New Frontiers of the Nested Soft-Collinear Subtraction Scheme

CHRISTMAS MEETING 2024

UNIVERSITÀ **DEGLI STUDI** DI MILANO

Davide Maria Tagliabue

In collaboration with:

Federica Devoto Kirill Melnikov Raoul Röntsch Chiara Signorile-Signorile Matteo Tresoldi

The problem of subtracting IR singularities at NLO is solved. But what does "solved" means?

- general procedures to cancel IR poles at NLO
- poles explicitly (*ϵ*−¹) 1.2
- cross sections of every process at LHC
- *Answer*: NO
	- topical issue) 2.1

1.1 We can take papers on Catani-Seymour and FKS subtractions and find

These formulas are transparent and can be used to cancel $\mathscr{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$ and

1.3 These formulas, at least in principle, can be applied to compute NLO

Question: Do we have the same kind of generality at NNLO as well?

Numerous collaborations are actively working on this challenge (highly

Numerous collaborations are actively working on this challenge (highly

2.2 Currently, the community knows how to compute NNLO corrections to an

2.3 Remarkable calculations have been performed, for instance, the NNLO corrections to the process $pp \rightarrow X + 3$ Jets, but the big picture is still

- cross sections of every process at LHC
- *Answer*: NO
	- topical issue) 2.1
	- arbitrary process with a colorless initial state
	- missing

Even though the problem was solved in a general way at NLO more than two decades ago, there are reasons why the solution is still lacking at NNLO

At NNLO, we face the plague of overlapping singularities, which implies to partition and sector the phase space space

3.1

1.3 These formulas, at least in principle, can be applied to compute NLO

Question: Do we have the same kind of generality at NNLO as well?

- missing
- Even though the problem was solved in a general way at NLO more than two decades ago, there are reasons why the solution is still lacking at NNLO
	- At NNLO, we face the plague of overlapping singularities, which implies 3.1 to partition and sector the phase space
	- 3.2 Sectoring the phase space allows us to perform the integrals but, at the same time, smashes the physics transparency of the calculation

- 4.1 From the subtraction point of view, it is the most complicated channel
- 4.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is the simplest channel, as it is symmetric in both the initial and final states

2.3 Remarkable calculations have been performed, for instance, the NNLO corrections to the process $pp \rightarrow X + 3$ Jets, but the big picture is still

We have to identify the building blocks of the class of QCD processes
 $pp \rightarrow X + N$ Jets. A good starting point is the process $\mathcal{A}_0: q\bar{q} \rightarrow X + Ng$ (see $pp \rightarrow X + N$ Jets. A good starting point is the process $\mathscr{A}_0: \ q\bar{q} \rightarrow X + N g$ (see)

[2310.17598])

 $\langle \Delta^{(\mathfrak{mn})} F_{\text{LM}}^{ab}[\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle$

Can be integrated over the phase spaces $[\hspace{1pt}\mathrm{d} p_{\mathfrak{m}}]$ and $[\hspace{1pt}\mathrm{d} p_{\mathfrak{n}}]$ [Caola, Delto, Frellesvig, Melnikov '18]

Double-Soft Counterterm

It contains TRIPLE- and SINGLE-COLLINEAR singularities

 $L = \langle S_{mn} F_{LM}^{ab}[\ldots | m, n] \rangle + \langle \overline{S}_{mn} S_n \Delta^{(mn)} F_{LM}^{ab}[\ldots | m, n] \rangle + \langle \overline{S}_{mn} \overline{S}_n \Delta^{(mn)} F_{LM}^{ab}[\ldots | m, n] \rangle$

$$
\langle \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\text{LM}}^{ab}[\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle = \Big| \langle S_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} F_{\text{LM}}^{ab}[\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle + \Big| \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{ab}[\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle \Big|
$$

Main Features of NSC at NNLO

Soft-Regulated Term

Single-Soft Counterterm

It contains SINGLE-COLLINEAR singularities

It contains TRIPLE- and SINGLE-COLLINEAR singularities

$$
\langle \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\text{LM}}^{ab}[\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle = \left| \langle S_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} F_{\text{LM}}^{ab}[\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle + \left| \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} S_{\mathfrak{n}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\text{LM}}^{ab}[\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle + \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{n}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\text{LM}}^{ab}[\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle \right|
$$

