



Jamie Boyd (CERN) 5th Feb 2025



Motivation and Introduction

LHC collisions produce an enormous number of particles along the beam collision axis, which escape existing LHC detectors.



In recent years it became very clear that there is a broad program of SM and BSM physics associated to these particles.

Without dedicated detectors in the far-forward direction, the LHC would be blind to this beautiful physics program.

The FASER experiment has been operating in LHC Run 3 to take advantage of this

however, it has become clear that bigger and better detectors are needed to fully exploit the physics potential in the far forward region of the LHC collisions.

This has led to the Forward Physics Facility proposal to maximise this physics, both in terms of neutrinos and searches for light, weakly coupled new particles.

FASER Results

The FASER experiment, operating in Run 3 has already released several strong results, including the first observation of neutrinos from a collider!



many more results to come: analyzed just 1% of the FASERnu data taken so far

100 µm

Neutrino Physics Overview



LHC is source of most energetic human made neutrinos.

thousands of neutrino interactions in current detectors (FASER / SND@LHC)

millions of neutrino interactions expected at FPF detectors

Neutrinos in the 1 TeV range: ~200-500 events/ 10 ton/day Tau neutrino flux and associated heavy flavour physics: ~1-2 events/10 ton/day

Neutrino Physics Overview



Neutrino Physics Overview

Zooming in around the TeV energy scale.... [FASER, 2403.12520, 2412.03186]



First measurements of the cross section in the LHC region by FASER. But curently with very large uncertainties. The FPF would allow >100x more statistics (>10x target mass, >10x luminosity).

Collider Neutrino Origin



Collider neutrinos are a novel probe of forward particle production.

Laboratory for QCD



Input for Astroparticle Physics



cosmic ray muon puzzle: observed 8σ excess of muons compared to predictions from hadronic interaction models forward charm production at the LHC constraints on prompt atmospheric neutrino flux at IceCube

collider neutrino program is endorsed/supported by the astroparticle community

Collider Neutrino Interactions

10-3

10⁻²

10⁻¹



neutrino DIS data will improve PDFs [Cruz-Martinez et al. 2309.09581]

reduced PDF uncertainties for many LHC processes and breaks PDF/BSM degeneracy [FPF <u>2411.04175</u>]

 10^{-3}

 10^{-2}

10



Searches for BSM Physics



[FPF 2203.05090]

BSM Searches

FPF experiments would have strong sensitivity in all proposed CERN PBC dark sector benchmark models. Although not as sensitive as SHiP in many cases – there are other models where the energy of the LHC beams creates unparalleled sensitivity.



| Benchmark Model | Underway | FPF |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---------|
| BC1: Dark Photon | FASER | FASER 2 |
| BC1': U(1) _{B-L} Gauge Boson | FASER | FASER 2 |
| BC2: Dark Matter | - | FLArE |
| BC3: Milli-Charged Particle | - | FORMOSA |
| BC4: Dark Higgs Boson | - | FASER 2 |
| BC5: Dark Higgs with hSS | - | FASER 2 |
| BC6: HNL with e | - | FASER 2 |
| BC7: HNL with μ | - | FASER 2 |
| BC8: HNL with τ | FASER | FASER 2 |
| BC9: ALP with photon | FASER | FASER 2 |
| BC10: ALP with fermion | FASER | FASER 2 |
| BC11: ALP with gluon | FASER | FASER 2 |

Examples of BSM Physics Reach

FPF experiments have unique sensitivity in many Dark sector models. For example:

- **Inelastic dark matter:** where the boost of the particles in the forward region of the LHC can allow states with very small mass splittings to observed.



[FPF 2203.05090]

Examples of BSM Physics Reach

FPF experiments have unique sensitivity in many Dark sector models. For example:

- **Heavy quirks:** where the high collision energy at the LHC is needed to produce them. (Note the quirks are heavy but the quirk pair system has very low p_T and since the quirks pair is coupled by a dark colour 'string' they go in the forward direction)



Examples of BSM Physics Reach

FPF experiments have unique sensitivity in many Dark sector models. For example:

- **Milicharged particles:** The signal event rate can be >250x higher in the forward region of the collisions yielding the worlds best sensitivity across a broad range of masses/charges.



