

Porting to SL6 & Road to OSI



Cristina Aiftimei (INFN)

Third EMI All-Hands Meeting

Padova, 17-19 Oct. 2011

EMI is partially funded by the European Commission under Grant Agreement RI-261611

- Porting to SL6
 - Why/How
 - Details
 - *Project-config*
 - *Repositories*
 - *Nightly-Builds*
 - *Build commands*
 - Deadlines
- Road to OSI

- Mandatory platforms for EMI 2
 - SL6 and Debian6, 64bit
- Start work for SL6 within EMI 1, provide support as EMI 1 Updates
 - “infrastructure” ready:
 - *ETICS nodes & client*
 - *EMI 1 repositories, including third-party dependencies*

- Project-configs
 - emi_B_1_dev - to prepare sub-systems configs
 - emi_R_1_rc - to release
- Repositories
 - 1/sl6/{arch,SRPMS}/{base,updates,tgz,third-party}
 - testing/ and deployment/
- NBs enabled for emi_R_1_rc, 12:00 & 22:00
- Build commands:
 - **python etics-client-setup --release 1.5.5-3 --volatile etics_3_5_0 [--skip-plugins]**
 - **etics-checkout --config <subsys-config> --project emi --project-config emi_R_1_rc --forcecheckout --verbose -continueonerror <emi.subsystem>**
 - **etics-build --config <subsys-config> --verbose --target postpublish --continueonerror --repackage=emi-1-sl6-x86_64 <emi.subsystem>**
 - Platform: **sl6_x86_64_gcc445EPEL**
 - ETICS Client version: 1.5.5-3: “**client_release=1.5.5-3**”

- **01.Nov.2011**

- dCache, UNICORE, MPI, LRMS modules
- VOMS - voms, voms-devel, voms-java-api
- GliteInformationSystem: - bdii, glue-schema, glite-info-provider-[service|ldap]
- Glite Security - lcas, lcms, trustmanager

- **07.Nov.2011**

- CESNETSecurity - gridsite-[shared|apache], glite-security-gsoap-plugin, glite-security-gss, glite-px-proxyrenewal
- gLiteL&B, DGAS, APEL, ARGUS (services +pep-java)

- **11.Nov.2011**

- DataManagement
- CREAM+WMS, ARC, StoRM, AMGA

- Most components should build out-of-the-box
 - using the default platform configuration
- But problems may arise in some cases
 - Differences between packaging/naming for dependencies in SL5 & SL6
- Solutions:
 - 1) Changes in project-config - platform dependent properties
 - 2) Changes in subsystems/components configs
- Either solution - discussed in the EMT scope

- Goal – “binary packages of a high enough level of maturity and maintained by expert open source packagers are distributed via officially endorsed repositories like EPEL, Debian, Fedora, Maven Central”
- Achieve by:
 - 1) “high level of maturity” ~ 100% EPEL compliance (Rpmlint) & srpms maintained by PTs (packager) → target EMI 2
 - 2) “expert open source packagers” ~ PTs own “packager” or “trusted” packager maintain/push to endorsed repositories → target EMI 3

“high level of maturity”



- EMI 2:
 - 100% srpms managed by PTs
 - 100% Rpmlint success
- Technical details:
 - 1) checkout in ETICS a srpm done elsewhere
 - 2) checkout source code in ETICS and produce a srpm dynamically (specfile)
 - In both cases build will be done using mock

- Need of 2 project-configs:
 - One for EMI 1 SL5/6 – with present sub-system configurations
 - One for EMI 1 SL5/6 where configs are added as soon as they become “compliant”