.34 \ (1) \\ 1.57 \ (1) \\ 0.40 \ (1) \\ 0.02 \ (1) \\ 1.52 \ (1) \\ 1.52 \ (1) \\ 1.57 \ (1) \\ 3.37 \ (2) \\ 0.67 \ (1) \end{array}$





Reaction Cross section



Dashed curves: at rest normalization

$$\sigma_{reac} = \pi R^2 \left(1 + \frac{Z e^2 (m_{\bar{p}} + M_{target})}{4\pi\epsilon_0 E_{kin} R M_{target}} \right)$$





Antimatter-Nucleus reactions with the INCL code

Antiprotons in INCL Results

Table 5.4: Particle multiplicities for a given energy range after antiproton annihilation. The top value in each cell is taken from [Mar+88], statistical error in superscript, while systematic is subscript, error values are given with respect to the last digit (e.g. $74.2^{\pm 38}_{\pm 38} \equiv 74.2^{\pm 0.3}_{\pm 3.8}$). The second value is the INCL, the red is FTF and the blue is FLUKA. The FLUKA and FTF results were kindly provided by Angela Gligorova (Stefan Meyer Institute).

range(MeV)	C12	Ca4o	Cu63	Mo92	Mog8	U238
p (6-18)	23.3 ^{±2} 21.2 3.0 18.3	74.2 ^{±3} 122.2 6.7 30.2	94.5 ^{±4} 115.3	127.2 ^{±4} 155.6	124.3 ^{±3} 98.5	76.6 ^{±3} 34.9
d (8-24)	9.3±1 19.9 0.0 13.1	18.1 ^{±2} 25.6 0.0 19.1	28.0 ^{±2} 31.0	29.0 ^{±2} 34.1	30.4 ^{±2} 29.9	31.3 ^{±2} 14.9
t (11-29)	4.5 ^{±1} 5.4 0.0 5.0	5.7 ^{±1} 5.0 0.0 8.1	$9.9^{\pm 1}_{\pm 8}$ 8.4	11.8 ^{±1} 8.7	12.7±1 10.6	18.8 ^{±2} 12.1
³ He (30-70)	1.72 ^{±4} 1.74 0.0 2.0	2.22 ^{±5} 1.59 0.1 0.2	$2.60^{\pm 6}_{\pm 21}$ 1.62	2.33 ^{±5} 1.58	2.06 ^{±4} 1.25	2.66 ^{±6} ±84 1.03
⁴ He (30-70)	1.14 ^{±3} 1.32 12.0 2.5	2.18 ^{±5} 2.67 4.0 1.6	$3.25^{\pm 7}_{\pm 26}$ 4.04	3.78 ^{±6} 4.69	3.69 ^{±6} ±17 4.57	5.94 ^{±9} 7.66
⁶ He (39-89)	0.025 ^{±5} 0.022	0.045 ^{±7} 0.046	0.048 ^{±8} 0.083	0.061 ^{±8} 0.077	$0.060^{\pm 8}_{\pm 3}$ 0.111	0.150 ^{±20} ±50 0.194
⁸ He (44-90)	0.0041 ^{±18} 0.0	$0.014^{\pm 4}_{\pm 1}$ 0.004	0.0094 ^{±36} 0.017	$0.011^{\pm 3}_{\pm 1}$ 0.021	0.013 ^{±4} 0.036	0.041 ^{±8} 0.088
Li (61-96)	0.017 ^{±4} 0.003	0.075 ^{±9} 0.022	0.058 ^{±9} 0.051	0.086 ^{±9} 0.054	0.083 ^{±9} 0.067	$0.180^{\pm 16}_{\pm 60}\\ \textbf{0.120}$

Multiplicities p to ⁴He, even beyond (comparisons to FLUKA, FTF)

INCL is clearly competitive



Antimatter-Nucleus reactions with the INCL code



Antiprotons in INCL Results

Spectra π^+ & p



Figure 5.6: Antinucleon σ_{reac} at low energies on carbon. In orange the antineutron values, in blue those for antiproton. The points are the experimental data. The continuous lines represent the calculations with the optical potential model. The dashed lines are from the calculations with the extended Glauber model. The dotted-dashed lines are preliminary calculations obtained by means of a phenomenological optical model whose parameters are tuned to reproduce the N-nucleus annihilation data. Red line is the formula used in INCL from Ref.[Bia+11]. The original plot is taken from Ref.[Agh+18].

> **Antimatter-Nucleus reactions** with the INCL code



do/dp, mb/(MeV/c)

 10^{-1}

100