# New Frontiers of the **Nested Soft-Collinear Subtraction Scheme**

In collaboration with:

Federica Devoto Kirill Melnikov **Raoul Röntsch** Chiara Signorile-Signorile Matteo Tresoldi



# Davide Maria Tagliabue

# **CHRISTMAS MEETING 2024**



**UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO** 





The problem of subtracting IR singularities at NLO is solved. But what does "solved" means?

- general procedures to cancel IR poles at NLO
- 1.2  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$  poles explicitly
- cross sections of every process at LHC
- Answer: NO
  - 2.1 topical issue)

1.1 We can take papers on Catani-Seymour and FKS subtractions and find

These formulas are transparent and can be used to cancel  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$  and

1.3 These formulas, at least in principle, can be applied to compute NLO

<u>Question</u>: Do we have the same kind of generality at NNLO as well?

Numerous collaborations are actively working on this challenge (highly

- cross sections of every process at LHC
- Answer: NO
  - 2.1 topical issue)
  - arbitrary process with a colorless initial state
  - missing



Even though the problem was solved in a general way at NLO more than two decades ago, there are reasons why the solution is still lacking at NNLO

3.1

1.3 These formulas, at least in principle, can be applied to compute NLO

<u>Question</u>: Do we have the same kind of generality at NNLO as well?

Numerous collaborations are actively working on this challenge (highly

2.2 Currently, the community knows how to compute NNLO corrections to an

2.3 Remarkable calculations have been performed, for instance, the NNLO corrections to the process  $pp \rightarrow X + 3$  Jets, but the big picture is still

At NNLO, we face the plague of overlapping singularities, which implies

- missing
- Even though the problem was solved in a general way at NLO more than two decades ago, there are reasons why the solution is still lacking at NNLO
  - 3.1 At NNLO, we face the plague of overlapping singularities, which implies to partition and sector the phase space
  - 3.2 Sectoring the phase space allows us to perform the integrals but, at the same time, smashes the physics transparency of the calculation



[2310.17598]]

- 4.1 From the subtraction point of view, it is the most complicated channel
- 4.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is the simplest channel, as it is symmetric in both the initial and final states

2.3 Remarkable calculations have been performed, for instance, the NNLO corrections to the process  $pp \rightarrow X + 3$  Jets, but the big picture is still

We have to identify the building blocks of the class of QCD processes  $pp \to X + N$  Jets. A good starting point is the process  $\mathscr{A}_0$ :  $q\bar{q} \to X + Ng$  (see

 $\langle \Delta^{(\mathfrak{mn})} F^{ab}_{\mathrm{LM}}[\ldots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle$ 

#### Double-Soft Counterterm

Can be integrated over the phase spaces  $[dp_m]$  and  $[dp_n]$ [Caola, Delto, Frellesvig, Melnikov '18]

$$\langle \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab}[\ldots|\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}] \rangle = \langle S_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab}[\ldots|\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}] \rangle + \langle \overline{S}_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab}[\ldots|\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}] \rangle$$

#### Soft-Regulated Term

It contains TRIPLE- and SINGLE-COLLINEAR singularities

# $\langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{mn}} S_{\mathfrak{n}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{mn})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab} [\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle + \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{mn}} \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{n}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{mn})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab} [\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle$

Single-Soft Counterterm

It contains SINGLE-COLLINEAR singularities

#### Double-Soft Counterterm

Can be integrated over the phase spaces  $[dp_m]$  and  $[dp_n]$ [Caola, Delto, Frellesvig, Melnikov '18]

 $\langle \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab}[\ldots|\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}] \rangle = \langle S_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab}[\ldots|\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}] \rangle + \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{n}} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab}[\ldots|\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}] \rangle$ 

#### Soft-Regulated Term

It contains TRIPLE- and SINGLE-COLLINEAR singularities

$$\overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}}S_{\mathfrak{n}}\Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})}F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab}[\ldots|\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}]\rangle + \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}}\overline{S}_{\mathfrak{n}}\Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})}F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab}[\ldots|\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}]\rangle$$

