



the European Union

## **IMCC** software task force

Federico Meloni (DESY) obo the TF members

Physics and Detector simulation and MuCol WP2 meeting 15/10/2024

RESEARCH FOR

### Starting the wrap-up of the task force

The software task force has met 8 times

- Discussed all items in mandate
- Most activities seeded / exercised in getting here
  - Notable outstanding item is setting up the central documentation repository (more on this in a moment)

Move towards wrap-up of activities

- Reporting findings in this meeting
- This slide deck is meant as documentation of effort (but another format can be considered, if useful)

- The software repositories should be publicly hosted on github, under **MuonColliderSoft**
- A central developer team in charge of repo creation/management
  - Team is open and people can join at any time
- The development approach should stick to "micro-services" (i.e. current approach)
- Cross-examination of MR should be enforced
  - Use tools to fix / point out the trivial stuff: clang-format, black (for formatting), clang-tidy, sanitizer builds
- Schedule dedicated discussions to discuss major software changes at the end of tuesday meetings
  - Advertise discussions on sw mailing list / any github issue participant can initiate
- Use the **GitHub Projects** interface for task management, with a structured list of **Labels** and **Milestones** to be used in **Issues** and **PRs** across repositories
  - Roadmap set up by this TF and repeatedly advertised in Tuesday's meetings
  - Follow-up efforts will need to pick it up and keep it up to date

### Integration into key4hep

- IMCC should move away from maintaining forks of general key4hep packages and rather push the changes to the upstream repositories
- Only muon collider-specific packages should be hosted under MuonColliderSoft
- IMCC should maintain independence when building releases
  - Mucoll-stack configurations should be moved to key4hep-spack package
  - Mucoll-spack to be maintained as fork of key4hep-spack to tag and build muon collider software releases
- To minimise any compatibility issues, IMCC should move to key4hep standard tagging schema

#### Roadmap

#### Super short-term 🔽



- 1. Initial release for ESPPU
  - Based on key4hep-release + mucoll-spack and muon collider packages (essentially <u>v02-08-MC</u> + updates)
  - Switched to ACTS v32 + migrated ACTSTracking
  - "Current" detector geometries for MUSIC and MAIA not included
  - Update tutorial/example Marlin configuration files to python
  - Based on tagged Cl

#### Short-term (fall)

- 1. Complete the upstreaming of the muon collider changes to key4hep packages [~1 FTEweek] <u>https://github.com/MuonColliderSoft/ConformalTracking</u> <u>https://github.com/MuonColliderSoft/MarlinTrkProcessors</u>
  - Set up mucoll-release folders within key4hep-spack
  - Get developer access to manage our own changes
- 2. Merge bug-fixes from initial ESPPU release (2.9) [~1 FTEweek]
  - Adjust ACTS seeding [2 FTEweeks]
- 3. Include MUSIC and MAIA detector geometries
- 4. Build release image and distribute

#### Roadmap

#### Medium term (~2025)

- 1. Migration to gaudi/edm4hep-based workflow
  - Migrate digitisers to gaudi [1 FTEmonth]
  - Migrate/re-design Overlay processor for gaudi [1 FTEmonth]
  - Output edm4hep files by default
- 2. Configure Issue templates in each repository that is part of the release
- 3. Organise a systematic review of code to identify any ee assumptions (e.g. occupancy) are baked into the framework. [6 FTEmonths]
  - Output a list
  - Based on the list, prioritise ILCsoft packages updates (Gaudi framework should be good / LHC-tested)
- 4. Muon PID for 10 TeV detector concepts [3 FTEmonths]
- 5. Setup workflows to build specialised software images (i.e. analysis only) [1 FTEmonth]
- DESY. | F. Meloni | IMCC software task force | 15/10/2024

#### Roadmap

#### Long term (2026+)

- 1. Tracking [4 FTEmonth]
  - Load tracking geometry from dd4hep plugin being tackled community-wide, requires changes to ACTS
  - Update again to latest and greatest ACTS (currently v35.2.0)
- 2. Calo clustering [6 FTEmonths]
  - Test/Use of more modern clustering tools, e.g. k4Clue
- 3. PFO reconstruction [30 FTEmonths]
  - Move away from PandoraPFO (worldwide expertise problematic)
  - Switch to simpler / non-monolithic algorithms
  - Break down workflow small configurable algorithms
  - Get rid of hardcoded PFO assumptions (e.g. taking always track  $p_T$  over calo measurement even at high energy)
  - Need to monitor wider community and possibly move in synch

### **Software distribution**

#### Recommendations

- IMCC should focus on a single avenue for software distribution
  - docker images were selected as the most modern/portable solution
  - optionally replicated to cvmfs via unpacked
- Images should be automatically built using CI tools
- Images should be centrally published in a single container repository
  - ghcr.io feels like the most natural choice so that containers can be directly linked to release notes/pages

#### Roadmap / prioritization

- 1. Setup CI pipeline to build releases 🔽
- 2. Export images to ghcr.io [0.2 FTEmonth]

