

Status of the Accelerator

Matteo Solfaroli BE/OP 160th LHCC OPEN Session Nov 18th, 2024

Outline

2024 run highlights

- low beta pp, pp ref run, IONS
- 2025 configuration
- 2025 schedule options



2024 LHC schedule v.2.2 – Q3+Q4





- 2024 low beta run
 completed
- 2024 pp reference run completed
- 2024 IONS run ongoing
- End of 2024 run on Monday 25th November @6am





First injection: 8th								
March (3 days early)								
111	00000000000	DV LICENS / ILL / I	AMP SQUEEK A	A here da ta ha a ta da ta	o_16.0001		Injection poin	
	42,24,244	angertan.	w, w	www.grue	q , i, i,	z tygyiltety	mently	
11-P 488-760 0000-188 1 888	100 Apreze - sur 0 - cero 43 / dp - 8.1954	25		***	200			
·	Y Jacobio	and a start of the						



Reverse Polarity optics commissioned (~ +1 week)



CERN

Reversed Polarity (RP) optics



The magnets in inner triplet regions see localised high dose rates due to collision debris, which can lead to <u>damage and failure</u>

Local optics change to **redistribute the radiation**, allowing for longer lifetime of the equipment:

Implemented in P1 in 2024, as most critical



Peak dose distribution profile along the triplet region

Example for IP1







First injection: 8th March (3 days early)

Bunch length control/target Limit RF module heating risk







RF finger vacuum modules

IMPACT in 2023

- ~5 days lost
- Bunch intensity limited to 1.6e¹¹ p/b

- Difficult to identify <u>intensity limitation</u> (strong dependence on contact quality)
- **Simulations** to assess impact of beam parameters on power deposition

VISUAL INSPECTION annealed/plasticised spring on the 212 mm vacuum module due to localized temperature increase to more than 500°C





Consolidation plan:

- 47 replaced during 23/24 EYETS
- 24 foreseen in <u>24/25 YETS</u>
 - No failure expected with ideal contact

LMC 479: limit bunch intensity at <u>1.6e¹¹ p/b</u>, while maximizing the bunch length throughout the cycle

Improved <u>control of bunch length</u> provides a safety factor of ~2 or more in power deposition



Beam power and bunch length

- Longitudinal blow-up control significantly improved
 - bunch lengths in the ramp >1.25 ns, compared to 1.1 ns in 2023
 - Interlock implemented at 1.1 ns, then moved to 1.2 ns
 - According to simulations, this lowers the beam power by > factor 2



This operational improvement together with the upcoming completion of the warm vacuum modules consolidation can open a window for future higher intensity tests/operation, without impacting availability











Beta* limitation (36 cm)

Significant losses on secondary collimator in fill 9530 (17th April) 300-400 um orbit shift is needed to induce observed hierarchy breakage



Highest losses in the middle of insertion (instead of extremities, where primary collimators are located)

- Broken hierarchy can compromise protection
 - → limitation on beta* (about 1-2% loss)



LHC in 2024

VdM & Calibration Runs, 16 - 20 May * residulity 85.3%





BCMS beam

With a filling scheme based on 3x36b patterns, the **standard 25 ns** and Batch Compression and (bunch) Merging and (bunch) Splitting (**BCMS**) beams are **interchangeable** transparently

Optimized BCMS beams deployed in the LHC in May

- Brightness theoretical 10% higher
- Better equivalent cross-section (provided emittance is preserved)
- Smaller emittance also beneficial for losses reduction, especially at injection









LHC in 2024

VdM & Calibration Runs, 16 - 20 May * residulity 85.3%





Beta* limitation (36 cm)

Significant losses on secondary collimator in fill 9530 (17th April) 300-400 um orbit shift is needed to induce observed hierarchy breakage



Highest losses in the middle of insertion (instead of extremities, where primary collimators are located)

- Broken hierarchy can compromise protection
 - → limitation on beta* (about 1-2% loss)
- Studies, tests and simulations indicated that losses are generated by **particles in the off-momentum halo** (not core of the beam)
- Various mitigations put in place to improve the behaviour
- Machine re-validated after TS#1 with mitigations in place
- Beta* limitation lifted





CERN

Production

- 124 fb⁻¹ in ATLAS/CMS
- **11 fb**⁻¹ in LHCb
- 67.5 pb⁻¹ in ALICE
- Highest production rate ever
- Peak luminosity at ~2.1e³⁴ cm⁻¹ s⁻¹ (limited by cryogenic)





- Predicted • ATLAS Achieved • CMS Achieved --- Target



Production

- Combined (beta* + offset) levelling allowed for
 - 6-7 hours levelling with BCMS beams
 - Well balanced luminosity between CMS and ATLAS
- LHCb levelled through the entire fill