[FPF 2203.05090]

The Facility

Forward Physics Facility (FPF) will house a suite of dedicated forward experiments to exploit the physics potential in the forward direction.



Forward Physics Facility

The FPF status has been summarized recently in arXiv:2411.04175

SCIENCE AND PROJECT PLANNING FOR THE FORWARD PHYSICS FACILITY IN PREPARATION FOR THE 2024–2026 EUROPEAN PARTICLE PHYSICS STRATEGY UPDATE

Jyotismita Adhikary,¹ Luis A. Anchordoqui,² Akitaka Ariga,^{3,4} Tomoko Ariga,⁵ Alan J. Barr,⁶ Brian Batell,⁷ Jianming Bian,⁸ Jamie Boyd,⁹ Matthew Citron,¹⁰ Albert De Roeck,⁹ Milind V. Diwan,¹¹ Jonathan L. Feng,⁸ Christopher S. Hill,¹² Yu Seon Jeong,¹³ Felix Kling,¹⁴ Steven Linden,¹¹ Toni Mäkelä,⁸ Kostas Mavrokoridis,¹⁵ Josh McFayden,¹⁶ Hidetoshi Otono,⁵ Juan Rojo,^{17,18} Dennis Soldin,¹⁹ Anna Stasto,²⁰ Sebastian Trojanowski,¹ Matteo Vicenzi,¹¹ and Wenjie Wu⁸

on behalf of the FPF Working Groups

The recent direct detection of neutrinos at the LHC has opened a new window on highenergy particle physics and highlighted the potential of forward physics for groundbreaking discoveries. In the last year, the physics case for forward physics has continued to grow, and there has been extensive work on defining the Forward Physics Facility and its experiments to realize this physics potential in a timely and cost-effective manner. Following a 2-page Executive Summary, we present the status of the FPF, beginning with the FPF's unique potential to shed light on dark matter, new particles, neutrino physics, QCD, and astroparticle physics. We summarize the current designs for the Facility and its experiments, FASER2, FASER ν 2, FORMOSA, and FLArE, and conclude by discussing international partnerships and organization, and the FPF's schedule, budget, and technical coordination.

FPF: Facility

Various studies performed by CERN teams:

- <u>location study</u>: preferred location 627 m west of the ATLAS IP, on CERN land (low background location: shielded by 200m of rock)
- <u>cavern design</u>: 75 m-long and 12 m-wide cavern, cover η > 5.1
- vibration study: excavation work possible during beam operation
- radioprotection study: cavern access possible during beam operation.
- muon flux study: background rates OK for experiments and physicists
- site investigation and core drilling: geological conditions OK
- safety study: one access point is sufficient
- transport and installation study: all large components can be transported into the facility





No technical show stoppers identified.

CERN has built many similar underground experimental areas -

gives confidence in the cost/time estimates and the proposed technical choices.

FPF: Facility

Various studies performed by CERN teams:

- <u>location study</u>: preferred location 627 m west of the ATLAS IP, on CERN land (low background location: shielded by 200m of rock)
- <u>cavern design</u>: 75 m-long and 12 m-wide cavern, cover η > 5.1
- vibration study: excavation work possible during beam operation
- Technical studies on the FPF facility (CE, integration, muon background, vibration studies, safety etc..) documented in these PBC notes:
- https://cds.cern.ch/record/2904086?In=en
- https://cds.cern.ch/record/2901520?In=en

https://cds.cern.ch/record/2851822?In=en





No technical show stoppers identified.

CERN has built many similar underground experimental areas -

gives confidence in the cost/time estimates and the proposed technical choices.

FPF: Experiments

FLArE: 10 ton LAr TPC for neutrino detection



FASERv2: 20 ton tungsten/emulsion detector for neutrinos





FASER2: tracking spectrometer for LLP searches and muon charge ID



FPF: Cost

| Component | Approximate | Comments |
|--------------------------|-------------|--|
| | Cost | |
| Facility Costs | | |
| FPF civil construction | 35.3 MCHF | Construction of shaft and cavern |
| FPF outfitting costs | 10.0 MCHF | Electrical, safety, and integration |
| Cryogenic infrastructure | 3.8 MCHF | Cryogen storage and cooling systems |
| Total | 49.1 MCHF | Includes integration for infrastructure |
| Experiment Costs | | Core costs only |
| FASER2 | 11.6 MCHF | 3+3 tracker layers, SAMURAI-style |
| | | magnet, dual-readout calorimeter |
| $FASER\nu 2$ | 15.9 MCHF | Tungsten target, scanning system, |
| | | emulsion films (10 replacements), interface detector |
| FLArE | 10.8 MCHF | Cryostat, proximity cryogenics, detectors |
| FORMOSA | 2.3 MCHF | Plastic scintillator, PMTs, readout |
| Total | 40.6 MCHF | Core cost experimental program |