Single-Soft Counterterm

It contains SINGLE-COLLINEAR singularities



#### Double-Soft Counterterm

Can be integrated over the phase spaces  $[dp_m]$  and  $[dp_n]$ [Caola, Delto, Frellesvig, Melnikov '18]

$$\langle \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab} [\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle = \left| \langle S_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab} [\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle + \left| \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} S_{\mathfrak{n}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab} [\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle + \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{n}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab} [\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle \right|$$

$$\frac{\text{Single-Soft Counterterm}}{|\mathsf{It contains SINGLE-COUNSER singularities}}$$



#### Soft-Regulated Term

It contains TRIPLE- and SINGLE-**COLLINEAR** singularities

I II COMUNIS SINGLE-COLLINEAR SINGULARINES

#### Double-Soft Counterterm

Can be integrated over the phase spaces  $[dp_m]$  and  $[dp_n]$ [Caola, Delto, Frellesvig, Melnikov '18]

$$\langle \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab} [\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle = \left| \langle S_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab} [\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle + \left| \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} S_{\mathfrak{n}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab} [\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle + \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{n}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab} [\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle \right|$$

$$\frac{\text{Single-Soft Counterterm}}{|\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{n}|^{2}} = \frac{|\langle S_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} S_{\mathfrak{n}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab} [\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle}{|\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{n}|^{2}} + \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{n}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab} [\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle}{|\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{n}|^{2}} + \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{n}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab} [\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle}$$

In principle, this formula can be applied to any process at the LHC.

In practice, identifying structures that can be combined with the VV and RV contributions becomes nearly impossible, rendering the calculation heavily process-dependent.

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{1} &= \sum_{(ij)} \overline{C}_{i\mathfrak{m}} \overline{C}_{j\mathfrak{n}} [\mathrm{d}p_{\mathfrak{m}}] [\mathrm{d}p_{\mathfrak{n}}] \,\omega^{\mathfrak{m} i,\mathfrak{n} j} \\ &+ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \left[ \overline{C}_{i\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(a)} + \overline{C}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(b)} + \overline{C}_{i\mathfrak{m}} \theta^{(c)} + \overline{C}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(d)} \right] [\mathrm{d}p_{\mathfrak{m}}] [\mathrm{d}p_{\mathfrak{n}}] \overline{C}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n},i} \,\omega^{\mathfrak{m} i,\mathfrak{n} i} \\ \Omega_{2} &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \left[ \overline{C}_{i\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(a)} + \overline{C}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(b)} + \overline{C}_{i\mathfrak{m}} \theta^{(c)} + \overline{C}_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(d)} \right] [\mathrm{d}p_{\mathfrak{m}}] [\mathrm{d}p_{\mathfrak{n}}] C_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n},i} \,\omega^{\mathfrak{m} i,\mathfrak{n} i} \\ \Omega_{3} &= -\sum_{(ij)} C_{j\mathfrak{n}} C_{i\mathfrak{m}} [\mathrm{d}p_{\mathfrak{m}}] [\mathrm{d}p_{\mathfrak{n}}] \,\omega^{\mathfrak{m} i,\mathfrak{n} j} \\ \Omega_{4} &= \sum_{(ij)} \left[ C_{i\mathfrak{m}} [\mathrm{d}p_{\mathfrak{m}}] + C_{j\mathfrak{n}} [\mathrm{d}p_{\mathfrak{n}}] \right] \omega^{\mathfrak{m} i,\mathfrak{n} j} \\ &+ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \left[ C_{i\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(a)} + C_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(b)} + C_{i\mathfrak{m}} \theta^{(c)} + C_{\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{n}} \theta^{(d)} \right] [\mathrm{d}p_{\mathfrak{m}}] [\mathrm{d}p_{\mathfrak{n}}] \,\omega^{\mathfrak{m} i,\mathfrak{n} i} \end{split}$$

#### Soft-Regulated Term

It contains TRIPLE- and SINGLE-**COLLINEAR** singularities

I IT CONTAINS SINGLE-COLLINEAR SINGULARITIES

 $\sum \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{mn}} \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{n}} \Omega_i \Delta^{(\mathfrak{mn})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{ab} [\dots | \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}] \rangle$ i=1