- Bunch intensities stable at 1.6e¹¹
 ppb at start of stable beams
- Stored energy ~410 MJ / beam
 @6.8 TeV (~100 kg TNT)



Heat load

- Electron cloud induced heat-load mainly limits:
 - Train length
 - Total number of bunches
 - Bunch intensity
- After reconfiguration of cryogenic systems operated with 25ns beam (then BCMS) providing better homogeneity between bunches, beam quality and operability
- ~10% margin gained by conditioning over 2024 (not enough for an additional full train)





Availability

- Availability is THE key factor for accelerator performance
- Availability factor was ~constant through Run2 and Run3 for small (<24h) faults



NOTE: the availability of the proton run is calculated on the effective time from the retrospetctively calculated schedule (long faults are not included)



Minor differences in numbers are due to slightly different choices in term of dates (including or not scrubbing run, TS recovery etc)





CERN

Luminosity production for pp ref run



Ехр	Requested lumi [pb ⁻¹]	Delivered/recorded lumi [pb ⁻¹]
ATLAS	350	436 (390)
ALICE	5.5	5.9 (5.5)
CMS	350	520 (~520)
LHCb	>100	246 (~240)



7-day run (extended by one day to satisfy higher targets, as a consequence of the Run3 extension), results only possible as blessed by excellent machine availability!







CERN

IONS run

Pb-Pb physics run @6.8 Z TeV

- 4 days commissioning
 - Partially anticipated to optimize process
- 18 days physics (including VdM and intensity ramp-up)
- Breaks for VIP visit, MDs

Already deployed in 2023:

- Slip-stacking for 50 ns beams
- Crystals
- TCLD collimators
- BFPP orbit bump in all IRs





IONS run

2023 run was heavily affected by:

- Radiation on Quench Protection System: beam dumps & magnet quench → levelled lumi
 - <u>Consolidation</u> of HW with rad-tol equipment
- 10 Hz Horizontal orbit oscillations: beam dumps
 - Implemented delay of cryo valve opening
- Transverse losses during the ramp: beam dumps
 - <u>More relaxed</u> collimator strategy
 - Squeeze partially <u>separated</u> from energy ramp
 - <u>Smoother</u> orbit corrections
- HW goniometer instability
 - Control system <u>developed</u> (automatic optimisation algorithm deployed)
- Strong background in ALICE
 - IP1 dispersion corrected (same as in 2023)





IONS run

Delivered Luminosity 2024 so far...





- Delivered luminosity 1.36 nb⁻¹
 - 70% of 2023 overall production
- ~0.7 nb⁻¹ just over the weekend
 - 30% of 2023 overall production
- Reached levelling at the target instantaneous
 luminosity of 6.4e²⁷, > 1h levelling in IP2
- Injector optimisation led to high bunch intensity at LHC (up to ~2.2e⁸ Pb/bunch in collisions), storing up to 26.7 MJ beam (HL design = 22 MJ)



Availability

83.4%

Stable beams

39.5%

(SB)

Outline

- 2024 run highlights
 - low beta pp, pp ref run, IONS
- 2025 configuration
- 2025 schedule options



2025/2026 configuration - introduction

- Aim at one unique configuration for the rest of Run3, to avoid commissioning overhead in 2026
- Radiation distribution depends on optics choice and crossing angle sign
 - Regular Xing angle sign change in IP1 was applied so far (only possible on V plane)
- There are potentially **four configurations** for each IP:
 - Nominal vs Reverse Polarity **optics**
 - H-V crossing angle
 - only condition: maintain alternated planes for Xing angles (VH or HV) in IP1 and IP5 respectively for Long Range Beam-Beam compensation (mandatory for high intensity pp physics)
- Radiation impact is particularly important for Inner Triplet and D1 magnets, as their replacement comes with a VERY high cost
- The radiation limits assume all of the magnet receives the same dose
 - In reality there are hot(ter) and cold(er) areas (numbers refer to hottest spot)



Proposal summary

<u>Assumption</u>: $100 \text{ fb}^{-1} (2025) + 100 \text{ fb}^{-1} (2026)$ (beyond expectations ($100 + 50 / \text{fb}^{-1}$) in 25/26 for a total of ~530 / fb⁻¹)

Proposal		Comment	IT main quads [MG (limit 30 MGy)		D1 [MGy] (limit 90 MGy)	
IP1	IP5		IP1	IP5	IP1	IP5
NOM-H	RP-V	 Preservation of FWD physics in P1 PPS rotation in 2025 	30.7	27.8	102.5	73
RP-H ('25) NOM-H ('26)	RP-V	 Partial preservation of FWD physics in P1 PPS rotation in 2025 	28.5	27.8	90.5	73
RP-H	RP-V	 Best for magnets protection PPS rotation in 2025 End of FWD physics program in P1 	25.5	27.8	77.5	73
RP-V ('25) RP-H ('26)	RP-H ('25) RP-V ('26)	 Good for magnet protection Minor preservation of FWD physics program in P1 PPS rotation in 2026 	28.5	31.7	77.5	73



