ISSUE WITH HADRONIC PHYSICS AIIHP

Serena Fattori for the Medical Physics group of LNS-INFN Catania (Italy)

Hadrontherapy advanced example

23 July 2020



MINTER y MoroPlus

C, exp. data

4 Dose [Gy]

10-

0

EXP

0.6

0.4

Serena Fattori LNS-INFN Catania - Italy serena.fattori@Ins.infn.it

S Fattori et al 2022 Phys. Med. Biol. 67 165003 https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/ac776f



Figure 5. Configuration B: \bar{y}_F values in comparison with the \bar{L}_t values (image on the left), and \bar{y}_D values in comparison with \bar{L}_d values (image on the right): in red $\bar{L}_{t/d}^{Total}$, in blue $\bar{L}_{t/d}$ of the primary, and in black the corresponding microdosimetric quantities $\bar{y}_{F/D}$.

Hadrontherapy Physics Lists used

HADRONTHERAPY_1

- standard_opt4
- G4DecayPhysics()
- G4RadioactiveDecayPhysics()
- G4IonBinaryCascadePhysics()
- G4EmExtraPhysics()
- G4HadronElasticPhysicsHP()
- G4StoppingPhysics()
- G4HadronPhysicsQGSP_BIC_**HP**()
- G4NeutronTrackingCut()

HADRONTHERAPY_2

- standard_opt4
- G4DecayPhysics()
- G4RadioactiveDecayPhysics()
- G4IonBinaryCascadePhysics()
- G4EmExtraPhysics()
- G4HadronElasticPhysics()
- G4StoppingPhysics()
- G4HadronPhysicsQGSP_BIC()
- G4NeutronTrackingCut()

HADRONTHERAPY_3

- standard_opt4
- G4DecayPhysics()
- G4RadioactiveDecayPhysics()
- G4IonElasticPhysics()
- G4IonPhysicsPHP()
- G4EmExtraPhysics()
- <mark>G4HadronElasticPhysicsHP()</mark>
- G4StoppingPhysics()
- <mark>G4HadronPhysicsQGSP_BIC_AllHP()</mark>
- G4NeutronTrackingCut()

Comparison of Let_dose total

- Master Thesis on Geant4 code development
- Geant4 11.2.1
- Benchmark activity of comparison with results of 10.6.2



SEQUENCE OF TESTS:

- 1. LET_dose total was reproducing experimental data in version 10.6.2 with AlIHP https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/ac776f
- We noticed LET_dose total with AIIHP totally changed in version 11.2.1. The problem does NOT involve:
 LET_dose of the primary (tested with He4)
 LET track total and of the primary
- 3. TENDL table (1.3.2 in 10.6.2 and 1.4 in 11.2.0) were exchanged (used 1.3.2 with 11.2.1) but the problem remained \rightarrow the issue is not caused by the change in the TENDL data tables
- 4. Several hadrontherapy versions were compiled and run with their corresponding version of geant4 to identify when the change started to show up:
 - until version 11.1.3 of 10 Nov 2023 it was OK
 - from version 11.2.0 of 08 Dec 2023 the problem started
- 5. Hadrontherpy downloaded from version 11.1.3 was compiled and run with geant4 version 11.2.0: the result is **NOT OK** \rightarrow <u>the issue is not inside the example</u>
- 6. Also the versions subsequent to 11.2.1 were tested to verify the issue was not solved in the newest releases:
 - 11.2.2: the problem is still there
 - 11.3.0.beta: the problem is still there
- 7. Hadrontherapy from 11.3.0.beta was compiled with geant4 <u>11.3.0.beta</u> and was run with <u>another hadronic</u> <u>physics model</u> and the result was compatible with that one of versions from 11.1.3 and older and so <u>OK</u> Serena Fattori LNS-INFN Catania - Italy <u>serena.fattori@lns.infn.it</u>

LET_track: track-averaged Electronic Stopping Power:

- Li is the tabulated electronic stopping power at a given energy in the given medium, directly retrieved from Monte Carlo;

