



CMS Performance Measurements

Dr Christopher Jones

RNTuple Workshop

02 12 2024

RNTuple Status in CMS

- We have prototype code which can read/write RNTuple files
- Can write any of our standard storage formats
 - RECO [$\sim 1\text{MB}/\text{ev}$], AOD [$\sim 400\text{kB}/\text{ev}$], and MiniAOD [$\sim 150\text{kB}/\text{ev}$]
- Only possible because of addition of streamer storage mechanism
 - allows storage of any class that TTree could handle
 - storage of bare pointers
 - storage of polymorphic types
 - storage of classes which directly/indirectly store themselves


MiniAOD Storage Comparison

- Storage Type
 - **select streamer**: apply streamer storage to data products if it reduces storage size
 - **select unsplit**: do not split *multi-byte basic type* fields if it reduces storage size
- Optimization
 - **zip cluster**: `ApproxZippedClusterSize` set to 25M down from 50M
 - **no buf write**: turn off `BufferedWrite`

Storage Type	Optimization	File Size Ratio	Max Allocation	Allocation Diff	Max RSS	RSS Difference
TTree		1.000	2,329,950,216	0	2,640,244,736	0
RNTuple default		0.981	2,975,349,944	645,399,728	3,188,834,959	548,590,223
RNTuple select streamer		0.937	3,001,023,440	671,073,224	3,285,766,144	645,521,408
	zip cluster	0.933	2,486,353,160	156,402,944	2,807,153,295	166,908,559
	no buf write	0.951	1,846,704,808	-483,245,408	2,240,806,912	-399,437,824
RNTuple select unsplit		0.924	3,036,038,160	706,087,944	3,308,414,566	668,169,830
	zip cluster	0.918	2,503,737,024	173,786,808	2,750,152,704	109,907,968
	no buf write	0.939	1,853,864,576	-476,085,640	2,143,961,108	-496,283,628

Thread Scaling for Writing

- No scaling without BufferedWrite



AOD Storage Comparison


- Additional Storage Type
 - **all Streamer**: Force all top level fields to be streamer type

AOD Storage Comparison

Storage Type	Optimization	File Size Ratio	Max Allocation	Allocation Difference	Max RSS	RSS Difference
TTree		1.000	1,365,851,992	0	2,213,576,704	0
RNTuple select streamer		0.880	2,314,973,832	949,121,840	2,732,180,111	518,603,407
RNTuple select unsplit		0.859	2,358,814,824	992,962,832	2,702,578,811	489,002,107
	zip cluster	0.856	2,018,192,176	652,340,184	2,443,108,680	229,531,976
	no buf write	0.883	1,513,042,232	147,190,240	1,848,754,831	-364,821,873
RNTuple all Streamer		0.984	1,766,328,840	400,476,848	2,199,472,046	-14,104,658
	no buf write	1.001	1,072,140,704	-293,711,288	1,629,864,591	-583,712,113

AOD Throughput

- RNTuple with buffered writing scales much better than TTree



Conclusion

- Can store all CMS data products in RNTuple
- Thread scaling is better than TTree
 - assuming can use Buffered Writing
- Extra memory required is concerning