Soft-Regulated Term

Single-Soft Counterterm

It contains SINGLE-COLLINEAR singularities

Can be integrated over the phase spaces $[\hspace{1pt}\mathrm{d} p_{\mathfrak{m}}]$ and $[\hspace{1pt}\mathrm{d} p_{\mathfrak{n}}]$ [Caola, Delto, Frellesvig, Melnikov '18]

Double-Soft Counterterm

It contains TRIPLE- and SINGLE-COLLINEAR singularities

$$
\langle \Delta^{(mn)} F_{LM}^{ab}[\dots | m, n] \rangle = \underbrace{\langle S_{mn} F_{LM}^{ab}[\dots | m, n] \rangle}_{\text{Single-Soft Counterterm}} + \underbrace{\langle \overline{S}_{mn} S_n \Delta^{(mn)} F_{LM}^{ab}[\dots | m, n] \rangle}_{\text{It contains SNGLE-COLINEAR singularities}}
$$

Soft-Regulated Term

It contains SINGLE-COLLINEAR singularities

Can be integrated over the phase spaces $[\hspace{1pt}\mathrm{d} p_{\mathfrak{m}}]$ and $[\hspace{1pt}\mathrm{d} p_{\mathfrak{n}}]$ [Caola, Delto, Frellesvig, Melnikov '18]

Double-Soft Counterterm

Can be integrated over the phase spaces $[\hspace{1pt}\mathrm{d} p_{\mathfrak{m}}]$ and $[\hspace{1pt}\mathrm{d} p_{\mathfrak{n}}]$ [Caola, Delto, Frellesvig, Melnikov '18]

Double-Soft Counterterm

It contains TRIPLE- and SINGLE-COLLINEAR singularities

 $L = \langle S_{mn} F_{LM}^{ab}[\ldots | m, n] \rangle + \langle \overline{S}_{mn} S_n \Delta^{(mn)} F_{LM}^{ab}[\ldots | m, n] \rangle + \langle \overline{S}_{mn} \overline{S}_n \Delta^{(mn)} F_{LM}^{ab}[\ldots | m, n] \rangle$

$$
\langle \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\text{LM}}^{ab}[\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle = \Big| \langle S_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} F_{\text{LM}}^{ab}[\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle + \Big| \langle S_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} F_{\text{LM}}^{ab}[\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle \Big|
$$

Soft-Regulated Term

Single-Soft Counterterm

It contains SINGLE-COLLINEAR singularities

 $\overline{4}$ ∑ *i*=1 $\langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{mn}} \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{n}} \Omega_i \Delta^{(\mathfrak{mn})} F_{\text{LM}}^{ab}[\ldots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle$

In principle, this formula can be applied to any process at the LHC.

In practice, identifying structures that can be combined with the VV and RV contributions becomes nearly impossible, rendering the calculation heavily process-dependent.

$$
\Omega_{1} = \sum_{(ij)} \overline{C}_{im} \overline{C}_{jn}[dp_{m}][dp_{n}] \omega^{\mathfrak{m}i,nj}
$$

+
$$
\sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \left[\overline{C}_{in} \theta^{(a)} + \overline{C}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(b)} + \overline{C}_{im} \theta^{(c)} + \overline{C}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(d)} \right] [dp_{m}][dp_{n}] \overline{C}_{\mathfrak{m}n,i} \omega^{\mathfrak{m}i,ni}
$$

$$
\Omega_{2} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \left[\overline{C}_{in} \theta^{(a)} + \overline{C}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(b)} + \overline{C}_{im} \theta^{(c)} + \overline{C}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(d)} \right] [dp_{m}][dp_{n}] C_{\mathfrak{m}n,i} \omega^{\mathfrak{m}i,ni}
$$

$$
\Omega_{3} = - \sum_{(ij)} C_{jn} C_{im}[dp_{m}][dp_{n}] \omega^{\mathfrak{m}i,nj}
$$

$$
\Omega_{4} = \sum_{(ij)} \left[C_{im}[dp_{m}] + C_{jn}[dp_{n}] \right] \omega^{\mathfrak{m}i,nj}
$$

