# 

#### Measurements of the effect of the plasma density step on electron energy gain

AWAKE Collaboration Meeting 06.11.24

F. Pannell and the AWAKE Collaboration

#### Introduction

#### The magnetic spectrometer Design recap, optics upgrades

#### Acceleration with density steps

The plunger experiment, requirements

#### First look: Preliminary results 2024 Energy gain with uniform and steps



### The magnetic spectrometer

## Design recap

The main diagnostic to study the accelerated electrons

Quadrupole doublet → electron bunch focusing C-shaped dipole → separate electrons from protons Scintillator screen → electron impact causes scintillation Camera systems → capture the scintillation light Integrating current transformer → charge calibration

Measurements of the energy, energy spread, charge

Run 2b: Upgrades to the imaging systems for measurements of the transverse emittance



#### **UCL**

#### New installation: High resolution camera



### Camera systems

#### **Intensified camera**



Position from screen: 17m (via mirrors) Camera: Andor iStar 340T Lens: Nikon AF-S Nikkor Focal length: 400mm Field of view: ~1m Pixel size (image): 13.5um Pixel size (object): ~0.5mm

#### Camera array



Position from screen: 1.2m, -30° Camera: Basler aCA 1920-40gm Lens: Fujinon HF75HA-1S Focal length: 75mm Field of view: 0.15m Pixel size (image): 5.86um Pixel size (object): ~80um

#### High resolution camera



Run 2b  $\rightarrow$  present

Position from screen: 0.25m, +40° Camera: Basler aCA 1920-40gm Lens: Edmund Optics DG Focal length: 75mm Field of view: ~0.02m Pixel size (image): 5.86um Pixel size (object): ~10um

#### Camera systems

#### **Intensified camera**



**Camera array** 



#### High resolution camera











### Acceleration with density steps

#### **UCL**

### Reminder: First look at density steps (2023)

Plasma density:  $6x10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>(step 2.3% at 1.75m)

Proton bunch population: 3e11

RIF -100ps, electron delay -300ps, injection 2m









#### **UCL**

#### Requirements: Consistent acceleration

Due to the number of shots of the laser pulse onto the foil (~500), we must limit the shots on each plunger (10 per experiment).

We must be in as **stable** a configuration as possible

This includes:

- Capture frequency as close as possible to 100%
- Minimal shot-to-shot energy variation



XUCL-SPECTRO 2e14, uniform RIF: 0ps









#### Requirements: Sufficient charge capture

























## First look: Preliminary results 2024

Disclosure: Very fresh results!!

#### Example signals across the scans



 $2x10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, uniform

#### Analysis approach



There are multiple ways to approach this analysis:

- Observing the energy of the charge peak (done here)
- Observing the peak (e.g. 95<sup>th</sup> percentile) in the energy distribution
- Observing the mean and rms of the energy distribution (charge weighted)

All analysis approaches will be completed and compared.

Looking at the charge peak was chosen first, as it is the least sensitive to background subtraction techniques.

## Acceleration at $2x10^{14}$ cm<sup>-3</sup>

Parameters for this experiment:

- Plasma density 2x10<sup>14</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>
- Proton RIF: +100ps
- Electron delay: -100ps (uniform) -200ps (step)
- Injection z: 1.5m
- Density step: ~4% at 1.25m

Clear signal seen up to plunger 6 (5.5m) Very consistent acceleration, charge peak energy jitter is minimal



- 10 shots directed onto each plunger
- Data points: The mean of the charge peaks
- Error bars: Standard deviation of the charge peaks

## Acceleration at $2x10^{14}$ cm<sup>-3</sup>

Parameters for this experiment:

- Plasma density 2x10<sup>14</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>
- Proton RIF: +100ps
- Electron delay: -100ps (uniform) -200ps (step)
- Injection z: 1.5m
- Density step: ~4% at 1.25m

Clear signal seen up to plunger 6 (5.5m) Very consistent acceleration, charge peak energy jitter is minimal

With the density step, the overall energy gain is greater Seems consistent with theory and 2023 observations

The gradient at uniform *could* be tapering, but it's unconvincing for now

The gradient appears constant with the step



- 10 shots directed onto each plunger
- Data points: The mean of the charge peaks
- Error bars: Standard deviation of the charge peaks

## Acceleration at $4x10^{14}$ cm<sup>-3</sup>

Parameters for this experiment:

- Plasma density 4x10<sup>14</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>
- Proton RIF: +200ps
- Electron delay: -400ps
- Injection z: 1.5m
- Density step: ~2% at 1.25m

Clear signal seen up to plunger 8 (7.5m) in uniform Acceleration reasonably consistent, charge peak jitter still small Higher energy gain than 2e14 Tapering of the gradient is more convincing