- missing
- Even though the problem was solved in a general way at NLO more than two decades ago, there are reasons why the solution is still lacking at NNLO
  - 3.1 At NNLO, we face the plague of overlapping singularities, which implies to partition and sector the phase space
  - 3.2 Sectoring the phase space allows us to perform the integrals but, at the same time, smashes the physics transparency of the calculation



[2310.17598]]

- 4.1 From the subtraction point of view, it is the most complicated channel
- 4.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is the simplest channel, as it is symmetric in both the initial and final states

2.3 Remarkable calculations have been performed, for instance, the NNLO corrections to the process  $pp \rightarrow X + 3$  Jets, but the big picture is still

We have to identify the building blocks of the class of QCD processes  $pp \to X + N$  Jets. A good starting point is the process  $\mathscr{A}_0$ :  $q\bar{q} \to X + Ng$  (see



- [2310.17598]]
- 4.1
- symmetric in both the initial and final states
- **4.3** For NLO and NNLO corrections, we add only gluons

Now we want to break the initial- and final-state symmetry of process  $\mathscr{A}_0$ . Thus we consider  $\mathscr{B}_0$ :  $gq \to X + (N-1)g + q$ 

- It contains all the IR divergences of the process  $\mathscr{A}_0$ 5.1
- 5.2

3.2 Sectoring the phase space allows us to perform the integrals but, at the same time, smashes the physics transparency of the calculation

We have to identify the building blocks of the class of QCD processes  $pp \rightarrow X + N$  Jets. A good starting point is the process  $\mathscr{A}_0$ :  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow X + Ng$  (see

From the subtraction point of view, it is the most complicated channel

4.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is the simplest channel, as it is

From a combinatorial perspective, it is more complex than  $\mathscr{A}_0$ 

$$\langle \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle = \frac{\langle S_{\mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle + \sum_{i \in \mathscr{H}} \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}} C_{i\mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle + \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{NLO}}^{(\mathfrak{m})} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle$$



$$\langle S_{\mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle \sim \langle I_{\mathrm{S}}(\epsilon) \cdot F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{0}} \rangle$$
$$I_{\mathrm{S}}(\epsilon) = -\frac{(2E_{\mathrm{max}}/\mu)^{-2\epsilon}}{\epsilon^{2}} \sum_{i \neq j} \eta_{ij}^{-\epsilon} K_{ij} [(T_{i} \cdot T_{j})]$$

**Color - Correlations** 

Hard-Collinear Counterterm

$$\sum_{M}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}]\rangle + \sum_{i\in\mathscr{H}} \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}} C_{i\mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle$$

$$(\mathfrak{m}) F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle$$

+



$$I_{\rm S}(\epsilon) = -\frac{(2E_{\rm max}/\mu)^{-2\epsilon}}{\epsilon^2} \sum_{i\neq j} \eta_{ij}^{-\epsilon} K_{ij} [(T_i \cdot T_j)]$$

**Color - Correlations** 

Hard-Collinear Counterterm

$$\sum_{M}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}]\rangle + \sum_{i\in\mathscr{H}} \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}} C_{i\mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{LM}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle$$

$$(\mathfrak{m}) F_{LM}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}]\rangle$$

#### The Virtual Operator

$$2s_{ab} \,\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{\mathcal{A}_{0}}^{\mathrm{V}} \equiv \langle F_{\mathrm{LV}}^{\mathcal{A}_{0}} \rangle \sim \langle I_{\mathrm{V}}(\epsilon) \cdot F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathcal{A}_{0}} \rangle$$
$$I_{\mathrm{V}}(\epsilon) = \bar{I}_{1}(\epsilon) + \bar{I}_{1}^{\dagger}(\epsilon)$$
$$\bar{I}_{1}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} + \frac{\gamma_{i}}{\epsilon T_{i}^{2}} \right) \left( \frac{\mu^{2} e^{i\pi\lambda_{ij}}}{s_{ij}} \right)^{\epsilon} (T_{i} \cdot T_{j})$$





 $+\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{NLO}}^{(\mathfrak{m})} \Delta$ 







