MD on injection quality – longitudinal and transverse parameters

Wolfgang Bartmann, Thomas Bohl, Karel Cornelis , Heiko Damerau, Lene Drosdal, Chiara Bracco, Brennan Goddard, Verena Kain

MD plan

- 1. Effect of beam quality in longitudinal plane on injection losses
- 2. Effect of scraping on injection losses
 - 1. Operational emittances: 2.5 μ m
 - 2. Nominal emittances: 3.5 μ m
 - 3. Larger than nominal emittances: >7 μ m

- All injections using beam 1 in TI2 as we are more sensitive for injection losses there
- In parallel: UFO MD on beam 2
- 12 bunch injections + a few 36 bunch injections
- Transfer line collimators @ 4.5 s throughout MD

Reference: 12 bunch injection

- Clean reference: scraper settings (H = -8.1 mm, V = 2.2 mm)
- Emittance: H = 2.5 um, V 1.9 um

2011-06-30 17:28:51.958: Beam losses are within thresholds.





Effect of longitudinal parameters

Most cases gave low/same losses as reference:

- Bad radial steering
- Satellites from PS
- RF on for all booster rings
- SPS 800 MHz on wrong harmonic
- Radial steering affected the scraping efficiency but: radial steering can move the beam at the scraper → increase losses
- Longitudinal parameter changes similar increase in losses as sudden oscillations down the line from MSE ripple.





Increased dp/p at extraction: bunch length at extraction: 1.5 → 2.2 ns
 → Losses on the TCDIs





Effect of scraping – no blowup

• Effect of H scraper, V scraper constant



 Nominal scraper setting: emittance not affected, losses affected → scraping tails

Nominal emittances - H

• Blow up with screen in TT10



• 1 % losses ~ 3.4 mm emittance in H for correct scraping

Nominal emittances - V

• Blow up with screen in TT10



• With 3.5 mm still only scraping tails

Very blown-up beams - emittance scan

- Used two screens in TT10 \rightarrow emittance > 7 mm
- Cutting into beam core at all times
- Scanned H scraping, V scraping constant
- Remaining intensity: function of scraper position:
 - Remove all particles with amplitudes larger than scraper pos remaining intensity N(scraper pos):

$$N(x) = \int \rho(r_x) \cdot dr_x = N_0 \cdot (1 - e^{-\frac{(x - x_0)^2}{2 \cdot \sigma^2}})$$

 $- \rightarrow$ can get beam position @ scraper (+ initial emittance)

Result of scraper scan H with large emittance

- B = initial beam size
- C = beam position wrt scraper



Conclusion

- We are very sensitive to scraping in the SPS scraper settings and beam position at scrapers
 → We need to further investigate the evolution of the beam position at the scraper – SPS MD time
- Not so sensitive to the longitudinal parameters and the SPS BQM also blocks in most cases
- If scraping is set up properly and the beams are Gaussian,
 3.5 um emittances give similar loss levels as our operational beams with TCDIs at 4.5 s
- Not done yet:
 - Check of sensitivity to transfer line steering