+
$$
\sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \left[C_{in} \theta^{(a)} + C_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(b)} + C_{im} \theta^{(c)} + C_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(d)} \right] [dp_{m}] [dp_{n}] \omega^{\mathfrak{m}i,ni}
$$

- missing
- Even though the problem was solved in a general way at NLO more than two decades ago, there are reasons why the solution is still lacking at NNLO
	- At NNLO, we face the plague of overlapping singularities, which implies 3.1 to partition and sector the phase space
	- 3.2 Sectoring the phase space allows us to perform the integrals but, at the same time, smashes the physics transparency of the calculation

- 4.1 From the subtraction point of view, it is the most complicated channel
- 4.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is the simplest channel, as it is symmetric in both the initial and final states

2.3 Remarkable calculations have been performed, for instance, the NNLO corrections to the process $pp \rightarrow X + 3$ Jets, but the big picture is still

We have to identify the building blocks of the class of QCD processes
 $pp \rightarrow X + N$ Jets. A good starting point is the process $\mathcal{A}_0: q\bar{q} \rightarrow X + Ng$ (see $pp \rightarrow X + N$ Jets. A good starting point is the process $\mathscr{A}_0: \ q\bar{q} \rightarrow X + N g$ (see)

[2310.17598])

- to partition and sector the phase space
	-

3.2 Sectoring the phase space allows us to perform the integrals but, at the same time, smashes the physics transparency of the calculation

We have to identify the building blocks of the class of QCD processes
 $pp \rightarrow X + N$ Jets. A good starting point is the process $\mathcal{A}_0: q\bar{q} \rightarrow X + Ng$ (see $pp \rightarrow X + N$ Jets. A good starting point is the process $\mathscr{A}_0: \ q\bar{q} \rightarrow X + N g$ (see)

5 Now we want to break the initial- and final-state symmetry of process \mathscr{A}_0 . Thus we consider $\mathscr{B}_0: gq \to X + (N-1)g + q$ 0

5.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is more complex than \mathscr{A}_0

From the subtraction point of view, it is the most complicated channel

4.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is the simplest channel, as it is

- It contains all the IR divergences of the process \mathscr{A}_0 5.1
-

- [2310.17598])
- 4.1
- symmetric in both the initial and final states
- 4.3 For NLO and NNLO corrections, we add only gluons

we consider $\mathscr{B}_0: gq \to X + (N-1)g + q$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\langle \Delta^{(m)}F_{LM}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[m_{\mathfrak{g}}]\rangle &= \boxed{\langle S_{\mathfrak{m}}\,\Delta^{(m)}F_{LM}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[m_{\mathfrak{g}}]\rangle + \sum_{i\in\mathscr{H}}\,\langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}C_{i\mathfrak{m}}\,\Delta^{(m)}F_{LM}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[m_{\mathfrak{g}}]\rangle} \\
&+ \langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{NLO}}^{(m)}\,\Delta^{(m)}F_{LM}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[m_{\mathfrak{g}}]\rangle\n\end{aligned}
$$

Color - Correlations

Hard-Collinear Counterterm

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{M} \binom{m}{m} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \langle \overline{S}_{m} C_{im} \Delta^{(m)} F_{LM}^{\mathcal{A}_{1}}[m_{g}] \rangle
$$

\n
$$
\binom{m}{m} F_{LM}^{\mathcal{A}_{1}}[m_{g}] \rangle
$$

Soft and Collinear Regularizations in FKS/NSC

+

Hard-Collinear Counterterm +∑ *i*∈ℋ $\langle \Delta^{(m)} F_{LM}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[m_{g}] \rangle = \left| \langle S_{m} \Delta^{(m)} F_{LM}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[m_{g}] \rangle + \sum \langle \overline{S}_{m} C_{im} \Delta^{(m)} F_{LM}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[m_{g}] \rangle \right|$ $+ \langle O_{\text{NLO}}^{(\text{m})} \Delta^{(\text{m})} F_{\text{LM}}^{\mathcal{A}_{1}}[m_{g}] \rangle$