Proposal summary

<u>Assumption</u>: $100 \text{ fb}^{-1} (2025) + 100 \text{ fb}^{-1} (2026)$ (beyond expectations ($100 + 50 / \text{fb}^{-1}$) in 25/26 for a total of ~530 / fb⁻¹)

Proposal		Comment	IT main qua (limit 30	ids [MGy] MGy)	D1 [MGy] (limit 90 MGy)	
IP1	IP5		IP1	IP5	IP1	IP5
NOM-H	RP-V	 Preservation of FWD physics in P1 PPS rotation in 2025 	30.7	27.8	102.5	73
RP-H ('25) NOM-H ('26)	RP-V	 Partial preservation of FWD physics in P1 PPS rotation in 2025 	28.5	27.8	90.5	73
RP-H	RP-V	 Best for magnets protection PPS rotation in 2025 End of FWD physics program in P1 	25.5	27.8	77.5	73
RP-V ('25) RP-H ('26)	RP-H ('25) RP-V ('26)	 Good for magnet protection Minor preservation of FWD physics program in P1 PPS rotation in 2026 	28.5	31.7	77.5	73



Implications

Configuration NOM-H in P1 & RP-V in P5 has some implications:

- **CT-PPS rotation** (vertical Xing angle in IP5)
- Significant decrease of background for FASER/SND
 - Simulations show lower background than in 2023
- **Preservation** of ATLAS forward physics program (AFP)
- Use of flat optics, due to inversion of Xing planes and beam screen orientation
 - already tested in MD
- Impact on D1 non-negligible (see next slide)



RD1

- In the Interaction Regions, beams are combined into a common vacuum chamber then reseparated in the horizontal plane
- Re-establishing NOM optics in P1 will push radiation on D1 beyond the estimated damage limit
- RD1 circuits is composed of 6 WARM magnets per side of the IP. Failure would impact the first modules (possibly on both sides)
- The risk of failure has to be taken seriously and (if possible) anticipated
- **Options** under study:
 - Magnets replacement in YETS 25/26
 - Operation with reduced number of magnets



Common vacuum chamber



Outline

- 2024 run highlights
 - low beta pp, pp ref run, IONS
- 2025 configuration
- 2025 schedule options



2025/2026 schedule options

- LS3 start shifted from 17th November 2025 to 29th June 2026
 - Additional p-p and Pb-Pb or perhaps p-Pb runs in 2026
 - YETS 25-26 with minimum length of 11 weeks machine kept at 20 K to secure Helium inventory
 - Assumption of machine configuration change in 2025, but NOT in 2026
 - HL-LHC and CMS need pre-LS3 cooldown for LS3 schedule optimisation
- Three scenarios (A, B and C) considered each with different Pb ion runs



- 2025: 35 weeks YETS to YETS
 - → 165 physics days
- 2026: 18 weeks YETS to YETS
 - \rightarrow 88 physics days



2025/2026 schedule options

Option A

All Pb ion physics in 2025, pre-LS3 RP cooldown by low-µ run and MDs



Option B

Split Pb ion run about equally – setting-up overhead ~7 days

2025				2026			
YETS	p+ ∼ 24 wks	Pb ~3.5 wks	YETS	p+ ∼ 11 wks	Pb ~3.5 wks	LS3	

Option C

All Pb ion physics in 2026 and 2025 cooldown by low- μ run and MDs

2025		2026			
² p+ ~ 27.5 wks	YETS	p+ ∼ 7 wks	Pb ~7.5 wks	LS3	

Current proposal by LPC

- Option A is excluded
 - vetoed by CMS: does not ensure sufficient pre-LS3 cooldown
- Option B assumed to be most likely
- Option C not yet excluded
 - vetoed by ALICE: risk of losing PbPb physics considered too great
 - Decision also depends on the possibility to use p-Pb running in 2026 as pre-LS3 RP cooldown
 - A and C are more efficient (less setup time, more physics time)
 - B offers a compromise between risks and cooldown



Conclusions

- 2024 Run is (so far) very successful
 - **pp low beta:** target overpassed (best year ever for production!)
 - Best production rate, highest integrated lumi, highest beam energy stored,...
 - **pp reference:** (ambitious) expectations accomplished
 - IONS run: (on)going very well
- 2025/2026 machine configuration was chosen
 - **Preservation** of forward physics
 - Solutions in case of magnets failure identified
- 2025/2026 schedule options to be refined and optimized for final decision at RB

Thanks for your attention