TABLE I. Cost for components of the FPF and the experimental program. Costs of the infrastructure at CERN are Class 4 estimates according to international standards; they have a range from -30% to +50%. The costs for experimental components are estimated as core costs, which consist of direct costs of materials and contracts only. Each core cost was computed with conservative technical choices; as new ideas and designs are considered, the costs are expected to change.

Future Colliders

Great potential for forward neutrino measurements and searches also muon collider [IMCC, 2407.12450] and FCC-hh [Abraham et al, 2409.02163]



1B neutrinos will allow many precision studies: PDFs at $x\sim10^{-9}$, polarized PDFs, nuclear PDFs, neutrinos from heavy ions

Summary

A novel forward physics program has emerged to fully exploit potential of the LHC.

Physics:

- Guaranteed unique results for TeV energy collider neutrino measurements to probe uncharted regions of QCD and provide crucial input for astroparticle physics.
- Additionally, BSM searches for a broad range feebly interacting particles

Detectors:

- Four complementary detectors to fully exploit the available physics.
- Based on existing pathfinder experiments (FASER, miliQan) or well studied technologies (LAr TPCs for DUNE).

Sustainability:

- Collider neutrino experiments do not require use energy (in addition to HL-LHC operations) to produce the beam.

Time Scale:

- Mid-scale projects that can be realized on short and flexible timescales, offers scientific and leadership opportunities. Important contributions from construction to data analysis possible in a single graduate student lifetime.

Acknowledgements



Many thanks to the CERN Physics Beyond Colliders group for their support in studying the FPF feasiblity.

Many thanks to the FPF coordination group for their input. Particular thanks to Felix Kling for many of the slides presented.



FPF Collaboration

FPF studied by groups in: UK, Germany, Switzerland, Netherlands, Serbia, Romania, US, Japan Room for additional contributions – let me know if you are interested to get involved!

Steering Committee: Jamie Boyd (CERN), Albert De Roeck (CERN), Felix Kling (DESY), Milind Diwan (BNL), Jonathan Feng (UCI)

Detector/Physics WG conveners:

Alan Bar (Oxford), Aki Ariga (Chiba), Tomoko Ariga (Kyushu), Steve Linden (BNL), Jianming Bian (UCI), Matthew Citron (UCSB), Juan Rojo (Nikhef), Anna Stasto (PennState), Luis Anchordoqui (Lehman), Dennis Soldin (Utah), Brian Batell (Pittsburgh), Sebastian Trojanowski (Warsaw)



Physics Beyond Colliders



FPF & PBC

6

- PBC contributions:
 - Dedicated FPF working group (WG)
 - Interaction with physics WGs
 - Civil Engineering studies etc.



Site Investigation Summary

Site Investigation Works Results and Recommendations

| Results | Recommendations |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Ground found mostly competent for | N/A |
| tunnelling purposes. | |
| Signs of hydrocarbons were found in | 1) Excavation material |
| the soft sandstone at depths | contaminated with liquid |
| between 84 and 90 m. | hydrocarbons will require specific |
| | spoil management. |
| | 2) Underground tunnels and works |
| | in contact with soils contaminated |
| | with hydrocarbons will require |
| | specialised waterproofing |
| | membrane. |
| Foundations of the surface buildings | N/A |
| will sit within competent moraine. | |
| No water table has been identified. | N/A |
| Overall the ground is not very | |
| permeable. | |
| Vertical swelling test carried out | Swelling pressures to be considered |
| showed a high swelling potential. | during the design of the final lining. |
| Slight elevation of fluoride levels | Existing backfill material will need |
| shown in the existing backfill | to be disposed of at appropriate |
| material. | facilities. |

SUMMARY

- The results of the site investigation were broadly positive
- Favourable ground conditions noted and no water table identified.
- Correct management of hydrocarbons, fluoride, and swelling potential still needed
- can be addressed during the design phase.