 $+\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{NLO}}^{(\mathfrak{m})} \Delta$ 

 $I_{\rm S}(\epsilon) + I_{\rm V}(\epsilon) = -$ 

 $i \in \mathcal{H}$ 

 $I_{\rm C}(\epsilon) = \frac{\Gamma_{a,g} + \Gamma_{b,q}}{+}$ 

Hard-Collinear Counterterm

$$\sum_{M}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}]\rangle + \sum_{i\in\mathscr{H}} \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}C_{i\mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})}F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}]\rangle$$

$$(\mathfrak{m})F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}]\rangle$$

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( 2T_i^2 L_i + \gamma_i \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$$

- The pole of 
$$\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$$
 vanishes

- No color correlations at  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$
- Trivially dependent on the number of hard partons N







# $+\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{NLO}}^{(\mathfrak{m})} \Delta$



Hard-Collinear Counterterm

$$\sum_{M}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}]\rangle + \sum_{i\in\mathscr{H}} \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}} C_{i\mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle$$

$$(\mathfrak{m}) F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle$$

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i \in \mathscr{H}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Big( 2T_i^2 L_i + \gamma_i \Big) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0) \\ &\sum_{i \in \mathscr{H}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Big( 2T_i^2 L_i + \gamma_i \Big) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0) \end{split}$$

- The pole of  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$  vanishes
- No color correlations at  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$
- Trivially dependent on the number of hard partons N





Hard-Collinear Counterterm  $\langle \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle = \left| \langle S_{\mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle + \sum_{i \in \mathscr{H}} \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}} C_{i\mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle \right|$  $+\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{NLO}}^{(\mathfrak{m})} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}}] \rangle$  $I_{\rm T}(\epsilon) = I_{\rm S}(\epsilon) + I_{\rm V}(\epsilon) + I_{\rm C}(\epsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$ 

- General procedure for color - correlations

- Trivially dependent on the number of hard partons

Soft Counterterm

$$\langle \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle = \left[ \langle S_{\mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle + \sum_{i \in \mathscr{H}} \langle \overline{S}_{\mathfrak{m}} C_{i\mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle \right]$$
$$+ \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{NLO}}^{(\mathfrak{m})} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle$$

# The Final Result at NLO

$2s_{ab} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{\mathscr{A}_0}^{\mathrm{NLO}} = [\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}]\langle$	$I_{\rm T}^{(0)} \cdot F_{\rm LM}^{\mathscr{A}_0} \rangle + [\alpha_{\rm s}] \left[ \langle \mathscr{P}_{aa}^{\rm NLO} \otimes F_{\rm LM}^{\mathscr{A}_0} \rangle + \langle F_{\rm LM}^{\mathscr{A}_0} \otimes \mathscr{P}_{bb}^{\rm NLO} \rangle \right] + \langle \mathscr{O}_{\rm N}^{(4)} \rangle$	n 1
<sup>9</sup> 99999999999999999999999999999999999	Total Operator at $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$	
	$I_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)} = -\sum_{i \neq j} \left( \boldsymbol{T}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{j} \right) \left[ \left( 2L_{\max} + \frac{1}{2} \log \eta_{ij} \right) \log \eta_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} L_{ij} \left( L_{ij} + \frac{2\gamma_{i}}{T_{i}^{2}} \right) \right]$	
	$ \left  \begin{array}{c} +\mathrm{Li}_{2}(1-\eta_{ij}) + \frac{\pi^{2}}{2}\lambda_{ij} \right  + \sum_{i\in\mathscr{H}}T_{i}^{2} \left[ 2L_{\max}^{2} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} - \left(2\widetilde{L}_{i}\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{(0)} - \widetilde{\gamma}_{i}^{(1)}\right)\theta_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{f}}} \right] \\ -2\left(L_{i}^{2} + 2L_{i}\widetilde{L}_{i} + \widetilde{L}_{i}\frac{\gamma_{i}}{T_{i}^{2}}\right)\overline{\theta}_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{f}}} \right] $	