Soft and Collinear Regularizations in FKS/NSC

$$
2s_{ab} d\hat{\sigma}_{\mathcal{A}_0}^V \equiv \langle F_{LV}^{\mathcal{A}_0} \rangle \sim \langle \overline{I_V(\epsilon)} \cdot F_{LM}^{\mathcal{A}_0} \rangle
$$

$$
I_V(\epsilon) = \overline{I}_1(\epsilon) + \overline{I}_1^{\dagger}(\epsilon)
$$

$$
\overline{I}_1(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{\gamma_i}{\epsilon T_i^2} \right) \left(\frac{\mu^2 e^{i\pi \lambda_{ij}}}{s_{ij}} \right)^{\epsilon} \overline{(T_i \cdot T_j)}
$$

The Virtual Operator

Color - Correlations

 $+ \langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{NLO}}^{(\text{m})} \Delta^{(\text{m})}$

interterm

\n
$$
{}^{11}\text{End-Collinear Counterterm}
$$
\n
$$
{}^{11}\text{H}_{LM}^{2}[m_g] \rangle + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{K}} \langle \overline{S}_{in} C_{im} \Delta^{(m)} F_{LM}^{\mathcal{A}_1}[m_g] \rangle
$$
\n
$$
{}^{11}\text{H}_{LM}^{2}[m_g] \rangle
$$
\nThe pole of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$ vanishes

\n
$$
- \sum_{i \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(2T_i^2 L_i + \gamma_i \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)
$$
\nThe pole of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$ vanishes

\nTrivially dependent on the number of hard partons N .

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}} C_{i \mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} \rangle
$$

Hard-Collinear Counterterm

$$
\langle \mathcal{A}_{1}[\mathbf{m}_{g}] \rangle + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \langle \overline{S}_{\mathbf{m}} C_{i\mathbf{m}} \Delta^{(\mathbf{m})} F_{\mathbf{L}M}^{\mathcal{A}_{1}}[\mathbf{m}_{g}] \rangle
$$

\n
$$
\langle \mathbf{m}_{i} \rangle_{\mathbf{L}M}^{\mathcal{A}_{1}}[\mathbf{m}_{g}] \rangle
$$

- The pole of *⊙*(ϵ^{-2}) vanishes
- No color correlations at (*ϵ*−¹)
- Trivially dependent on the number of hard partons *N*

 $+ \langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{NLO}}^{(\text{m})} \Delta^{(\text{m})}$

$$
I_{\mathcal{C}}(\epsilon) = \frac{\Gamma_{a,g}}{}
$$

$$
I_{S}(\epsilon) + I_{V}(\epsilon) = -\sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(2T_{i}^{2} L_{i} + \gamma_{i} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{0})
$$

- The pole of *⊙*(ϵ^{-2}) vanishes
- No color correlations at (*ϵ*−¹)
- Trivially dependent on the number of hard partons *N*

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(2T_i^2 L_i + \gamma_i \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(2T_i^2 L_i + \gamma_i \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)
$$

Hard-Collinear Counterterm

$$
\langle \mathcal{A}_{1}[\mathbf{m}_{g}] \rangle + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \langle \overline{S}_{m} C_{i m} \Delta^{(m)} F_{LM}^{\mathcal{A}_{1}}[\mathbf{m}_{g}] \rangle
$$

\n
$$
\langle \mathbf{m}_{m} F_{LM}^{\mathcal{A}_{1}}[\mathbf{m}_{g}] \rangle
$$

$+ \langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{NLO}}^{(\text{m})} \Delta^{(\text{m})}$

- It does not contain poles
-
-

 $I_T(\epsilon) = I_S(\epsilon) + I_V(\epsilon) + I_C(\epsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$ Hard-Collinear Counterterm +∑ *i*∈ℋ $\langle \Delta^{(m)} F_{LM}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[m_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle = |\langle S_{\mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(m)} F_{LM}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[m_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle + \sum \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}} C_{im} \Delta^{(m)} F_{LM}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[m_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle$ $+ \langle O_{\text{NLO}}^{(\text{m})} \Delta^{(\text{m})} F_{\text{LM}}^{\mathcal{A}_{1}}[m_{g}] \rangle$