- 10 shots directed onto each plunger
- Data points: The mean of the charge peaks
- Error bars: Standard deviation of the charge peaks

## Acceleration at $4x10^{14}$ cm<sup>-3</sup>

Parameters for this experiment:

- Plasma density 4x10<sup>14</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>
- Proton RIF: +200ps
- Electron delay: -400ps
- Injection z: 1.5m
- Density step: ~2% at 1.25m

Clear signal seen up to plunger 8 (7.5m) in uniform Acceleration reasonably consistent, charge peak jitter still small Higher energy gain than 2e14 Tapering of the gradient is more convincing

Clear signal seen up to plunger 7 (6.5m) with a step With the density step, the overall energy gain is again greater

The gradient with the density step is also tapering similarly to uniform, which may suggest an alternative step would be better



- 10 shots directed onto each plunger
- Data points: The mean of the charge peaks
- Error bars: Standard deviation of the charge peaks

## Acceleration at $7x10^{14}$ cm<sup>-3</sup>

Parameters for this experiment:

- Plasma density 7x10<sup>14</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>
- Proton RIF: 0ps (uniform) +200ps (step)
- Electron delay: -320ps (uniform) -300ps (step)
- Injection z: 1.5m
- Density step: ~1.8% at 1.25m

Clear signal seen up to plunger 6 (5.5m) in uniform Higher energy than 2e14 and 4e14

Acceleration is less consistent, charge peak energy jitters

There is little observed acceleration in the final ~5 meters of uniform plasma



- 10 shots directed onto each plunger
- Data points: The mean of the charge peaks
- Error bars: Standard deviation of the charge peaks

## Acceleration at $7x10^{14}$ cm<sup>-3</sup>

Parameters for this experiment:

- Plasma density 7x10<sup>14</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>
- Proton RIF: 0ps (uniform) +200ps (step)
- Electron delay: -320ps (uniform) -300ps (step)
- Injection z: 1.5m
- Density step: ~1.8% at 1.25m

Clear signal seen up to plunger 6 (5.5m) in uniform Higher energy than 2e14 and 4e14

Acceleration is less consistent, charge peak energy jitters

There is little observed acceleration in the final ~5 meters of uniform plasma

With the density step, we have acceleration in the final  ${\sim}5$  meters of plasma, but the gradient is low

Looking to answer:

- Are the higher fields there, but we cannot inject into them?
- Or are the fields not higher with this chosen step?



- 10 shots directed onto each plunger
- Data points: The mean of the charge peaks
- Error bars: Standard deviation of the charge peaks



## Summary

A key goal for AWAKE Run 2b is to demonstrate the effect of the density step on the wakefield amplitude

The plunger experiments allow us to study the energy gain as a function of the plasma length:

- We have plunger scan results, comparing uniform and a density step, at three different plasma densities (2e14, 4e14, 7e14)
- Low density experiments seem more consistent with theoretical expectations
- High density experiments remain challenging, with greater variations in energy and charge capture

Analysis continues including:

- Measurements of the energy gain with plasma length (peak charge, peak energy, mean energy)
- Accelerated charge over the plasma length
- Single shot emittance measurements, including the effect of the plasma exit ramp



#### Thanks for listening!





#### Backup slides



#### Camera array stitching







2000

#### <sup>•</sup>UCL

#### Experimental update

## The analysis approach

Obtain the 7-camera, corrected and stitched image of the acceleration event

Apply a background subtraction to enhance the signal. Used here: strong background subtraction based off fits to various AWAKE proton conditions

Identify location of peak in the image. Done here by sampling random intervals, optimising and binning.

Convert the image from pixels to energy via the spectrometer position to energy conversion model

Convert the 1D pixel array of the event into energy via the spectrometer position to energy conversion model



#### The experimental approach

Select the configuration (RIF and eDelay) that suits the uniform and density step case

Check stability and reproducibility under standard accelerating conditions (no foils, full 10 meters)

Check charge capture through LBDP2, to assess how likely signal will be seen through a plunger

10 laser shots delivered on each plunger, until no hint of a signal remains. For each plunger:

- The spectrometer quadrupoles are shifted in accordance with the altered spectrometer geometry and predicted energy gain.
- The spectrometer dipole is moved to place the signal, regardless of energy, on SPECTRO2. This is to minimise over-dispersing weaker signals, as well as avoiding the highest radiation camera.





Experimental update

#### Grid scans

Summary: 7e14

Note: vmax the same to allow for intensity comparison

Injection 1.5m, RIF + 200

#### 0.7% @ 1.25m

#### 1.4% @ 1.25m

**SPECTRO ARRAY** 

#### 1.8% @ 1.25m



October 4<sup>th</sup> 2024