



Finanziato
dall'Unione europea
NextGenerationEU



Ministero
dell'Università
e della Ricerca



Italiadomani
PIANO NAZIONALE
DI RIPRESA E RESILIENZA



EPSI R&D: Developing an Innovative Electron-Positron Discrimination Technique for Space Applications

PRIN2022C5PHBB PNRR M4.C2.1.1

Giacomo De Giorgi

University of Florence and INFN - Florence
on behalf of the EPSI collaboration

ICNFP 2025


Kolymbari, 17th – 31st July 2025

Motivation

Positron Fraction

(PAMELA, AMS-02, Fermi-LAT)

Spectrometric experiments




Positron excess with respect to pure secondary production may indicate the possible presence of a primary positron source (Pulsar/SNR/DM?): To better understand this excess, it is important to extend the current measurement above 1 TeV

Spectrometer-based experiments are ideal for this measurement but are limited in energy by technological issues, which may be overcome in the long term only by complex instruments like the ones proposed by ALADInO/AMS100 projects

Motivation

Electron+Positron Flux (CALET, DAMPE,... HERD) Calorimetric experiments




Calorimeter-based experiments are ideal for the extension of the current measurements to higher energies, hence present (CALET, DAMPE) and near-future (HERD) experiments will be based on a large calorimetric instrument

However, calorimeter-based experiments *cannot* intrinsically distinguish electrons from positrons: the electron+positron flux can be measured, but the information that we can get on the positron excess is very indirect and uncertain.

Goal Develop an electron-positron discrimination technique that can be employed in a calorimeter-based experiment

Basic Idea



Since cosmic-ray experiments typically operate in Low Earth Orbits (LEO), we can exploit the particle bending due to the **geomagnetic field**

Knowing the structure of the geomagnetic field in a given point of the orbit, the simultaneous detection of the electron/positron and the emitted **synchrotron photons** is enough to univocally identify the charge sign of the detected particle.

Is it a new idea?

Originally
proposed
in 1972!

THE POSSIBILITY OF REGISTERING PRIMARY COSMIC ELECTRONS BY MEANS OF SYNCHROTRON RADIATION IN THE GEOMAGNETIC FIELD

O.F. Prilutskii

Moscow Engineering Physics Institute

Submitted 22 August 1972

ZhETF Pis. Red. 16, No. 8, 452 - 454 (20 October 1972)

Further development Stephens and Balasubrahmanyam

Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics 88.A10 (1983): 7811-7822

Several R&D and projects

This list may be incomplete!

AMS Hofer and Pohl *NIM A* 416(1):59-63, 1998

SRD Hofer, Kräber and Viertel *Nuclear Physics B* 134:202-207, 2004

CREST Yagi et al. *International Cosmic Ray Conference 2005*, 3:425-428, 2005

Sonya Galper, et al. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 798(1):012176, 2017

no!


So what is new?

Most of past projects use a *calorimeter* mainly for instrument trigger and background-rejection, whereas the electron energy is reconstructed mainly (or only) using synchrotron photons

The **AMS** project use ECAL to reconstruct electron energy but in a spectrometer-based geometry

The **EPSI** project aims to use synchrotron photons just for *electron/positron discrimination* and exploit the advantages of a large ECAL in terms of resolution and acceptance with a novel design

Preliminary design



This is just a starting point to study the detection process, without considering a specific geometry of a future instrument



Detector geometry

Preliminary design

ECAL


Electromagnetic CALorimeter

Main Purposes

- Energy reconstruction
- Track reconstruction
- E/H discrimination
- Instrument trigger

Possible implementation

- Fine granularity
- CsI+PD single cell
- $1.33 \times 1.33 \times 0.25 \text{ m}^3$
- Assuming 2 tons



SRD

Synchrotron Radiation Detector

Main Purposes


- Synchrotron photons
- Point reconstruction
- Energy reconstruction

Possible implementation

- Fine granularity
- Crystal+SiPM single cell
- 2 opposite single layers
- Surface of $4 \times 1.33 \text{ m}^2$

Detector requirements


Let us consider a 1 TeV electron, perpendicular to a 0.4 G field, impinging at detector center