- General procedure for color - correlations

- Trivially dependent on the number of hard partons

The Total Operator

Soft and Collinear Regularizations in FKS/NSC

Hard-Collinear Counterterm

$$
\langle \Delta^{(m)} F_{LM}^{\mathcal{A}_1}[m_g] \rangle = \left| \langle S_m \Delta^{(m)} F_{LM}^{\mathcal{A}_1}[m_g] \rangle + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \langle \overline{S}_m C_{im} \Delta^{(m)} F_{LM}^{\mathcal{A}_1}[m_g] \rangle \right|
$$

$$
+ \langle \mathcal{O}_{NLO}^{(m)} \Delta^{(m)} F_{LM}^{\mathcal{A}_1}[m_g] \rangle
$$

Soft Counterterm

 $\langle F^{\omega_0}_{\rm LM}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}]\rangle+\langle F^{\omega_0}_{\rm LV,fin}\rangle$ ⟩

The Final Result at NLO

$$
Y_{\text{RR}}^{(\text{ss})} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} I_{\text{S}}^2 \cdot F_{\text{LM}}^{\omega_0} \right\rangle + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
Y_{\text{NR}} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} I_{\text{S}}^2 \cdot F_{\text{LM}}^{\omega_0} \right\rangle + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
Y_{\text{RR}}^{(\text{cc})} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} I_{\text{C}}^2 \cdot F_{\text{LM}}^{\omega_0} \right\rangle + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
Y_{\text{RR}}^{(\text{cc})} = \left\langle I_{\text{S}} I_{\text{C}} \cdot F_{\text{LM}}^{\omega_0} \right\rangle + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
Y_{\text{RR}}^{(\text{shc})} = \left\langle I_{\text{S}} I_{\text{C}} \cdot F_{\text{LM}}^{\omega_0} \right\rangle + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
Y_{\text{RN}}^{(\text{shc})} = \left\langle I_{\text{S}} I_{\text{C}} \cdot F_{\text{LM}}^{\omega_0} \right\rangle + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
Y_{\text{RN}}^{(\text{shc})} = \left\langle I_{\text{S}} I_{\text{C}} \cdot F_{\text{LM}}^{\omega_0} \right\rangle + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
Y_{\text{RN}}^{(\text{shc})} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} (I_{\text{S}} I_{\text{V}} + I_{\text{V}} I_{\text{S}} \right\rangle + \cdots
$$

$$
Y_{\rm RR}^{\rm (shc)} = \left\langle I_{\rm S}\,I_{\rm C} \cdot F_{\rm LM}^{\mathscr{A}_0} \right\rangle + \cdots
$$

These operators also describe the NNLO

- to partition and sector the phase space
	-

3.2 Sectoring the phase space allows us to perform the integrals but, at the same time, smashes the physics transparency of the calculation

We have to identify the building blocks of the class of QCD processes
 $pp \rightarrow X + N$ Jets. A good starting point is the process $\mathcal{A}_0: q\bar{q} \rightarrow X + Ng$ (see $pp \rightarrow X + N$ Jets. A good starting point is the process $\mathscr{A}_0: \ q\bar{q} \rightarrow X + N g$ (see)

5 Now we want to break the initial- and final-state symmetry of process \mathscr{A}_0 . Thus we consider $\mathscr{B}_0: gq \to X + (N-1)g + q$ 0

5.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is more complex than \mathscr{A}_0

From the subtraction point of view, it is the most complicated channel

4.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is the simplest channel, as it is

- It contains all the IR divergences of the process \mathscr{A}_0 5.1
-

- [2310.17598])
- 4.1
- symmetric in both the initial and final states
- 4.3 For NLO and NNLO corrections, we add only gluons

we consider $\mathscr{B}_0: gq \to X + (N-1)g + q$

- symmetric in both the initial and final states
- 4.3 For NLO and NNLO corrections, we add only gluons

we consider $\mathscr{B}_0: gq \to X + (N-1)g + q$

5 Now we want to break the initial- and final-state symmetry of process \mathscr{A}_0 . Thus we consider $\mathscr{B}_0: gq \to X + (N-1)g + q$ 0

- It contains all the IR divergences of the process \mathscr{A}_0 5.1
- 5.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is more complex than \mathscr{A}_0
- 5.3 We can see how the final-state quark anomalous dimension arises