Hard-Collinear Counterterm

 $\sum_{\mathrm{NLO}}^{(\mathfrak{m})} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{A}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \rangle + \langle F_{\mathrm{LV,fin}}^{\mathscr{A}_{0}} \rangle$ 

$$\mathbf{NB}$$

$$\beta_0 \equiv \frac{11}{6} C_{\mathrm{A}}$$

# These operators also describe the NNLO

$$Y_{\rm RR}^{\rm (ss)} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} I_{\rm S}^2 \cdot F_{\rm LM}^{\mathcal{A}_0} \right\rangle + \cdots$$

$$Y_{\rm VV} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} I_{\rm V}^2 \cdot F_{\rm LM}^{\mathcal{A}_0} \right\rangle + \cdots$$

$$Y_{\rm RR}^{\rm (sc)} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} I_{\rm C}^2 \cdot F_{\rm LM}^{\mathcal{A}_0} \right\rangle + \cdots$$

$$Y_{\rm RR}^{\rm (sc)} = \left\langle I_{\rm S} I_{\rm C} \cdot F_{\rm LM}^{\mathcal{A}_0} \right\rangle + \cdots$$

$$Y_{\rm RR}^{\rm (sc)} = \left\langle I_{\rm S} I_{\rm C} \cdot F_{\rm LM}^{\mathcal{A}_0} \right\rangle + \cdots$$

$$Y_{\rm RR}^{\rm (sc)} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} (I_{\rm S} I_{\rm V} + I_{\rm V} I_{\rm S}) \cdot F_{\rm LM}^{\mathcal{A}_0} \right\rangle + \cdots$$

$$Y_{\rm RR}^{\rm (shc)} = \left\langle I_{\rm S} I_{\rm C} \cdot F_{\rm LM}^{\mathscr{A}_0} \right\rangle + \cdots$$



- [2310.17598]]
- 4.1
- symmetric in both the initial and final states
- **4.3** For NLO and NNLO corrections, we add only gluons

Now we want to break the initial- and final-state symmetry of process  $\mathscr{A}_0$ . Thus we consider  $\mathscr{B}_0$ :  $gq \to X + (N-1)g + q$ 

- It contains all the IR divergences of the process  $\mathscr{A}_0$ 5.1
- 5.2

3.2 Sectoring the phase space allows us to perform the integrals but, at the same time, smashes the physics transparency of the calculation

We have to identify the building blocks of the class of QCD processes  $pp \rightarrow X + N$  Jets. A good starting point is the process  $\mathscr{A}_0$ :  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow X + Ng$  (see

From the subtraction point of view, it is the most complicated channel

4.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is the simplest channel, as it is

From a combinatorial perspective, it is more complex than  $\mathscr{A}_0$ 

- symmetric in both the initial and tinal states
- **4.3** For NLO and NNLO corrections, we add only gluons

we consider  $\mathscr{B}_0$ :  $gq \to X + (N-1)g + q$ 

- It contains all the IR divergences of the process  $\mathscr{A}_0$ 5.1
- 5.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is more complex than  $\mathscr{A}_0$
- We can see how the final-state quark anomalous dimension arises 5.3

- - 5.1 We complete  $\beta_0$
  - 5.2 We can see how the final-state gluon anomalous dimension arises
- 5.3 We complete the operator  $I_{\rm T}$  for the processes  $\mathscr{A}_0$  and  $\mathscr{B}_0$

Now we want to break the initial- and final-state symmetry of process  $\mathscr{A}_0$ . Thus

We add the corrections proportional to  $n_{\rm f}$  to the processes  $\mathscr{A}_0$  and  $\mathscr{B}_0$ 

We start from the following Born Process:  

$$\mathscr{B}_0: a_g b_q \to X + (N-1)g + q$$
  
 $2s_{ab} d\hat{\sigma}_{\mathscr{B}_0}^{\mathrm{LO}} = \langle F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{gq}[\{g\}_{N-1}, q] \rangle = \langle F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{B}_0} \rangle$ 

#### Main Channel at NLO

It contains the soft singularities that will combine with the virtual ones



$$\overrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_1}: \ a_g b_q \to X + Ng + q$$

