

# FTS 3 Status

Zsolt Molnar  
zsolt.Molnar@cern.ch

CERN, IT-GT-DMS

17 October, 2011  
3rd EMI All Hands Meeting, Padova



## FTS 3 main goals (reminder)

- ▶ Solve scalability problems of channel model
- ▶ Solve configuration and management problems
- ▶ Solve software maintenance problems (architectural limit reached)
- ▶ Eliminate redundancies between data management projects



# Related efforts

- ▶ GFAL 2 (Adrien)
  - ▶ In core of FTS 3
  - ▶ Transfer/control plugins, transfer logic, infosys
  - ▶ FTS 3 schedules, monitors, supervises LCG\_Util transfers
  - ▶ Very good progress, convincing results
- ▶ GLUE 2 (Oliver, Michail)
  - ▶ GLUE2 support from the beginning
- ▶ Data consolidation effort
  - ▶ LCG\_Util and additional transfer plugins (HTTP...) from ARC



# Work done

- ▶ Finishing "old" projects
- ▶ Last FTS 2 release (2.2.8) - only critical future releases
- ▶ Last LCG\_Util / gLite release (1.11.16-3)
- ▶ GFAL 2, GLUE 2
- ▶ Shared components between LCG\_Util and FTS 3
  - ▶ *is\_interface*, *gridftp\_ifce*, *srm\_ifce*
  - ▶ Released in LCG\_Util 1.11.18 for EMI
  - ▶ First feedback and fixes in LCG\_Util 1.11.19



# Next six month plans

- ▶ Prepare GFAL 2 release
  - ▶ LCG\_Util based on GFAL1 / EMI: keep releasing until GFAL2 is out
  - ▶ then critical fixes only
- ▶ Work out / research new FTS 3 concepts
  - ▶ Series of prototypes concentrating on individual FTS 3 aspects. Examples:
    - ▶ Transfer over *lcg\_cr*
    - ▶ Scheduling based on simulated SE parameters
    - ▶ Java-less web service
    - ▶ <https://svnweb.cern.ch/trac/fts3>
    - ▶ **More?**
- ▶ Put together FTS3 prototype 1 using the results



# SE-based scheduling - Goals

## Develop *strategies*

- ▶ **WHAT** sort of info FTS needs
  - ▶ Users
- ▶ **HOW** to deliver it to FTS
  - ▶ Admins: FTS and SE

Discussions with users and administrators



# SE-based scheduling - WHAT

First proposal in wiki

<https://svnweb.cern.ch/trac/fts3/wiki/Configuration>

- ▶ Deducted from channel model (FTS 2)
- ▶ Performance comparable to channel model expected
- ▶ **format**
  - ▶ key:value pairs
  - ▶ SE:<SE endpoint>:<property name>=<value>
  - ▶ SE:public\_srm.cern.ch:TransferType=urlcopy
- ▶ **content**
  - ▶ TransferType - VOShare(<VO>) -  
SpaceTokenShare(<VO>, <space token>) -  
PublicShare - TransferProtocols -  
ControlProtocols
  - ▶ **add**, **remove**, **change** what?



# SE-based scheduling - HOW

## 1. Interactively.

- ▶ By config CLI: configure also remotely.
- ▶ **PRO**: No change in SE
- ▶ **PRO**: Available always, "supports" new developments immediately
- ▶ **CON**: Not scalable, like in channel era
  - ▶ But **PRO**: SE-s can be managed independently, no pair (channel) agreements
- ▶ Going to be supported: early phase, testing, etc.
- ▶ Authorized SE or FTS admins can feed config database.





# SE-based scheduling - HOW

## 2. Automatically.

- ▶ Info retrieval plugins in FTS for each supported SE providers
  - ▶ **PRO**: Burden on FTS development only
  - ▶ **PRO**: Info already available
  - ▶ **CON**: Not precisely what FTS needs
  - ▶ **CON**: No SE transparency (idea of supported SE-s)
  - ▶ **CON**: Internal interface gets external
- ▶ SE-s publish their state - GocDB?
  - ▶ **PRO**: Standardized (EMI goal)
  - ▶ **PRO**: Open for other tools, use cases
  - ▶ **CON**: Burden on SE providers
    - ▶ Admins and developers must contribute

# SE-based scheduling - HOW

## 3. **Autonomously.**

- ▶ The **coolest** FTS 3 feature.
- ▶ Start with good "first" values ...
  - ▶ hard-coded FTS defaults for each properties
  - ▶ ... or specify it **interactively**
  - ▶ ... or retrieve it **automatically**
- ▶ ... then watch past transfers
- ▶ ... analyze them ("learn")
- ▶ ... adjust the parameters.