Civil Engineering Costing

Civil Engineering Cost Estimate

| Ref. | Work Package | Cost [CHF] | Percentage of the CE Works |
|-------|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| 1. | Underground Works | 12,392,344.00 | 35% |
| 1.1 | Preliminary activities | 1,845,000.00 | 5.2% |
| 1.2 | Access shaft | 4,424,143.00 | 12.5% |
| 1.3 | Experimental Cavern | 6,123,201.00 | 17.3% |
| 2. | Surface Works | 6,727,231.00 | 19% |
| 2.1 | General items | 720,776.00 | 2.0% |
| 2.2 | Topsoil and earthworks | 702,227.00 | 2.0% |
| 2.3 | Roads and network | 796,122.00 | 2.3% |
| 2.4 | Buildings | 4,508,106.00 | 12.8% |
| 2.4.1 | Access building | 2,224,786.00 | 6.3% |
| 2.4.2 | Cooling and ventilation building | 1,497,350.00 | 4.2% |
| 2.4.3 | Electrical Building | 563,689.00 | 1.6% |
| 2.4.5 | External platforms | 222,281.00 | 0.6% |
| 3. | General items | 11,815,899.00 | 33.4% |
| 4. | Miscellaneous | 4,397,504.00 | 12.4% |
| | TOTAL CE WORKS | 35,332,978.00 | 100.0% |

Assumptions

- 3. cranes not included
- Access building as a conventional steel portal frame structure with cladding, only one floor
 CV Building as a reinforced concrete building, only one floor

- 7. Sectional doors not included
- 8. Unit costs are based on a combination of Hi-Lumi (2018), Faser (2018), SPS Tunnel eye enlargement
- 9. Inflation figures have been taken dating from 2017-T4, with 2021 as the benchmark year

- Latest cost estimate from September 2024 following enlargement of cavern by 10m and 1m radius
- Previous costs were reviewed by external consultants, and adjusted according to advice
- Findings from site investigation has been incorporated
- Inflation since last (2021) estimate
- Previous declared cost estimate was 27.5MCHF

Estimation class 4 Expected accuracy range: L: -10% to -20% H: +20% to +30%

^{1.} Services not included

^{2.} Technical galleries not included 3. Cranes not included

cv building as a reinforced concrete building,
 Finished floor level at 450m ASL

FPF: Timeline

| Year | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------|---|--|
| (HL-)LHC Nominal Schedule | Run 3 | Run 3 | Run 3 / LS 3 | LS 3 | LS 3 | LS 3 | LS 3 / Run 4 | Run 4 | Run 4 | Run 4 |
| FPF Milestones | Pre-CDR and physics proposal | R&D and pro- totype detectors | CDR, long lead items, magnet | Start of civil con- struction, TDR for detectors | Detector construc- tion start | Major equip- ment acq. | End of civil con- struction, Install services | Detector install | Detector commis- sioning, physics start | Physics running all detectors |
| Experiment Core Costs (kCHF) | | 154 | 1275 | 3473 | 7257 | 11220 | 9503 | 6978 | 741 | |

TABLE II. Proposed funding profile for the FPF experimental program using the core cost numbers from Table I. The infrastructure cost profile is being developed. The approval and cost rules will be different for the different sponsors who are proposed to contribute to this overall profile. Nevertheless, for the purpose of this illustration, the profile is shown in as-spent funds in a single currency.

Experimental Details: Muon Background

Muon background in FPF studied with detailed FLUKA simulations.

Includes realsitic description of LHC infratsructure between IP and FPF (magnets, abosorbers, collimators etc...). Simulation validated with FASER and SND@LHC data.

Flux ~0.5Hz/cm⁻² along collision axis line of sight (LOS).

Rises by an order of magnitude when going ~2m from LOS in horizontal direction (opposite direction for mu+ and mu-)



Transverse size of neutrino beam



The neutrino beam is very collimated. The flux falls off by about 50% at:

- 10cm for nu_mu
- 20cm for nu_e
- 50cm for nu_tau

The production process also varies with rapidity, and mapping out in energy/rapidity will provide important information on forward hadron production.