 $2s_{ab} \,\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{\mathscr{B}_1}^{\mathrm{R}} = \langle F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{gq}[\{g\}_N, q] \rangle$ 

$$\frac{\text{WE START FROM TH}}{\mathscr{B}_0: a_g b_q}$$
$$2s_{ab} d\hat{\sigma}_{\mathscr{B}_0}^{\text{LO}} = \langle F_q \rangle$$

#### Main Channel at NLO

It contains the soft singularities that will combine with the virtual ones

# $2s_{ab} \,\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{\mathscr{B}_1}^{\mathrm{R}} = \langle F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{gq}[\{g\}$

<u>IE FOLLOWING BORN PROCESS:</u>

 $\rightarrow X + (N-1)g + q$   $F_{\text{LM}}^{gq}[\{g\}_{N-1}, q]\rangle = \langle F_{\text{LM}}^{\mathscr{B}_0} \rangle$ 

$$\overrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_1}: \ a_g b_q \to X + Ng + q$$

$$\{g\}_{N}, q] \rangle = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}_{f}} \langle \Delta^{(i)} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{gq}[\{g\}_{N}, q] \rangle$$

#### **Damping Factors**

They select the final-state parton that is potentially unresolved

We start from the following Born Process:  

$$\mathscr{B}_0: a_g b_q \to X + (N-1)g + q$$
  
 $2s_{ab} d\hat{\sigma}_{\mathscr{B}_0}^{\mathrm{LO}} = \langle F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{gq}[\{g\}_{N-1}, q] \rangle = \langle F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{B}_0} \rangle$ 

#### Main Channel at NLO

It contains the soft singularities that will combine with the virtual ones



Rename the Damping Factors

$$\overrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_1}: \ a_g b_q \to X + Ng + q$$



**Rename the Damping Factors** 



$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \langle \bar{S}_{\mathfrak{m}} C_{i\mathfrak{m}} \Delta^{(\mathfrak{m})} F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{B}_{1}}[\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}}] \sim \langle I_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{inc}}(\epsilon) \cdot F_{\mathrm{LM}}^{\mathscr{B}_{0}} \rangle$$
$$I_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{inc}}(\epsilon) = \frac{\Gamma_{a,g} + \Gamma_{b,q}}{\epsilon} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{f}_{g}}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,g \to gg}}{\epsilon} + \frac{\Gamma_{q \to qg}}{\epsilon}$$



**Rename the Damping Factors** 



- symmetric in both the initial and tinal states
- **4.3** For NLO and NNLO corrections, we add only gluons

we consider  $\mathscr{B}_0$ :  $gq \to X + (N-1)g + q$ 

- It contains all the IR divergences of the process  $\mathscr{A}_0$ 5.1
- 5.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is more complex than  $\mathscr{A}_0$
- We can see how the final-state quark anomalous dimension arises 5.3

- - 5.1 We complete  $\beta_0$
  - 5.2 We can see how the final-state gluon anomalous dimension arises
- 5.3 We complete the operator  $I_{\rm T}$  for the processes  $\mathscr{A}_0$  and  $\mathscr{B}_0$

Now we want to break the initial- and final-state symmetry of process  $\mathscr{A}_0$ . Thus

We add the corrections proportional to  $n_{\rm f}$  to the processes  $\mathscr{A}_0$  and  $\mathscr{B}_0$ 

- 5.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is more complex than  $\mathscr{A}_0$
- We can see how the final-state quark anomalous dimension arises 5.3

- 5.1 We complete  $\beta_0$
- We can see how the final-state gluon anomalous dimension arises 5.2
- 5.3 We complete the operator  $I_{\rm T}$  for the processes  $\mathscr{A}_0$  and  $\mathscr{B}_0$



- At this point, generalizing the calculation for  $pp \rightarrow X + N$  Jets becomes merely a matter of combinatorics
- We expect  $I_{\rm C}$  to work precisely as in processes  $\mathscr{A}_0$  and  $\mathscr{B}_0$ /.|
- 7.2 Our final result will be a generia formula analogous to that we currently have for NLO corrections

