

Tokens in LHCb/WLCG

Federico Stagni and Christophe Haen

WLCG OTF, 13th November 2024



Workload Management

→ basically, little movement in the last 6 months

Each LHCb site was (time ago) contacted via GGUS to enable client credentials flow.

- HTCondorCE: pilot submission with tokens everywhere
- ARC: (used to be) less clear
 - Only few sites – NCBJ, JINR
 - Q: is ARC6 “ready” or we should wait for ARC7 ?
 - accounting?
- ETF tests using tokens for HTCondor CEs

Data Management

- The current Dirac production version can submit FTS transfers with tokens, but LHCb is not running token transfers in production
- Agreements on **future plans** with FTS (and with Rucio too):
 - File specific tokens (read and write)
 - Long lived and no refresh by FTS
 - Tape: came up with a “Tape Rest API with token” proposal at the FTS&Xroot workshop

IAM (usage and concerns)

Usage: we do not envision non-basic workflows

Concerns:

- **Scalability**
 - Issues seen at DC24 (and previously too)
 - Long lived tokens seems like the way to go for the moment
- **Support level of LHCb IAM instance**
 - Most probably no need for 24/7 support
- **Maintenance and development**
 - The “feeling” is that developments and bug fixes have been rather slow, mostly because of person-power issues, and mostly concentrated on fixing technical debts. It would be beneficial to get a statement about this topic