Experimental Details: FLArE TPC

FLArE made up of 21 TPC modules. 10tn fiducial mass. Exploded CAD view of a TPC module

Cathode

plane

SiPM strip

Electronics board

Anode plane





Installation sequence of TPCs into cryostat studied. Horizontal insertion solution favoured.

Experimental Details: FLArE TPC

TPC requirements similar to DUNE Near Detector. Benefit from ND R&D.

| Parameter | Value | comment |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| TPC liquid fill | LAr | radiation length 14 cm |
| Modules | 3 (Wide) x 7 (Length) | 21 modules |
| Module dimension | 60 cm (W)x 100 cm (L) x 180 cm (H) | approximate |
| Gap length | 30 cm | Cathode in center |
| Drift field | 500 V/cm | |
| Max voltage | 15000 V | |
| Anode pixel size | 4 mm x 4 mm | 5 mm spacing |
| Charge channels/anode | 72000 | two anodes per mod |
| Photon system | WLS plate with TPB | |
| SiPM channels/anode | 50 | |

Table 8: Preliminary parameters for the FLARE TPC.

Experimental Details: FASER2 Magnet

Made by Toshiba for RIKEN



Key component of FASER2 is a large area, air core superconducting dipole magnet.

Transverse size: 3m x 1m (gap)

Bending power: 2Tm

Two solutions identified:

- Custom made by Toshiba (similar to SAMURAI experiment magnet)
- Multiple off-the-shelf "crystal puller" magnets (Toshiba or TESLA electroncis)

Discussions with companies show both fulfill requirements and are affordbale (<5MCHF)

Toshiba



Experimental Details: FASERnu2

Expected number of events

Based on "F. Kling and L.J. Nevay, Forward Neutrino Fluxes at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 104, 113008" and "J.L. Feng et al., The Forward Physics Facility at the High-Luminosity LHC, arxiv:2203.05090"

| u int. rate estimated using | | | using | Sibyll 2.3d | | D | DPMJET 3.2017 | | |
|---|------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------|--|------------------------------|----------------------|------|--|
| | | 1 | $v_e + \overline{v_e}$ CC | | $v_{\tau} + \overline{v_{\tau}}$ CC | $v_e + \overline{v_e}$ CC | | | |
| | ν int. | | 0.9k | 4.8k | 15 | 3.5k | 7.1k | 97 | |
| FASER ν (1.1 tons, 150 fb ⁻¹) | ν int. with charm | | ~0.1k | ~0.5k | ~2 | ~0.4k | ~0.7k | ~10 | |
| | ν int. with beauty | | - | ~0.05 | - | - | ~0.1 | - | |
| | ν int. | | 178k | 943k | 2.3k | 668k | 1400k | 20k | |
| FASERv2 (20 tons, 3 ab ⁻¹) | ν int. with charm | | ~20k | ~90k | ~0.2k | ~70k | ~100k | ~2k | |
| | ν int. with beauty | | ~2 | ~10 | ~0.02 | ~7 | ~10 | ~0.2 | |





5

The Dawn of Collider Neutrino Physics

PhySICS ABOUT BROWSE PRESS COLLECTIONS Q Search articles

VIEWPOINT

The Dawn of Collider Neutrino Physics

Elizabeth Worcester

Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York, US

July 19, 2023 • Physics 16, 113

The first observation of neutrinos produced at a particle collider opens a new field of study and offers ways to test the limits of the standard model.



Figure 1: The Forward Search Experiment (FASER) is installed in a service tunnel that connects the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and the Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS). Proton collisions at the ATLAS experiment's interaction point (red star) generate beams of neutrinos (dashed red lines) that escape along a tangent to the LHC.

Physics About BROWSE PRESS COLLECTIONS Q Search articles

SYNOPSIS

First Direct Detection of Electron Neutrinos at a Particle Collider

July 11, 2024 • Physics 17, s80

Electron neutrinos produced by proton-proton collisions at the LHC have been experimentally observed.



The three flavors of neutrinos-electron, muon, and tau-are notoriously elusive, as they interact with ordinary matter only via the weak force. Notwithstanding this difficulty, neutrinos originating from astrophysical sources like the Sun and supernovae and from nuclear reactors and fixed-target experiments have been previously detected. In 2023, muon neutrinos produced by proton-proton collisions at a particle collider were directly detected by the Forward Search Experiment (FASER) at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN in Switzerland (see Viewpoint: The Dawn of Collider Neutrino Physics). Now the FASER Collaboration has reported the first direct detection of another flavor-the electron neutrino [1].