### **Fast Simulation**

- IMCC should centrally provide up-to-date Delphes cards for phenomenology studies
- The centralised cards should reflect the "Baseline" and "Aspirational" scenarios described in the "Interfaces" chapter of the Interim report (or the analogous location in future documents)
  - Each detector concept is welcome to provide a "state-of-the-art" card reflecting the currently achieved performances in full simulation (but this is not considered mandatory)
  - Should be labelled with detector concept name to avoid confusion with central IMCC cards
- IMCC should also provide tools to produce Delphes cards given a geometry description
  + simulated datasets
  - These could be distributed as a part of the mucoll-benchmarks repository
- A note with "Terms and conditions" should be distributed with the cards to avoid misuse where fake objects become relevant
  - Develop "BIB object libraries" for overlay (for tracks and calo clusters) to address this in the long-term
- Cadence of maintenance: at least once per major report
- Maintenance responsibilities: synthetic "baseline" and "aspirational" cards to be provided by IMCC, detector-concept-specific by concept teams

### **Fast Simulation**

**Roadmap / prioritization** 

- 1. Write "Terms and conditions" embed them in Delphes card and publish them on <u>https://mcd-wiki.web.cern.ch/</u>
- 2. Generate "synthetic" Delphes cards for "Baseline" and "Aspirational" scenarios [0.5 FTEmonth]
- 3. Develop tools to extract delphes parameterisations from object performance plots [1 FTEmonth]
- 4. Detector concepts provide cards for "state-of-the-art"
- 5. Work on automation of state-of-the-art cards via github CI/CD [1 FTEmonth]
- 6. Develop "BIB object libraries" for overlay (for tracks and calo clusters) to enrich fast-simulation [3 FTEmonth]

### **Documentation**

- Public, no need for private documentation
- Minimise links to external documentation, even if we have to repeat some things, to avoid out-of-synch material
  - Links should include the exact version instead of the latest one
- Tools / platform
  - github preferred for openness
  - Mimic Key4hep approach (one central repository with general info and CI, pulls in from several other repositories on demand to build complete key4hep documentation)
  - Use auto-documentation where sensible (for API/package level)
    - Requires work to set up EOS folder to be auto-published to the web
    - Doxygen for generating API documentation
- A responsible for keeping the documentation building + auto-publishing should be found
- Pace of updates / versioning
  - Maintain "current" version and tag specific version for events
- IMCC should publish a recommended documentation format for all READMEs in packages.
  - Consistent naming scheme and structure for packages
  - Use of doxygen syntax for all languages.

### **Tutorials**

- The tutorials should cover the full computing chain (sim / reco / analysis)
  - They should have entry points per chapter, with no reliance on previous steps
- Tutorials should include runnable minimal examples with useful code snippets
  - Auto-test in documentation as in Key4hep
- Technical developer-oriented instructions should be given in README.md of the relevant GitHub repositories
- Provide wiki instructions on how to update the wiki itself and a dedicated tutorial section
- Tutorials should cover the use of github project management tools (e.g. issues...)
- Integration of training in other ongoing efforts
  - The analysis step is generic enough that could be merged with key4hep tutorials
  - "General" training can be (partially) deferred/integrated into HSF training <u>https://hepsoftwarefoundation.org/workinggroups/training.html</u>

### **Tutorials and documentation**

#### Recommendations

#### QA responsibilities:

- Package-level rely on documentation schema check at MR level
- Top-level

٠

- rely on group of developers approving merge request to protected branch
- Tutorials rely on team of tutorial organisers

#### Roadmap / prioritization

- Remove obsolete documentation pages
- 2. Setup central documentation repository
- 3. Setup auto-publishing on the web
- 4. Provide templates for package-level documentation
- 5. Set up auto-parsing of documentation (CI fails if README is out of date)
- 6. Set up auto-parsing of tutorials (CI fails is snippets don't succeed)

### **Conclusions?**

Will gather feedback on draft recommendations and prioritisation for a few (two?) weeks.

- Discuss any changes in this meeting
- Otherwise call this final, dissolve TF, and move back to IMCC for implementation

Would you like to contribute some inputs?

IMCC-software-task-force@cern.ch

dedicated mattermost channel

### Thanks for your contributions!!



Paolo Andreetto



Nazar Bartosik



Alessio Gianelle



Karol Krizka



Lawrence Lee



Thomas Madlener



Federico Meloni (chair)

# Thank you!

### The mandate

#### IMCC Software Task Force Mandate

The IMCC Software Task Force is responsible for reviewing and reorganizing the software activities within the Physics & Detector working group of the IMCC Collaboration.

The reorganization will primarily focus around the set of activities listed below, plus any necessary activity that is further identified as the work of the group evolves:

- Software Development Model, including but not limited to
  - Management of software repositories
  - Development, review and management of code
  - Release creation, validation and distribution
- Integration into key4hep
- Fast simulation (Delphes) update and maintenance
- Definition of user support policy and tools
- Tutorials and documentation

The final goal is to arrive to a robust set of software tools and infrastructure able to support the needed work expected in the next years in the areas of simulation (including alternative geometry implementations), digitization and reconstruction algorithms development, and support for fast-simulations; additionally, we aim to collaboratively support new and experienced users in their learning and development work.

### The mandate

The group is asked, for each activity, to:

- Produce a prioritised list of the main tasks associated to each area
- Build a roadmap with a rough estimation of the effort required to complete the most demanding items
- Seed the activities and set up appropriate progress tracking. This includes for example: creating skeleton structures for documentation, or appropriate software repositories to be populated by the wider contributor community.

The group is expected to hold dedicated topical meetings to discuss the progress and proposals with the wider community and produce a short document outlining the plans, policies and the mentioned list of tasks needed for this reorganization. A call (or multiple calls) for contributions will be initiated when the group assesses that they will be relevant for the associated activities; the group is encouraged to coordinate the work of other colleagues who can help in carrying out specific tasks as the group work progresses.