

# MD 14325 request: Tests with full squeeze in the ramp in the ion cycle

R. Bruce, <u>N. Triantafyllou</u> LSWG, 1 November 2024

## MD 14325: Full squeeze in the ramp

- Motivation
  - In **2023**, **losses** in the **ramp** strongly limited the performance of the ion run
  - The **mitigation** strategy for **2024** included more open collimators, **removing** the **full squeeze from the ramp** etc
- Goals:
  - Better **understand** whether the losses were related to the full squeeze being included in the ramp
  - Explore options to **bring it back** in the ion cycle to enhance the performance



## MD 14325: Full squeeze in the ramp

- **MD idea:** Monitor the losses in the ramp with the **full squeeze in the ramp** for the following configurations:
  - Fill 1: Ramp with low intensity beam and 2024 collimator settings
    - test and validation of cycle
  - Fill 2: Ramp with 2-3 trains\* and 2024 collimator settings
  - Fill 3: Ramp with low intensity and 2023 collimator settings (crystals at  $5\sigma$ )
    - test and validation of cycle, loss maps and ASD at flat top
  - $\circ$  Fill 4: Ramp with 2-3 trains\* and 2023 collimator settings (crystals at 5 $\sigma$ )

#### • Beam and machine requirements

- $\circ$  2-3 trains  $\rightarrow$  119b or 168b
- New MD ramp with the full squeeze included
- Changes: Collimation, Orbit, Optics
- Time
  - 5-8 hours (depending on 2 or 4 fills)

\* Analysis of 2023 119b fill showed that the losses can be nicely scaled up to the full machine





#### Back up 2023 fills analysis



\* 21b and 119b fills are scaled up to 1240b Similar behavior for all RSs

