

CNAF - report from integration efforts with the Leonardo supercomputer

HEP/HPC Strategy Meeting- 30-31 Jan 2025

D.Cesini – INFN-CNAF

INFN-T1 new Data Center





Layout of the new Data Center





Leonardo is hosted and managed by CINECA



- Booster Module
 - Features a custom BullSequana X2135 "Da Vinci" blade, composed of:
 - 1 x CPU Intel Xeon 8358 32 cores, 2,6 Ghz booster
 - 512 (8 x 64) GB RAM DDR4 3200 MHz
 - 4 X Nvidia custom Ampere GPU 64GB HBM2
 - 2 x NVidia HDR 2×100 Gb/s cards
 - Performance per node: 89,4 TFLOPs peak



Used by INFN theoretical physicists (mainly QCD) as any other CINECA user via SSH login



 Data Centric Module (aka General Purpose Partition)

8 TB NVM

- Features BullSequana X2140 three-node CPU Blades
- Each computing node is composed of:
 - 2x Intel Sapphire Rapids, 56 cores, 4.8 GHz
 - 512 (16 x 32) GB RAM DDR5 4800 MHz
 - 3xNvidia HDR cards 1x100Gb/s cards

Up to 200 nodes provisioned via SLURM, integrated as a transparent extension in the CNAF HTC farm to be accessed via Grid services.

No Ethernet!!

CNAF Network Architecture





CNAF ⇔Leonardo set-up





- HTCondor WN created via CINECA SLURM whole-node jobs on the Leonardo General Partition (CPU-only)
- Public CNAF IP on IPolB
- Inbound/Outbound connectivity via NVIDIA Skyway directly attached to our core switches \rightarrow 1.6Tb/s



CPU - Farm





Advantages





- So far, so good...
 - Resources granted by ad-hoc agreements on the national quota
 - HTCondor/SLURM Integration worked smoothly
 - Transparent extension of the CNAF farm to Leonardo
 - We managed to execute "our WNs on un-modified Leonardo nodes using virtualization, including:
 - WLCG tools
 - CVMFS
 - direct mount of CNAF filesystems
 - Allowed us to recover from historical 2024 CPU under-pledge
 - Allowed us to grant over-pledge to several VOs that requested it

Drawbacks



- 576.000HS of pledged resources are now provided by Leonardo
 - 70% of the total CNAF HTC computing power
- ETH/IB gateways are working better than expected but...
 - ...No IPv6 support
 - No SKYWAY fw updates can fix this
 - Having a reasonably-high bandwidth Ethernet NICs on Leonardo nodes would have saved a lot of pain
- HyperThread not enabled
 - Unbalance in the HS/core numbers

- We do not manage the HW
 - Very little control on resources availability
- Different downtimes schedule
 - Few possibilities to affect or agree on it
- Different sw/kernel updates policies
- Different infrastructure redundancy (electrical or cooling)
- In general, different requirements on A/R

Summary and Open Points



- «friendly» HPC center resources can be effectively and transparently integrated into HTC sites
- Being involved in the design and technical decisions of the HPC systems would be highly beneficial to our community
 - To provide handles for a smoother integration
 - i.e. ETH NICs, IPv6 support, networking ACLs, GPUs models, CPU/GPU ratio, processor architecture, etc...
- Different use cases \rightarrow different A/R requirements
 - Could be risky to pledge a too large fraction of the total resources
- HPC system in general has a different lifecycle schedule
 shorter

 lifetime compared to the HTC resources
 - Need to be prepared for the post-Leonardo