- li is the track length of the particle in the given volume;
- The index i runs over the total number of steps N carried out by the particle in the volume considered;
- The index j runs over all the particles travelling in that volume, including the secondary ions



LET_{TRACK}

LET_dose: dose-averaged Electronic Stopping Power: <u>s is the energy loss of the particle in the given volume</u>

Another evident anomaly, beside the absolute value, is represented by the <u>statistical fluctuations</u>: all versions are run with a 10⁵ histories and results are <u>expected to be very noisy for LET dose total</u>, and so it is for versions before 11.2.0, while for the subsequent versions (**red**, **orange**, **gold** and **yellow** curves) the <u>fluctuations are unbelievably tiny</u>, comparable with statistics of the order of 10⁷ histories (run represented with blue curve)



Serena Fattori LNS-INFN Catania - Italy serena.fattori@Ins.infn.it

Summary (before CM in Catania)





Check for HP of protons and ions



The problem is with HP of ions

Additional Tests suggested from Alberto e Vladimir:

1. There is a change concerning the **EM ionization of ions**

(replacing _G4IonParametrisedLossModel_ with _G4LindhardSorensenIonModel_)
which occurred between G4 11.1 and 11.2, which would be worth to check:
In G4EmStandardPhysics_option4 → G4EmStandardPhysics_option4::ConstructProcess()
// generic ion

- _ particle = G4Genericlon::Genericlon();_
- _ G4ionIonisation* ionIoni = new G4ionIonisation();_
 - ___//__ionIoni->SetEmModel(new __G4LindhardSorensenIonModel()__) // 11.2._

→ (new ___G4IonParametrisedLossModel()___) // 11.1.__

 In the current ParticleHP code in several places there is a check if energy below 20 MeV. This may mean that there is no nuclear fragmentation for alpha particles between 20 and 200 MeV. The cross section exists but no final state is produced.

Edit G4IonPhysicsPHP.cc lines 99, 100: *const G4double maxPHP* = **200.0*MeV** → **20.0*MeV** *const G4double overlapPHP_BIC* = **10.0*MeV** → **2.0*MeV**

Results of those additional Tests:

- 1. I have found that:
 - version 11.0.0 uses G4IonParametrisedLossModel
 - version 11.2.0 uses G4LindhardSorensenIonModel
 - BUT versions 11.1.0 --> 11.1.3 already use G4LindhardSorensenIonModel

And since by compiling the hadrontherapy example with 11.1.0 and 11.1.3 I did not encounter the issue, I think the <u>G4LindhardSorensenIonModel is not causing the problem</u>.

 I tried the suggested change in G4IonPhysicsPHP.cc lines 99, 100: *const G4double maxPHP* = 200.0*MeV → 20.0*MeV *const G4double overlapPHP_BIC* = 10.0*MeV → 2.0*MeV and recompiled Geant4.

Unfortunately, the change did not impact the result

i.e. it did not solve the issue :(

Just to remember: in this LET curve it is considered:

- a wrong result when this curve is low at the entrance, i.e. 5 keV/um, and no noisy
- a high, 10 keV/um, noisy curve at the entrance is OK



Ν

Discussing with Alberto and Vladimir, to who I sent a file of the std output of this last simulation, they noticed plenty of <u>warnings about duplication of the Decay process</u>, such as:

------- WWWW ------ G4Exception-START ------ WWWW -------*** G4Exception : Run0111 issued by : G4PhysicsListHelper::RegisterProcess Duplication of processes *** This is just a warning message. *** ------- WWWW ------- G4Exception-END ------ WWWW -------

G4PhysicsListHelper::RegisterProcess :Decay for xi(2030)0 with type/ subtype =6/201 is has same subType as Decay for xi(2030)0 It will not be added !!

```
------ WWWW ------ G4Exception-START ----- WWWW ------
*** G4Exception : Run0111
issued by : G4PhysicsListHelper::RegisterProcess
Duplication of processes
*** This is just a warning message. ***
------ WWWW ------- G4Exception-END ------ WWWW ------
```

```
G4PhysicsListHelper::RegisterProcess :Decay for xi- with type/subtype
=6/201 is has same subType as Decay for xi-
It will not be added !!
```

And they are wondering if I have seen similar warnings also for **G4 11.1.3**, i.e. when the results are good and reasonable: **CHECK THE LOG FILE IN THIS CASE**

- Are you using the hydrotherapy example "out-of-the-box", or do you use something slightly different? If we compile hadrontherapy advance example using the current master G4 and run the default macro hadrontherapy.in will it work out of the box or <u>we need to add extra environment variables an do other manipulations</u>?
- 2. What macro give a problem, what macro (if exist) work properly?