- We add the corrections proportional to $n_{\rm f}$ to the processes \mathscr{A}_0 and \mathscr{B}_0
	- 5.1 We complete β_0
	- We can see how the final-state gluon anomalous dimension arises 5.2
- 5.3 We complete the operator I_T for the processes \mathscr{A}_0 and \mathscr{B}_0

$$
\boxed{\mathcal{B}_1: a_g b_q \to X + Ng + q}
$$

 $= \langle F_{LM}^{gq}[\{g\}_N, q] \rangle$

̂ \mathscr{B}_0 = WE START FROM THE

Main Channel at NLO

$$
\mathcal{B}_0: a_g b_q \to X + (N - 1)g + q
$$

$$
2s_{ab} d\hat{\sigma}_{\mathcal{B}_0}^{LO} = \langle F_{LM}^{gq}[\{g\}_{N-1}, q] \rangle = \langle F_{LM}^{\mathcal{B}_0} \rangle
$$

It contains the soft singularities that will combine with the virtual ones

 $\mathcal{B}_0: a_g b_q \to X + (N-1)g + q$ $\langle E_{L}^{g} \rangle = \langle E_{L}^{g} \rangle = \langle E_{L}^{g} \rangle = \langle E_{L}^{g} \rangle$ ̂ \mathscr{B}_0 = WE START FROM THE FOLLOWING BORN PROCESS:

Main Channel at NLO

It contains the soft singularities that will combine with the virtual ones

$2s_{ab}$ d $\hat{\sigma}^{\rm R}_{\!\mathscr{B}}$ ̂ \mathscr{B}_1 $= \langle F_{LM}^{gq}[\{g\}_N, q] \rangle$

$$
\overline{\mathcal{B}_1}: a_g b_g \to X + Ng + q
$$

$$
g\}_{N}, q] \rangle = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{f}}} \langle \Delta^{(i)} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{g q}[\{g\}_{N}, q] \rangle
$$

Damping Factors

They select the final-state parton that is potentially unresolved

$$
\overline{\mathcal{B}_1}: a_g b_g \to X + Ng + q
$$

̂ \mathscr{B}_0 = WE START FROM TH

Main Channel at NLO

It contains the soft singularities that will combine with the virtual ones

> $2s_{ab}$ d $\hat{\sigma}^{\rm R}_{\!\mathscr{B}}$ ̂ \mathscr{B}_1

$$
\mathcal{B}_0: a_g b_q \to X + (N - 1)g + q
$$

$$
2s_{ab} d\hat{\sigma}_{\mathcal{B}_0}^{LO} = \langle F_{LM}^{gq}[\{g\}_{N-1}, q] \rangle = \langle F_{LM}^{\mathcal{B}_0} \rangle
$$

Rename the Damping Factors

Rename the Damping Factors

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}} C_{i\mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathsf{LM}}^{\mathcal{B}_1} [\mathfrak{m}_g] \sim \langle \overline{I_{\mathsf{C}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(\epsilon)} \cdot F_{\mathsf{LM}}^{\mathcal{B}_0} \rangle
$$

$$
I_{\mathsf{C}}^{\mathfrak{m}c}(\epsilon) = \frac{\Gamma_{a,g} + \Gamma_{b,q}}{\epsilon} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}_{f_g}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,g \to gg}}{\epsilon} + \frac{\Gamma_{q \to qg}}{\epsilon}
$$

Rename the Damping Factors

- symmetric in both the initial and final states
- 4.3 For NLO and NNLO corrections, we add only gluons

we consider $\mathscr{B}_0: gq \to X + (N-1)g + q$

5 Now we want to break the initial- and final-state symmetry of process \mathscr{A}_0 . Thus we consider $\mathscr{B}_0: gq \to X + (N-1)g + q$ 0

- It contains all the IR divergences of the process \mathscr{A}_0 5.1
- 5.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is more complex than \mathscr{A}_0
- 5.3 We can see how the final-state quark anomalous dimension arises

- We add the corrections proportional to $n_{\rm f}$ to the processes \mathscr{A}_0 and \mathscr{B}_0
	- 5.1 We complete β_0
	- We can see how the final-state gluon anomalous dimension arises 5.2
- 5.3 We complete the operator I_T for the processes \mathscr{A}_0 and \mathscr{B}_0
- 5.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is more complex than \mathscr{A}_0
- 5.3 We can see how the final-state quark anomalous dimension arises