We add the corrections proportional to  $n_{\rm f}$  to the processes  $\mathscr{A}_0$  and  $\mathscr{B}_0$ 

$$I_{C}^{\text{inc}}(\epsilon) = \frac{\Gamma_{a,g} + \Gamma_{b,q}}{\epsilon} + \sum_{i \in \mathscr{H}_{f_g}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,g \to gg}}{\epsilon} + \sum_{i \in \mathscr{H}_{f_q}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,q \to qg}}{\epsilon}$$
$$gq \to X + (N-1)g + q + gg$$
$$gq \to X + (N-1)g + q' + q''\bar{q}''$$
$$gq \to X + (N-3)g + q' + q''\bar{q}'' + q'''\bar{q}''$$

$$(\epsilon) = \frac{\Gamma_{a,g} + \Gamma_{b,q}}{\epsilon} + \sum_{i \in \mathscr{H}_{f_g}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,g \to gg}}{\epsilon} + \sum_{i \in \mathscr{H}_{f_q}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,q \to qg}}{\epsilon}$$
$$gq \to X + (N-1)g + q + gg$$
$$gq \to X + (N-1)g + q' + q''\bar{q}''$$
$$gq \to X + (N-3)g + q' + q''\bar{q}'' + q'''\bar{q}'''$$

# How to complete the Collinear Operator

$$I_{C}^{\text{inc}}(\epsilon) = \frac{\Gamma_{a,g} + \Gamma_{b,q}}{\epsilon} + \sum_{i \in \mathscr{H}_{t_g}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,g \to gg}}{\epsilon} + \sum_{i \in \mathscr{H}_{t_q}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,q \to qg}}{\epsilon}$$
$$gq \to X + (N-1)g + q + gg$$
$$gq \to X + (N-1)g + q' + q''\bar{q}''$$
$$gq \to X + (N-3)g + q' + q''\bar{q}'' + q'''\bar{q}''$$

#### How to complete the Collinear Operator



$$I_{\mathbf{C}}(\epsilon) = \frac{\Gamma_{a,g} + \Gamma_{b,q}}{\epsilon} + \sum_{i \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{f}_g}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,g}}{\epsilon} + \sum_{i \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{f}_q}} \frac{\Gamma_{i,q}}{\epsilon}$$
$$gq \to X + (N-1)g + q + gg$$
$$gq \to X + (N-1)g + q' + q''\bar{q}''$$
$$gq \to X + (N-3)g + q' + q''\bar{q}'' + q'''\bar{q}''$$

#### How to complete the Collinear Operator



- 5.2 From a combinatorial perspective, it is more complex than  $\mathscr{A}_0$
- We can see how the final-state quark anomalous dimension arises 5.3

- 5.1 We complete  $\beta_0$
- We can see how the final-state gluon anomalous dimension arises 5.2
- 5.3 We complete the operator  $I_{\rm T}$  for the processes  $\mathscr{A}_0$  and  $\mathscr{B}_0$



- At this point, generalizing the calculation for  $pp \rightarrow X + N$  Jets becomes merely a matter of combinatorics
- We expect  $I_{\rm C}$  to work precisely as in processes  $\mathscr{A}_0$  and  $\mathscr{B}_0$ /.|
- 7.2 Our final result will be a generia formula analogous to that we currently have for NLO corrections

We add the corrections proportional to  $n_{\rm f}$  to the processes  $\mathscr{A}_0$  and  $\mathscr{B}_0$ 

- 5.2 We can see now the final-state gluon anomalous dimension arises
- 5.3 We complete the operator  $I_{\rm T}$  for the processes  $\mathscr{A}_0$  and  $\mathscr{B}_0$



- 7.1 We expect  $I_{\rm C}$  to work precisely as in processes  $\mathscr{A}_0$  and  $\mathscr{B}_0$
- 7.2 Our final result will be a generia formula analogous to that we currently have for NLO corrections

At this point, generalizing the calculation for  $pp \rightarrow X + N$  Jets becomes merely

A mis point, generalizing me calculation for  $pp \to X + 1$  sets becomes merely a matter of combinatorics

- 7.1
- have for NLO corrections

# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

We expect  $I_{\rm C}$  to work precisely as in processes  $\mathscr{A}_0$  and  $\mathscr{B}_0$ 

7.2 Our final result will be a generia formula analogous to that we currently