## Reconstruction in ALLEGRO

Giovanni Marchiori (APC Paris)



6 November 2024



## The ALLEGRO concept

- A Lepton-Lepton collider Experiment with Granular Read-Out
  - IDEA-like tracking system (VTX+DCH+Si wrapper), with possible replacement DCH->Straws
  - Highly granular noble-liquid ECAL inside solenoid
    - Pb/W+LAr (or denser W+LKr)
    - Coil inside same cryostat as LAr
  - CALICE-like or TileCal-like HCAL outside solenoid
  - Light coil (0.76 X<sub>0</sub>) + low-material cryostat < 0.1X<sub>0</sub>
  - SiPMs directly on Scintillator or TileCal: WS fibres, SiPMs outside
- Detector design optimisation not complete yet needs full simulation & reconstruction algorithms beforehand!
- Full detector implementation in DD4hep/key4hep recently completed (detailed vtx+wrapper, ECAL endcap)



## ALLEGRO ECAL

- highly granular calorimeter with absorbers planes inclined in r-phi (barrel) / arranged in turbine-like structure (endcap)
- readout by multi-layer segmented PCB planes alternated to Pb absorbers, gaps in between filled with LAr
- Some dimensions for the barrel: 11 longitudinal layers,  $\Delta \theta \sim 10$  (2.5) mrad for regular (L1 strip) cells,  $\Delta \phi \sim 8$  mrad



barrel





endcap

PCB (readout)





## The ALLEGRO simulation

Based on DD4hep & Geant4



Giovanni Marchiori





# ALLEGRO reconstruction: tracking

- Tracking in current ALLEGRO simulations is not yet ready
  - Hits are available, produced by applying Gaussian smearing to truth-level hits in trackers
  - Track reconstruction from hits not yet implemented
    - Significant work ongoing on ML-based tracking for IDEA (see talk by Andrea), could be ported with little effort to ALLEGRO once finalised
- As a proxy, for the time being, to enable starting p-flow reconstruction studies, reconstruction-level tracks are produced by cloning the generator-level tracks



## ALLEGRO reconstruction: calorimetry

- and applying a sampling fraction correction to the cell energy for an initial calibration
  - Implemented for all calorimeter sub detectors (ECAL and HCAL barrel and endcaps)
  - detectors)
    - based on detector geometry and detailed electric field simulations of the cells
    - Crosstalk: see talk by Zhibo in parallel session yesterday
- High-level reconstruction: two clustering algorithms implemented so far, fixed-sized and topoclusters
  - => build clusters of fixed size
  - => build topologically connected clusters of variable size
  - (ECAL+HCAL => seeds for jet reconstruction)
    - implementation for endcaps

• **Digitisation** is implemented by summing all G4 hits within a given readout cell, defined by the detector readout granularity,

• Recent addition of past months: emulation of noise and x-talk in ECAL barrel (to be followed soon by other sub

• Noise: addition of random Gaussian-distributed noise energy per cell, starting from calculations of expected noise

• Fixed-size: scan theta x phi space with sliding window of constant size to identify local maxima in energy deposition

• Topological clusters: search for seed cells with S/N>T\_seed, attach neighbouring cells with S/N > T\_neighbours

Both algorithm can be configured to use cells from only one subsystem (e.g. ECAL-only "EM" clusters) or both

• SW implemented for all configurations; topoclustering working so far for ECAL/HCAL barrels; work ongoing on



## ALLEGRO reconstruction: calorimetry

260 	1																															260	
255 255													•	•	•		••	•			•											255	
250													•	•	•		•	•	•													250	
245														•			• •			•	,											245	
240														•	•		• •		ŀ	•												240	
													•	•	•		•	• •	·	•													
235													•	•	•		•		•	•												235	
230														•	•		• •	•	•	•												230	
														•	•		••	•	•														
225															•			•		•												225	
220																																220	
																				<u> </u>	_		/			/							
												0					10			20				30									

### ECAL-only SW cluster (photon)



### Joint ECAL+HCAL topocluster (pion)

## Cluster properties and performance: energy calibration

- BDT-regression-based calibration implemented
  - Inputs: energy fraction in each layer, total energy, cluster barycentre theta-phi
  - Target: E\_cluster/E\_particle
  - that one does not need to persist cell-level info
  - BDT trained with external tool, output saved to portable ONNX format, that can be read out in Gaudi



• Energy fractions are calculated by Gaudi algorithm and saved as cluster decorations (shapeParameters in EDM4hep), so

• Calibration can be applied by another Gaudi algorithm in all subsequent simulations and saved as cluster decoration **Energy Resolution** 



Reconstruction in ALLEGRO (calorimeters) - 6/11/2024



## Cluster properties and performance: photon identification

- BDT-based photon ID algorithm implemented

  - Target: binary classification with maximum area under curve
  - BDT trained with <u>external tool</u>, output saved to portable ONNX format, that can be read out in Gaudi •
  - Inference can be applied by another Gaudi algorithm in subsequent simulations and BDT score saved in output
  - Model trained for photons vs pi0s with 1<p<100 GeV, used to compare alternative detector</li>
  - Starting to assess impact of x-talk (==> Zhibo) & noise



Giovanni Marchiori

• Inputs: longitudinal/lateral shower shapes from cell energies, calculated/saved as shape parameters by Gaudi algorithm



## Cluster properties and performance: position and direction

• Position (overall and vs layer): response in theta from cell energy barycentre with linear weights (E\_cell) show clear dependence on impact position, as also seen in ATLAS, due to finite cell granularity



- Offline study performed shows that this can be corrected e.g. with ad-hoc correction/regression
- Alternatively, similar performance has been observed using max(log(E\_cell/ E\_layer), w0) weights
- cluster shapeParameters
- direction (e.g. non-pointing photons)



• Layer-by-layer barycenters are now calculated by Gaudi algorithm with sets of w0s that optimise resolution and saved as

• Next-step: determine theta, phi energy resolutions vs layer and use layer barycenters and resolutions to reconstruct particle





## Conclusion

- Basic algorithms for cluster reconstruction, calibration and identification in calorimeter implemented
  - But not for all sub detectors (missing topoclusters for ECAL/HCAL barrels)
- and have more stable results in the coming months
- Impact of noise and x-talk on the performance of these basic algorithms starts to be assessed
- Correction for cluster barycentre position (overall and layer-by-layer) also in place
  - Next: reconstruct cluster direction from layer barycentres without assuming projectivity from IP
- Beyond all this: more holistic approach to reconstruction and identification planned
  - Particle-flow
  - Machine learning techniques

• Now that full detector model is in place, we can hopefully start to spend less time on software itself and more on physics,