The average number of photons reaching the detector is
 $\langle N \rangle = 4.51$
 having a critical energy of synchrotron emission of
 $\varepsilon_c = 26.78\text{ keV}$

$$\langle N \rangle \propto \sqrt{E}$$

$$\varepsilon_c \propto E^2$$



E_{electron}	$\langle N \rangle$	ε_c [keV]
500 GeV	3.19	6.69
1 TeV	4.51	26.78
10 TeV	14.28	2677.60

Since at least two synchrotron photons are necessary to identify the charge sign, a **high detection efficiency** in the **soft X-ray region** is necessary, while keeping the cost limited in order to scale the device to a large area

GOAL: High detection efficiency for X-rays with energy 1-100 keV


Scintillator **size** of about 2cm x 2cm x 2.5mm

Detector development



Need of high reflectivity and low X-absorption **coating**

Need of high light-yield and possibly fast **crystals**

	Light Yield [γ /MeV]	Decay Time [ns]
CsI(Tl)	54000	900
GAGG(Ce)	60000	<150



Need of a large-area and high photodetection efficiency **SiPM**



Scintillator **size** of about 2cm x 2cm x 2.5mm


Area

Synchrotron photons can be separated by astrophysical background since they lie on the *electron bending plane* with a RMS dispersion of 9.3 mm (for a 1 TeV electron in a 0.4 G field)



A segmentation of 2cm x 2cm is a reasonable compromise between background rejection and number of channels

Cell size Optical simulation of a 10 keV X-ray for different detector depths



Thickness



A depth of 3 mm maximizes photon collection efficiency against self-absorption in the crystal and optical photon losses at crystal edges

Need of high reflectivity and low X-absorption coating

In all tests we made so far, we wrapped scintillator with Vikuiti ESR (reflectivity > 98%) but in future we will use a thin Al deposition as the entrance window

We tested Al deposition on spare crystals by using our *sputtering machine*: deposition was successful, but we have to characterize the CsI-Al and GAGG-Al optical properties


Cell coating



Different roughness of crystal surface will be tested in laboratory and with simulations to maximize light collection efficiency

Need of a large-area and high photodetection efficiency **SiPM**


- Test 6mmx6mm SiPM:
- S13360-6075PE
 - S14160-6050HS




- Full characterization of SiPM in terms of Photon Detection Efficiency and Dark Count as a function of overvoltage and temperature in order to:
- define the best candidate
 - define optimal overvoltage

Cell sensor


IV characterization in climatic chamber



S14160-6050HS






S13360-6075PE





Laboratory system

To estimate *light collection efficiency*, laboratory system must be able to detect dark count distribution to express X-ray signal in terms of SiPM cell signal (*cells*)




Pedestal and signal






CsI: TL response

Preliminary




Good linearity at low energy



Considering:
LightYield = 54 ph / keV
CrossTalk = 55%
PDE = 40%

Light collection efficiency = 35-40%



E [keV]	Resolution*100				
6.03	59.88	+/-	2.53		
30.85	22.06	+/-	0.34		
32.06	22.87	+/-	0.35		
81.00	13.49	+/-	0.18		
121.78	11.87	+/-	0.16		
244.70	12.08	+/-	0.16		
344.28	11.14	+/-	0.15		
b	-3.73	+/-	1.05	rel:	-0.28
a	0.81	+/-	0.19	rel:	0.24
c	0.10	+/-	0.01	rel:	0.10

CsI: Tl response

Significant electronic noise term at low energy


10% constant term at high energy



20% reduction in light collection efficiency between crystal center and crystal corners

Uniformity

Ba133 [32 keV] - Fe55 [6 keV]



Preliminary

Summary

EPSI is an R&D, financed by PRIN 2022 funds, which aims to investigate a novel e^-/e^+ discrimination technique at high energies for future calorimeter-based experiments

This technique requires to develop a *synchrotron radiation detector* with high efficiency in the soft X-ray region, which must be enough cheap to be scaled to a large area

A simple system based on CsI/GAGG crystal, wrapped with Vikuiti ESR, and coupled to a large area SiPM already satisfies the basic requirements *down to a few keV*

Scintillation light collection efficiency will be further improved by studying the effect of crystal roughness and optimizing the operation condition of SiPM sensors

To reach *1 keV*, we will make use a thin entrance window obtained with Al deposition, which is expected to slightly reduce the total scintillation light collection efficiency



Finanziato
dall'Unione europea
NextGenerationEU



Ministero
dell'Università
e della Ricerca



Italiadomani
PIANO NAZIONALE
DI RIPRESA E RESILIENZA




Thank you!



