

Project name Project owner		Project Name. Ex: "LIU PS Electron Cooler Powering System"											
		Name.1stname – <u>SY-EPC-LPC</u>											
Project back- ground	Context History (revision)	<b>1.0 &gt; 1.1</b> Re-integrating precision, earthing knowledge and last decision up to 2019-08. Replace the project in its current context: which machine, which converter used for what purpose. Ex: "In 2003, more than 750 60A-converters were installed in the machine, based on internal design."											
	Current issues	What is the problem the project intends to solve the pre-history, or current situation. It helps to understand the project fundations, and not to lose the reason for it. Ex: "In 2015, several radiation trips were encountered, all being located close to cell n°14. After many converter rotation, it becomes clear the level of radiation as this place is higher than in other place, and converter suffers from a radiation sensitivity".											
	Hypothesis guess, basis	It is possible to highligh the doubt, or unclear situations, even if starting a project. Also, an important meeting can be joined, if important in the fundation.Ex: "It was not possible to test the converter in a dedicated facility with a proper neutron beam, but it is highly suspected the converter is too weak, and especially on one dedicated card."											
	Preferred solution	Coming from a pre-study phase, or deduced from eperience or even possible synergy with other project, the preferred solution should be indicated, as an initial - to be consolidated basis, or as a solid basis if the case. Ex: "Instead of redesigning the whole converter, the project is based on modyfing only the inverter card"											
	Identified challenges	Already identified challenges, at the date of writing of this document can indicate the risk level of this project. Ex: "Charm is OFF for the next two years, with the use of an external facility to be chosen and to work with for qualifying the new design."											
Project purpose	Ultimate purpose	The purpose of the project stays anonanged daring the project daration if the									olace.		
	Project Deliveries			op.	sp.					-			
	-	dfdfd		10	02	2017-01		RPMBB.					
Project		Manage, Specify Request, Purcha			hase Design, Study			Produce, Upg <sup>d</sup> Test, Valid			date Install, Commission		
Project		Manage, Specify	Request, Pur	rchase	Desig	n, Study		Produce, Upg <sup>d</sup>	Te	est, Validat	te		
Project Activitie	S	Manage, Specify Plan, follow, report, specificy.	Request, Pur       X     Order, r       ext. / in	equest		n, Study esign, study, evaluate.	×	Manufacture,	Te X	Test, <b>Valida</b> t Test, qua validate	lify, 🗸		



Project scope	Managed directly by Project Owner	I <sub>M</sub> .1	It clarifies the responsabilities of the project, what is included from it. It is certainly a highly critical point to validate at CERN, between different actors (installation phases).							
Included (managed		I <sub>M</sub> .2	Ex-1: "The request to CCE for the required FGC/RegFGC3 material and HPM for DCCTs".							
directly <i>or</i> delegated)		I <sub>M</sub> .3	Ex-2: "Follow the project, and the different steps (progress), give status".							
		I <sub>M</sub> .4	Ex-3: "Provide an installation procedure for the two units for easing EPC-OMS work".							
		I <sub>M</sub> .5	Ex-4: "The purchase of all power sources, COBALT, CUTE".							
-	Project Owner	I <sub>D</sub> .1	It clarifies the work which naturally enters the project, <u>but which is entirely or partially</u> <u>delegated and not fully followed by the Project Owner</u> , which can relies on internal or other section services for these specific tasks. For each, responsible is clearly stated & informed.							
	others by F	I <sub>D</sub> .2	Ex-1: "All services request: AC, DC, Interlock, Field Bus in PS (EPC-OMS): Project owner will only give the "installation procedure of the converters", the baseline."							
	Delegated to others by	I <sub>D</sub> .3	Ex-2: <b>"The possible modification of FGC3 software if required (EPC-CCS):</b> Information will be given to TE-EPC-CCS regarding the use of this converter in PS."							
	De	I <sub>D</sub> .4	E-3: <b>"The installation of converters at their final location in PS (EPC-OMS):</b> TE-EPC-OMS is in charge of the installation. Power Converter (Power Rack & Power Module) will be placed on Building 287 ready to be taken to final location by TE-EPC-OMS."							
Project scope Excluded (adding clarity to project purpose)		E.1	It's all about managing stakeholder or initial requester possible expectations, regarding the project purpose mainly. In clear, it represents some <u>surrounding</u> <u>activities or deliveries</u> which <i>could be added</i> to the initial purpose of the project, but which <u>is or must be excluded</u> from it, giving ideally the reason. <u>Exclusion has to be linked / connected to the purpose description.</u>							
		E.2								
Constraints applied to the project (enhanced data		L.1	It clearly defines the constraints placed on the project which can limit, re-orientate it, or which can also re-inforces its purpose: time, budget, acceptable actions or unacceptable solutions. <b>Info here can allows to perform some quick risk analysis, focusing on main issues.</b>							
for risk analy		L.2	Ex-1: "The delivery date cannot change, and no delay can be accepted. End of 2016 remains the ultimate deadline, even if installation could be foreseen before if possible."							
1										
		L.3	Ex-2: "The project is tightly linked to the existing EPC converter; TE-EPC takes the unit from its operational spares. This converter cannot be changed, upgraded or other."							
		L.3 L.4	Ex-2: "The project is tightly linked to the existing EPC converter; TE-EPC takes the unit from							
			<ul><li>Ex-2: "The project is tightly linked to the existing EPC converter; TE-EPC takes the unit from its operational spares. This converter cannot be changed, upgraded or other."</li><li>E-3: "The project is tightly linked to the matching between the final load + its use in operation</li></ul>							