And also about "full analysis"

Discussing with Alberto and Vladimir, to who I sent a file of the std output of this last simulation, they noticed plenty of <u>warnings about duplication of the Decay process</u>, such as:

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

 Ok it is true: the example is the one released BUT with these additions: In the "HadrontherapyPhysicsList" Class must be added the Physics List "cocktail" → That produces the issue

if	if (name == "HADRONTHERAPY_5") {				
	// HP models are switched ON				
	AddPhysicsList("standard_opt4");				
	hadronPhys.push_back(new G4DecayPhysics());				
	<pre>hadronPhys.push_back(new G4RadioactiveDecayPhysics());</pre>				
// ION PHYSICS***********************					
	hadronPhys.push_back(new G4IonElasticPhysics());	// <			
	hadronPhys.push_back(new G4IonPhysicsPHP());	// <			
	hadronPhys.push_back(new G4EmExtraPhysics());				
	hadronPhys.push_back(new G4HadronElasticPhysicsHP());				
	hadronPhys.push_back(new G4StoppingPhysics());				
	hadronPhys.push_back(new G4HadronPhysicsQGSP_BIC_HP());	//<			
	hadronPhys.push_back(new G4NeutronTrackingCut());				
G4c	out << "HADRONTHERAPY_5 PHYSICS LIST has been activated" <<	G4endl; }			

 The macro to be use is "carbon_beamline.mac".
 To reproduce the issue: /Physics/addPhysics HADRONTHERAPY_1 → /Physics/addPhysics HADRONTHERAPY 5 Macro working properly: the same one with /Physics/addPhysics HADRONTHERAPY_1

Additional information about this macro:

- In the released version it shoots by default carbon ions: /gps/ion 6 12 6 /gps/ene/mono 744 MeV /gps/ene/sigma 0.740 MeV
- In my study I was using He4: /gps/ion 2 4 2 /gps/ene/mono 247.7 MeV /gps/ene/sigma 0.248 MeV

Moreover:

- I have just noticed comparing the release macro and mine, that in the released one there is, in addition, this command: # Set a very high time threshold to allow all decays to happen /process/had/rdm/thresholdForVeryLongDecayTime 1.0e+60 year

- In my macro I added the commands to produce the LET output: /analysis/secondary true /analysis/computeLet else

About "full analysis": not really necessary. It is quite simple to spot the issue by looking at the LDT column, first ~100 rows, in the Let.out file