- 5.1 We complete β_0
- We can see how the final-state gluon anomalous dimension arises 5.2
- 5.3 We complete the operator I_T for the processes \mathscr{A}_0 and \mathscr{B}_0

- **7** At this point, generalizing the calculation for $pp \rightarrow X + N$ Jets becomes merely a matter of combinatorics a matter of combinatorics
	- We expect I_C to work precisely as in processes \mathscr{A}_0 and \mathscr{B}_0 7.1
	- 7.2 Our final result will be a generla formula analogous to that we currently have for NLO corrections

We add the corrections proportional to $n_{\rm f}$ to the processes \mathscr{A}_0 and \mathscr{B}_0

How to complete the Collinear Operator

$$
\boxed{\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} f_{i,q} + \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{i,q} + \prod_{i \in \mathcal{H}_{f_g}} \frac{\prod_{i,q \to gg} f_{i,q \to qg}}{\epsilon}}{gq \to X + (N-1)g + q + gg}}
$$
\n
$$
gq \to X + (N-1)g + q' + q''\bar{q}''}
$$
\n
$$
gq \to X + (N-3)g + q' + q''\bar{q}'' + q'''q'''
$$

$$
\frac{\Gamma_{a,g} + \Gamma_{b,q}}{\epsilon} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}_{f_g}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,g \to gg}}{\epsilon} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}_{f_q}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,q \to qg}}{\epsilon}
$$

ga \to X + (N - 1)g + q + gg

$$
gq \to X + (N - 1)g + q' + q''\bar{q}''
$$

$$
gq \to X + (N - 3)g + q' + q''\bar{q}''
$$

How to complete the Collinear Operator

$$
I_C^{\text{inc}}(\epsilon) = \frac{\Gamma_{a,g} + \Gamma_{b,q}}{\epsilon} + \left[\sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}_{f_g}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,g \to gg}}{\epsilon} \right] + \left[\sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}_{f_q}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,q \to qg}}{\epsilon} \right]
$$

$$
gq \to X + (N - 1)g + q + gg
$$

$$
gq \to X + (N - 1)g + q' + q''\bar{q}''
$$

$$
gq \to X + (N - 3)g + q' + q''\bar{q}'' + q''' \bar{q}'''
$$

How to complete the Collinear Operator

$$
I_{C}(\epsilon) = \frac{\Gamma_{a,g} + \Gamma_{b,q}}{\epsilon} + \left[\sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}_{f_{g}}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,g}}{\epsilon} + \left[\sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}_{f_{q}}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,q}}{\epsilon} \right] \right]
$$

$$
gq \to X + (N - 1)g + q + gg
$$

$$
gq \to X + (N - 1)g + q' + q''\bar{q}''
$$

$$
gq \to X + (N - 3)g + q' + q''\bar{q}'' + q'''\bar{q}'''
$$

- 5.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is more complex than \mathscr{A}_0
- 5.3 We can see how the final-state quark anomalous dimension arises

- 5.1 We complete β_0
- We can see how the final-state gluon anomalous dimension arises 5.2
- 5.3 We complete the operator I_T for the processes \mathscr{A}_0 and \mathscr{B}_0

- **7** At this point, generalizing the calculation for $pp \rightarrow X + N$ Jets becomes merely a matter of combinatorics a matter of combinatorics
	- We expect I_C to work precisely as in processes \mathscr{A}_0 and \mathscr{B}_0 7.1
	- 7.2 Our final result will be a generla formula analogous to that we currently have for NLO corrections

We add the corrections proportional to $n_{\rm f}$ to the processes \mathscr{A}_0 and \mathscr{B}_0

- 5.2 We can see how the final-state gluon anomalous dimension arises
- 5.3 We complete the operator I_T for the processes \mathscr{A}_0 and \mathscr{B}_0

- We expect I_C to work precisely as in processes \mathscr{A}_0 and \mathscr{B}_0 7.1
- 7.2 Our final result will be a generla formula analogous to that we currently have for NLO corrections

a matter of combinatorics a matter of combinatorics

- 7.1
- have for NLO corrections

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

We expect I_C to work precisely as in processes ${\mathscr A}_0$ and ${\mathscr B}_0$

7.2 Our final result will be a generla formula analogous to that we currently