Searches for BSM Physics

Discovery prospects for LLPs at FASER/FPF have been analyzed for a huge number of models.

Many of them related to various outstanding fundamental questions in particle and astro-particle physics.



[[]FPF <u>2203.05090</u>]

Strongly Reviewed in Snowmass

Executive Summary (10 pages)

The Energy Frontier (Science Drivers 1 – 3 & 5): The Energy Frontier currently has a top-noth program with the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and its planned High Luminosity upgrade (HL-LHC) at CERN, which sets the basis for the Energy Frontier vision. The fundamental lessons learned from the LHC thus far are that a Higgs-like particle exists at 125 GeV and there is no obvious and unambiguous signal BSM physics. This implies that new physics either occurs at scales higher than we have probed, must be weakly coupled to the SM, or is hidden in backgrounds at the LHC. The immediate goal for the Energy Frontier is to continue to take and analyze the data from LHC Run 3, which will go on for about three more years, and earry out the 2014 P5 recommendations to complete the HL-LHC Upgrade and execute its physics program. The HL-LHC will measure the properties of the Higgs Boson more precisely, probe the boundaries of the SM further, and possibly observe new physics or point us in a particular direction for discovery.

A new aspect of the proposed LHC program is the emergence of a variety of auxiliary experiments that can use the interactions already occurring in the existing collision regions during the normal LHC and HL-LHC running of the ATLAS, CMS, LHCb, and ALICE experiments to explore regions of discovery space that are not currently accessible. These typically involve observing particles in the far forward direction or long-lived particles produced at larger angles but decaying far outside the existing detectors. These are mid-scale detectors in their own right and provide room for additional innovation and leadership opportunities for younger physicists at the LHC. The EF supports continued strong U.S. participation in the success of the LHC, and the HL-LHC construction, operations, and physics programs, including auxiliary experiments.

New colliders are the ultimate tools to extend the EF program into the next two decades thanks to the broad and complementary set of measurements and searches they enable. With a combined strategy of precision measurements and high-energy exploration, future lepton colliders starting at energies as low as the Z-pole up to a few TeV can shed substantial light on some of these key questions. It will be crucial to find a way to carry out experiments at high-energy escales, directly probing new physics at the 10 TeV energy scale and beyond. The EF supports a fast start for the construction of an e^+e^- Higgs Factory (linear or circular), and a significant R&D program for multi-TeV colliders (hadron and muon). The realization of a Higgs Factory will require an immediate, vigorous, and targeted accelerator and detector R&D program, while the study towards multi-TeV colliders will need significant and long-term investments in a broad spectrum of R&D programs for accelerators and detectors.

Finally, the U.S. EF community has expressed renewed interest and ambition to develop options for an energy-frontier collider that could be sited in the U.S., while maintaining its international collaborative partnerships and obligations with, for example, CERN. A new aspect of the proposed LHC program is the emergence of a variety of auxiliary experiments that can use the interactions already occurring in the existing collision ... to explore regions of discovery space that are not currently accessible. These typically involve observing particles in the far forward direction or long-lived particles ... decaying far outside the existing detectors. These are mid-scale detectors in their own right and provide room for additional innovation and leadership opportunities for younger physicists at the LHC. The EF supports continued strong U.S. participation ... including auxiliary experiments.

[Snowmass ExecutiveSummary]

FASER Experiment



1 ton FASERv tungsten emulsion detector for neutrino measurements. Also target for electronic measurements using muon appearance. decay volume, tracking spectrometer and calorimeter system primarily designed for new physics search

centered on beam collison axis where flux is largest: η>8.8

> operation during LHC Run 3

FASER Location



The FASER experiment started operation in 2022 to take advantage of this and to search for new, light, long-lived particles (LLPs), and study high energy neutrinos in the far forward region of the LHC collisions. The experiment has a 1.1tn neutrino target, and a 1.5m-long,20cm diameter decay volume, it is situated ~500m from the ATLAS collision point, on the beam collision axis line-of-sight (LOS).

FPF/FASER Locations