000	Let_hadrontherapy11.2.0_geant11.2.0_1e5_H4.out		Let_hadrontherapy11.2.0_geant11.2.0_1e5_H5.out
881<>	🗈 Let_hadrontherapy11.2.0_geant11.2.0_1e5_H4.out	881<>	E Let_hadrontherapy11.2.0_geant11.2.0_1e5_H5.out ₽
E Let_hadronth	herapy11.2.0_geant11.2.0_1e5_H4.out) No Selection	E Let_hadronth	herapy11.2.0_geant11.2.0_1e5_H5.out) No Selection
1 i	j k LDT LTT proton_D proton_T deuteron_D deuteron_T triton_D triton_T He3_D He3_T alpha_1_D alpha_1_T alpha_D	1 i	j k LDT LTT proton_D proton_T deuteron_D deuteron_T triton_D triton_T alpha_D alpha_T alpha_1_D alpha_1_T Li6_D Li6_T Li7_D Li7_T
	Li8_D Li8_T Li9_D Li9_T Be6_D Be6_T Be7_T Be9_D		C13_D C13_T C14_D C14_T N14_D N14_T N15_D N15_T N16_D N16_T
	Be9_T Be10_D Be10_T B8_D B8_T B10_D B10_T B11_D B11_T B12_D B12_T B14_D B14_T C10_D C10_T C11_D C11_T C12_D	2 0	015_D 015_T 016_D 016_T 017_D 017_T 018_D 018_T F17_D F17_T 0 0 5.1009 4.50188 15.5833 7.36291 13.2879 7.29504 0 0 72.8336 43.7909
	C12_T C13_D C13_T C14_D C14_T C15_D C15_T N12_D N12_T N13_D N13_T N14_D N14_T N15_D N15_T N16_D N16_T N17_D		4.612 4.47194 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	N17_T 014_D 014_T 015_D 015_T 016_D 016_T 017_D 017_T 018 D 018 T 019 D 019 T F17 D F17 T F18 D F18 T F19 D	3 1	0 0 5.32964 4.53103 13.9702 6.97955 15.832 8.212 0 0 69.8231 41.5382 4.60091 4.47846 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
2 0	F19_T Ne18_D Ne18_T Ne19_D Ne19_T Ne20_D Ne20_T 0	4.2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 384.601 306.043 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 57632 6 56786 16 6726 7 65696 17 6656 7 67168 0 0 78 606 67 8962
2 0	4.59521 4.57891 4.67967 4.47441 110.352 60.7016 0 0 0 142.304		
	110.472 94.2053 93.3802 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 3	0 0 5.53638 4.55347 12.791 6.77537 15.5399 7.73611 0 0 73.6965 42.0198
	565.283 534.62 0 492.485 469.003 0 0 0 0 671.005 624.45 754.355 686.153 0 0 0 0 831.35 739.863 815.572		4.58235 4.4917 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	505.15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 4	0 0 5.684 4.56175 11.9756 6.17905 16.5062 7.51051 0 0 62.0862 37.7959 4.64107 4.50045 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
3 1	0 11.0195 4.53155 10.7848 4.46688 13.7583 4.40238 23.7719 4.90676 60.4555 11.3236 4.65807 4.47968 111.814 51.1462 0 0 0 113.577	7 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 806.333 483.976 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5.73293 4.5823 12.0719 6.00799 16.0831 7.08271 0 0 73.0941 42.2392
	110.618 167.847 156.868 199.198 193.64 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	502.752 603.742 535.732 503.291 478.594 0 0 0 0 0 0 558.901	8 6	0 0 5.62449 4.58444 11.5423 6.01997 13.1323 6.63164 0 0 67.9962 39.2669
	0 0 188.855 188.855 767.216 602.824 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.7	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 376.708 289.014 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
4 2	0 0 9.41401 4.52301 10.6261 4.58082 16.2109 4.25112 5.18518 1.76225		4.66765 4.52216 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	68.8212 13.1/21 4.58468 4.48407 98.5844 49.2256 0 0 0 187.977 178.194 1.99763 1.99763 0 0 0 0 387.451 322.444 0	10 8	0 0 5.87899 4.61222 11.9487 5.81734 18.0307 7.92337 0 0 71.076 39.9702
	0 0 0 0 0 0 408.74 389.303 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 533.426 481.99 3.25755 3.25755 0 0 0 0 0 0 291.665 261.329		4.68177 4.52798 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 615.331 498.637 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 371.908 309.894 0 0 0 0 0 0
	676.68 612.152 747.321 670.719 0 0 0 0 899.117 743.587 867.87 724.965 0	11 9	0 0 5.70403 4.61149 12.7617 6.01535 12.2227 6.72528 0 0 71.7443 39.048 4.60203 4.53287 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
5 3	0 0 0 0 0 7.91562 4.51967 11.1852 4.8485 14.4162 3.81702 6.25837 2.12282	12 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 365.137 283.961 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5.60451 4.61141 11.0845 5.4366 15.421 7.49523 0 0 59.8955 34.0967
	28.8875 5.70937 4.59797 4.49121 112.473 38.8016 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		4.62649 4.5412 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-	3 characters	-	3 characters