Some computations (?)

Let us consider a 1 TeV electron, perpendicular to a 0.4 G field, impinging at detector center



Curvature radius

$$R[m] = \frac{p[\text{GeV}]}{0.3 B[\text{T}]} \sim 83 \times 10^3 \text{ km}$$

...since $R \ll \Delta x$:

$$L' \sim L$$

$$\theta \sim L/R \sim 0.22 \text{ mrad}$$

...assuming $\Delta x = 2 \text{ m}$:

Effective track length

$$L \sim \sqrt{2 R \Delta x} \sim 18.3 \text{ km}$$


RMS Emission angle

$$\langle \theta_{SR}^2 \rangle^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\gamma} = \frac{m}{E} \sim 0.51 \mu\text{rad}$$

Let us consider a 1 TeV electron, perpendicular to a 0.4 G field, impinging at detector center

Some computations (??)

Considering a 1 TeV electron in $B = 0.4$ G field



Average number of γ reaching the detector


$$\langle N \rangle = \left\langle \frac{dN}{dl} \right\rangle L = \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{6} \alpha \gamma \sqrt{\frac{2\Delta x}{R}} = 4.51$$

Critical energy of synchrotron emission


$$\epsilon_c = \frac{3}{2} \hbar c \frac{\gamma^3}{R} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\hbar e B}{m^3 c^4} E^2 = 26.78 \text{ keV}$$

Detection cell size

Let us consider a 1 TeV electron, perpendicular to a 0.4 G field, impinging at detector center



The dispersion of synchrotron photon emission angle is
 $\sigma_\theta = 0.51\ \mu\text{rad}$
which translates into a position dispersion at detector of
 $\sigma_y < L * \sigma_\theta = 9.3\text{ mm}$




Synchrotron photons can be separated by astrophysical background since they lie on the electron bending plane: for this separation, it is enough a segmentation such that each detection channel have a size of about $1 \times 1\text{ cm}^2$

Assuming a SRD with 100% detection efficiency in the range 1-100 keV

Considering Earth shadow and generic direction


Only SRD selection is applied

Effective geometric factor



$$\langle N \rangle \propto \sqrt{E}$$

$$\epsilon_c \propto E^2$$



E_{electron}	$\langle N \rangle$	ϵ_c [keV]
500 GeV	3.19	6.69
1 TeV	4.51	26.78
10 TeV	14.28	2677.60

- The detection technique works well above 1 TeV
- The detection technique is limited below 100 GeV

Below a few hundreds of GeV we can use the back-tracing technique used by Fermi for charge-sign discrimination

Protons and Nuclei

Synchrotron radiation generated by protons and nuclei cannot be detected since

$$\langle N \rangle \propto \sqrt{E} * Z^{5/2} / M$$
$$\varepsilon_C \propto E^2 * Z / M^3$$


For example, a 1 PeV proton has $\langle N \rangle = 0.05$ and $\varepsilon_C = 4.4$ eV, below detection limit

CON: We cannot separate nuclei from antinuclei


PRO: We can increase proton rejection factor

Calibration of the energy scale

From H. Hofer, M. Pohl/Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A 416 (1998) 59–63



The number and mean energy of synchrotron photons depend on the electron energy, thus it can be used to get an independent measurement of the electron energy



This is useful to check the absolute energy scale calibration of the calorimeter, which is crucial for calorimeter-based experiments like CALET, DAMPE, Fermi-LAT

Example of instrument operating at the geomagnetic equator

Instrument optimization

Optimize the **design** of the space instrument in terms of geometric factor, charge-sign reconstruction, energy/track resolution, background rejection

Carefully estimate **background** sources due to:

- Sun X-rays
- Astrophysical X-rays
- Low energy charged cosmic rays
- Backscattering from calorimeter

Study the best **orbit** both in terms of maximize the *bending effect* of the geomagnetic field and of minimizing the impact of *X-rays from the Sun*

