RNTuple Workshop 2024 Python API Vincenzo Eduardo Padulano (CERN, EP-SFT) for the ROOT Team







- Understanding differences between C++ and Python idiomatic behaviours
- Providing a minimal Python API
- Open questions



- The ROOT team provides a **public** RNTuple **API** in **C++**, which was the object of the **HEP-CCE review**
- A public **Python** API was so far not available, **today** we present a first **minimal** implementation
- By and large, using same classes and methods of the C++ API
- Usability is favoured over performance for the Python API
- For **performant** reading & writing of RNTuple in Python, we encourage using **RDataFrame**
 - Which also allows introducing more Pythonic features



- RNTuple employs modern C++ constructs and patterns
- Not everything applies 1:1 to an idiomatic Python usage
- e.g. move semantics, access to pointees
- In order to provide an idiomatic Python behaviour, the interface needs to be adjusted accordingly



Recent API changes introduced by <u>#17104</u>

import ROOT

RNTupleWriter = ROOT.Experimental.RNTupleWriter
RNTupleModel = ROOT.Experimental.RNTupleModel

```
model = RNTupleModel.Create()
model.MakeField["int"]("f")
```

```
with RNTupleWriter.Recreate(model, "ntpl", "ntuple_example.root") as writer:
    entry = writer.CreateEntry()
    entry["f"] = 42
    writer.Fill(entry)
```



Recent API changes introduced by <u>#17104</u>

import ROOT

RNTupleWriter = ROOT.Experiment RNTupleModel = ROOT.Experimenta

model = RNTupleModel.Create()
model.MakeField["int"]("f")

No default entry, MakeField returns None The usage of std::shared_pt does not apply well

with RNTupleWriter.Recreate(model, "ntpl", "ntuple_example.root") as writer: entry = writer.CreateEntry() entry["f"] = 42 writer.Fill(entry)



Recent API changes introduced by <u>#17104</u>

import ROOT

RNTupleWriter = ROOT.Experiment RNTupleModel = ROOT.Experimenta

model = RNTupleModel.Create()
model.MakeField["int"]("f")

RNTupleWriter works as a context manager dataset is automatically committed at context exit

with RNTupleWriter.Recreate(model, "ntpl", "ntuple_example.root") as writer: entry = writer.CreateEntry() entry["f"] = 42 writer.Fill(entry)



Recent API changes introduced by <u>#17104</u>

import ROOT

RNTupleWriter = ROOT.Experiment RNTupleModel = ROOT.Experimenta

model = RNTupleModel.Create()
model.MakeField["int"]("f")

Entry **must** be requested for writing contents filled with a dictionary syntax

with RNTupleWriter.Recreate(model, "ntpl", "ntuple_example.root") as writer: entry = writer.CreateEntry() entry["f"] = 42 writer.Fill(entry)



```
Recent API changes introduced by #17104
with RNTupleWriter.Recreate(model, "ntpl", "ntuple_example.root") as writer:
    entry = writer.CreateEntry()
    entry["f"] = 42
    writer.Fill(entry)
print(writer)
print(model)
print(model)
print(entry)
```

<cppyy.gbl.ROOT.Experimental.RNTupleWriter object at 0x558a0a5082a0 held by std::unique_ptr<ROOT::Experimental::RNTupleWriter,default_delete<ROOT: :Experimental::RNTupleWriter> > at 0x558a0772c2a0>

<cppyy.gbl.ROOT.Experimental.RNTupleModel object at 0x(nil) held by std::unique_ptr<ROOT::Experimental::RNTupleModel,default_delete<ROOT::Experime ntal::RNTupleModel> > at 0x558a07721950>

<cppyy.gbl.ROOT.Experimental.REntry object at 0x558a0a47be50 held by std::unique_ptr<ROOT::Experimental::REntry,default_delete<ROOT::Experimental: :REntry> > at 0x558a0a3be7b0>

After context state:

writer exists, but further modifications will fail model is a nullptr, Python object will throw exception on use entry is usable as a read-only dictionary



A minimal reading API

Similar concepts can be applied to the RNTupleReader API:

- Forbid default entry
- Must create entry and use LoadEntry(index, entry)
- Or use the RNTupleView

```
import ROOT
```

Not supported yet, but a concrete idea of the API

```
RNTupleReader = ROOT.Experimental.RNTupleReader
```

```
with RNTupleReader.Open("ntpl", "ntuple_example.root") as ntuple:
    view = ntuple.GetView["int"]("f")
    for i in ntuple.GetEntryRange():
        val = view(i)
```





Some parts of the C++ API need further attention

- When returning references, such as from
 - oconst RNTupleModel &RNTupleWriter::GetModel()
 - This can potentially lead to dangling references
- When a function takes a std::unique_ptr
 - Potentially many ways to deal with this
 - Our solution: automatic move, original variable becomes nullptr



Open questions remaining:

- API for writing many entries at once (e.g. fill a field with values from a numpy array)?
- Should we explore support for writing Python objects?