PDFs and SMEFT

A study of the interplay of Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs) and BSM signals in global fits

Work with Juan Rojo, Maria Ubiali and her group:

[Hammou et al., 2307.10370, JHEP]

[Costantini et al., 2402.03308]

[Hammou and Ubiali, 2410.00963]

[Hammou, Rojo and Ubiali, Forthcoming]

Elie Hammou, University of Cambridge LHeC workshop, Nov 2024





European Research Council

Established by the European Commission



PBSP X

Tension between high energy data Comparison of PDFs trained on different datasets



2

Impact on the PDFs **Comparison between SMEFT and SM PDFs**

Risk assessment

- Toy model with pseudodata
- Inject BSM physics
- Use it for PDF fit
- Compare PDF with baseline
- Can we recover the new physics?

[Hammou et al., 2307.10370, JHEP]







Missing new physics Impact of the choice of PDF on SMEFT fits







Future low energy data **Presentation of the future DIS programmes**

Electron Ion Collider

- e^+/e^- projectiles
- proton, deuteron and heavy ions targets
- Hosted in Brookhaven
- Planned for 2030s
- Probes large-x, low-energy

Forward Physics Facility

- "Neutrino Ion collider" at the LHC
- $\nu/\bar{\nu}$ projectiles from proton beam
- proton, neutron and other nuclear targets
- FASER ν and SND@LHC already running
- Proposed expansion for HL-LHC run (FASER ν 2, AdvSND, FLArE)
- Probes large-x, low-energy
- <u>Constrain large-x antiquarks</u>

Future low energy data **Kinematic coverage**







Recovering the signs of new physics BSM data versus SM theory predictions



Kinematic coverage of LHeC



- Probes large-x
- Probes higher energies
 - Bigger SMEFT corrections

Same problem as with **HL-LHC** data



Constraining PDFs with LHeC data From literature 10.21468/SciPostPhys.7.4.051, Khalek, Bailey, Gao, Harland-Lang, Rojo PDFs at the HL-LHC (Q = 10 GeV) 1.15 PDF4LHC15 0.025 + LHeC [Jel] (x, Q) / g (x, Q) [ref] 0.95 1.1 + HL-LHC NNPDF4.0) 0.020 α(Ratio to 0.015 · 0.010 0.005 10^{-4} 10^{-3} 10^{-5} 0.9 10⁻⁵ 10⁻² 10⁻⁴ 10^{-3} 10⁻¹ х



4-Fermion SMEFT corrections

10.1103/PhysRevD.106.016006, Boughezal et al. 10.1103/PhysRevD.108.075007, Bissoloti, Boughezal and Simsek



DIS Neutral-Current corrections



Charged-Current not yet computed



Impact of \mathcal{O}_{la}^3 on EIC and LHeC projections



-	x	=	5.0e-06
-	x	=	8.5e-06
	x	=	2.0e-05
	x	=	5.0e-05
-	x	=	8.5e-05
	x	=	2.0e-04
-	x	=	5.0e-04
	x	=	8.5e-04
	x	=	2.0e-03
-	x	=	5.0e-03
-	x	=	8.5e-03
	x	=	2.0e-02
	x	=	5.0e-02
	x	=	8.5e-02
	x	=	2.0e-01
←	x	=	3.0e-01
	x	=	4.0e-01
•	x	=	5.0e-01
	x	=	6.0e-01
	x	=	7.0e-01
-	x	=	8.0e-01

Simultaneous fit of PDF and new physics Presentation of the tool: SIMUnet



[Iranipour et Ubiali, 2201.07240]

Bounds on \mathcal{O}_{la}^3 from LHeC projections







Plan for the study

- Implement all NC SMEFT operators
- Compare SMEFT bounds with literature
- Add CC SMEFT corrections
- Fit simultaneously SMEFT and PDF
 - Assess impact on SMEFT bounds
 - Study PDF constraining potential
 - Assess BSM and PDF interplay at LHeC and FCC-eh

Summary

- Fitting PDFs in the presence of new physics
 - Risk of absorbing it
- Adding low-energy large-x data
 - Reduce PDF uncertainty
 - Can prevent new physics absorption
- The LHeC study:
 - Reduces uncertainty in gluon PDF
 - SMEFT studies partially performed for Olq3
 - SMEFT-PDF interplay study necessary and ongoing

You can contact me at: eh651@cam.ac.uk

Thank you for your attention!



Extra slides

New physics scenario: W'Generation of the pseudodata







[PBSP, forthcoming] **PDF** Fit ud + du luminosity $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$ 1.10**EXAMPLE** Baseline (68% c.l.+1 σ) **Contaminated W=8e-5 (68% c.l.+1\sigma)** Contaminated W=8e-5, Simu fit (68% c.l.+1 σ) 1.05 iseline 1.00 σ Ш to Ratio 0.95 0.90 $0.85 + 10^{1}$ 10² 10³ m_X (GeV)

18



Constraining PDFs with LHeC data From literature 10.21468/SciPostPhys.7.4.051, Khalek, Bailey, Gao, Harland-Lang, Rojo PDFs at the HL-LHC (Q = 10 GeV) 1.15 NNPDF4.0 (68% c.l.) PDF4LHC15 1.015 -NNPDF4.0 + LHeC (68% c.l.) [Jel] (x, Q) / g (x, Q) [ref] 0.95 1.010 1.1 + HL-LHC 0.41.005 1.000 to Ratio 0.995 0.990 0.985 - 10^{-4} 10^{-3} 10^{-5} 0.9 10⁻⁵ 10⁻² 10^{-4} 10⁻¹ 10⁻³





Apparition of fake deviations Impact on predictions for other sectors

Theory predictions (red band):

• SMEFT PDFs + SM

Data (blue dots):

• True PDFs + SM

Fake deviation from SM

Also seen in WH, WZ, ZH production

HL-LHC Projections



Impact on the PDF contamination Flagging the BSM data

 $u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}$ luminosity $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV





List of deviations

	HL-LHC		Stat. improved	
Dataset	$\mid \chi^2/n_{ m dat}$	$\mid n_{\sigma}$	$\mid \chi^2/n_{ m dat}$	n_{σ}
W^+H	1.17	0.41	1.77	1.97
W^-H	1.08	0.19	1.08	0.19
W^+Z	1.08	0.19	1.49	1.20
W^-Z	0.99	-0.03	1.02	0.05
ZH	1.19	0.44	1.67	1.58
W^+W^-	2.19	3.04	2.69	4.31
$\mathrm{VBF} \to \mathrm{H}$	0.70	-0.74	0.62	-0.90

Shift of the contamination threshold From the fit quality

Not a complete solution:

Smaller deviations can still be absorbed

risk at higher BSM mass

Reduction of the "blindspot":



HL-LHC CC DY 14 TeV (EIC + FPF)





Shift of the contamination threshold Impact on PDF luminosities

 $u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}$ luminosity $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV



$u\bar{d} + d\bar{u}$ luminosity $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV



New physics scenarios: Z'Generation of the pseudodata $rac{1}{5}$

$$\mathscr{L}_{SMEFT}^{Z'} = \mathscr{L}_{SM} - \frac{g_{Z'}^2}{2M_{Z'}^2} J^{\mu}_{Y} J_{Y,\mu}$$

$$J_Y^{\mu} = \sum_{f} Y_f \bar{f} \gamma^{\mu} f$$



Impacts neutral current Drell-Yan processes

$$p\bar{p} \rightarrow l^+ l^-$$



Constraints from current data

• New physics scenarios compared to constraints at 95% CL

1

-1

 \hat{Y} (×10⁴)

3



 $Z^{'}$





