

**High Energy Physics  
Center for Computational Excellence  
Optimizing Data Storage for  
Next-Generation HEP Experiments**

Peter van Gemmeren  
for HEP-CCE, Thanks to all the experts

**ROOT RNTuple API Review, Outcome**  
December 2nd 2024

## HEP-CCE Review: Timeline

- As part of the last year's ROOT [RNTuple Format and Feature Assessment \(6-7 November 2023\) · Indico](#), CCE was asked to host a RNTuple API Review
- Started with [Special CCE-SOP tele-conference: RNTuple API Review Kick Off \(February 28, 2024\) · INDICO-FNAL \(Indico\)](#)
  - Including Experiment experts from ATLAS, CMS & DUNE,
    - represented in CCE, plus ROOT experts (some part of CCE)
    - Open to everyone (as typical for CCE meetings)
    - Invited additional experts
- Several meetings focusing on different parts of the RNTuple API:
  - [Special CCE-SOP tele-conference: RNTuple API Review Reader/Writer \(April 3, 2024\) · INDICO-FNAL \(Indico\)](#)
  - [Special CCE-SOP tele-conference: RNTuple API Review Model/Field/Entry \(May 1, 2024\) · INDICO-FNAL \(Indico\)](#)
  - [Special CCE-SOP tele-conference: RNTuple API Review Remaining modules \(June 26, 2024\) · INDICO-FNAL \(Indico\)](#)
- Produced mid-term report that was submitted to the ROOT team on [Special CCE-SOP tele-conference: RNTuple API Review Mid-Report discussions \(September 25, 2024\) · INDICO-FNAL \(Indico\)](#) with several suggestions for improvement and general finding that RNTuple API is sufficient for adoption by experiment's production frameworks:
  - Hear later, ATLAS and CMS have functional prototypes allowing their software to write production collision data to RNTuple.
  - Some DUNE experts participated in the Review, but since DUNE is just starting to design their production framework, the outcome is less conclusive
  - Analysis use of RNTuple was considered out of scope for this review.

## HEP-CCE Review: Timeline &amp; Participation, continued

- Continued Review:
  - [Special CCE-SOP tele-conference: RNTuple API Review Error Handling \(October 16, 2024\) · INDICO-FNAL \(Indico\)](#)
  - [Special CCE-SOP tele-conference: RNTuple API Review Wrap Up \(November 20, 2024\) · INDICO-FNAL \(Indico\)](#)
- Reviewers, Experts
  - ATLAS: Marcin Mowak, Serhan Mete, Peter van Gemmeren
  - CMS: Chris Jones, Matti Kortelainen, Dan Riley
  - CAF: Amit Bashyal
  - DUNE: Barnali Chowdhury
  - CCE: Saba Sehrish, Philippe Canal, and several experts from Computer Science
- Parallel to the API Review, experts in CCE shared studies about RNTuple functionality and performance which CMS and ATLAS will present in dedicated sections.
- Great progress overall, Thanks to everyone.

- 1) Page Size: Need mechanism to customize page size for particular fields
- 2) Read Caching: For RClusterPool, based on past experience with TTree I/O, the experiments desire configurability similar to TTreeCache.
  - Tracked in root-project/root/#16325
- 4) Memory Writing: The experiments need an ability to tune the memory usage in RNTupleWriter
  - Adaptive page size algorithm was merged. First results for ATLAS/CMS are promising. Further knobs would still be appreciated.
- 5) Parallel Writer: Inability to use RNTupleParallelWriter as long as it is restricted to have only one Writer per file. (Experiments need to store several container/TTTree/RNTuple in the same file)
  - Need clear guarantees about the locking around TFile.
  - New method FillNoCommit() allowing framework to control the time of TFile access.
- 6) Parallel Writer: For every RNTupleParallelWriter `Fill()` call, need to know what entry number that `Fill()` call corresponds to
  - New staged cluster committing should help

## HEP-CCE Review: Findings, interface and documentation

7) Object Ownership: Desire to avoid passing dummy `std::shared_ptr<void>` to

`RNTupleReader::GetView<void>()`

- To be addressed in the future

8) Model freezing: Having to have two separate loops to call `RNTupleModel::AddField()` (requires un-frozen model) and `RNTupleModel::GetToken()` (frozen model) feels suboptimal

- To be addressed in the future

9) Destructor: `REntry::RFieldToken` not having a default constructor is somewhat inconvenient

- To be addressed in the future

## Documentation:

- Relationship between `RNTupleModel`'s `GetToken()` and freezing
- Projected fields
- Entry invalidation when `RNTupleModel` is extended

## New:

Empty view: To create an empty view, we need to use dynamic allocation, eg. `viewMap[fieldID] = std::make_unique<>(reader->GetView(fieldName, nullptr));` Potential for improvement

Configure split/unsplit encoding in an easier way

- RNTuple API Review was a very useful exercise for CCE and the experiments
  - Allowed us to give early feedback on the API we expect to use for years to come
  - And see the feedback being taken seriously. Thanks to the ROOT team!
    - Thanks also to all CCE and non-CCE experts for their work.
- Hopefully beneficial for ROOT experts.
- Looking forward to continued cooperation.