Revisiting the decoupling limit of the Georgi-Machacek model with a scalar singlet

Ref. JHEP10(2024)058

Authors: G. Belanger, J. Dutta R. M. Godbole, S. Kraml, M. Mitra, RP, A. Roy

Rojalin Padhan Chung-Ang University, Seoul



LHC Higgs Working Group WG3 (BSM) -Extended Higgs Sector subgroup meeting, 19 Nov. 2024

OUT LINE

Model Review

Dark Matter Phenomenology

Future prospects at HL-LHC

Existing constraints

Summary



Model Review



V(S) =

Scalar potential:

 $V(\Phi, X) =$

Written in terms of bi-doublet Φ and a bi-triplet X

$$\frac{\mu_{2}^{2}}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right] + \frac{\mu_{3}^{2}}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[X^{\dagger}X\right] + \lambda_{1}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right]\right)^{2} \\ + \lambda_{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right] \operatorname{Tr}\left[X^{\dagger}X\right] + \lambda_{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left[X^{\dagger}XX^{\dagger}X\right] \\ + \lambda_{4}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[X^{\dagger}X\right]\right)^{2} - \lambda_{5} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\Phi^{\dagger}\tau^{a}\Phi\tau^{b}\right] \operatorname{Tr}\left[X^{\dagger}t^{a}Xt^{b}\right] \\ - M_{1} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\Phi^{\dagger}\tau^{a}\Phi\tau^{b}\right] \left(UXU^{\dagger}\right)_{ab} - M_{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[X^{\dagger}t^{a}Xt^{b}\right] \left(UXU^{\dagger}\right)_{ab}$$

[Georgi and Machacek, 1985]

 $\frac{\mu_S^2}{2}S^2 + \lambda_a S^2 \operatorname{Tr} \left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \right) + \lambda_b S^2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[X^{\dagger} X \right] + \lambda_S S^4$





Scalar Spectrum



$$-\xi^{+}), \quad H_{5}^{0} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \xi^{0,r} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \chi^{0,r}$$

$$+ \chi^{+}), \quad H_{3}^{0} = -s_{H} \phi^{0,i} + c_{H} \chi^{0,i}$$

$$c_{H} \equiv \cos \theta_{H} = \frac{v_{\phi}}{v}, \quad s_{H} \equiv \sin \theta_{H} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{v}$$





 $V_{\nu} \leq 1$ GeV (decoupling limit)

For $s_H \rightarrow 0$, $\lambda_{2,4}$ diverge, which can be avoided by $s_{\alpha} = 0$

$$\lambda_{1} = \frac{M_{h}^{2}}{8v^{2}c_{H}^{2}}, \lambda_{2} = \frac{M_{H_{3}}^{2} + M_{H_{5}}^{2} - M^{2}}{4v^{2}}, \lambda_{3} = \frac{M_{H_{5}}^{2} - M^{2}}{v^{2}},$$
$$\lambda_{4} = \frac{M_{H_{3}}^{2} - M_{H_{5}}^{2} + M^{2} + \overline{M}^{2}}{2v^{2}}, \lambda_{5} = \frac{-(M_{H_{3}}^{2} - M_{H_{5}}^{2} + M^{2})}{v^{2}}$$

Mass of CP-even BSM Higgs

$$M_H^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(3M_{H_3}^2 - M_{H_5}^2 + 3s_H^2 \overline{M}^2 \right)$$



DM .

[JHEP 01 (2013) 026]

$M^{2} \equiv 3\sqrt{2}s_{H}vM_{2}$ $\{M_{H_{5}}, M_{H_{3}}\} \rightarrow \text{mass of fiveplet, triplet}$ Free parameters $[10^2, 10^3]$ M_{H_3} [GeV] **GM** sector $[10^2, \, 10^3]$ M_{H_5} [GeV] $M \;[\text{GeV}]$ $[10^1, 10^3]$ \overline{M} [GeV] $[10^1, 10^6]$ $v_{\chi} \; [\text{GeV}]$ $[10^{-4}, 10^{0}]$ $[10^{-4}, 10^0]$ $[10^2, 10^3]$ $egin{array}{l} \lambda_a,\,\lambda_b,\,\lambda_S\ M_S \,\,\, [{ m GeV}] \end{array}$



Theoretical constraints

- Mass of CP-even BSM Higgs, $M_H > 130~{\rm GeV}$
- Perturbative unitarity exclude

 $\rightarrow M_{H_3} > 280 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_5} > 435 \text{ GeV}$





• Trilinear scalar coupling has a term M^2/v_{γ}

 \rightarrow large Γ/M for H and H_5^0

via $H_i \rightarrow H_j H_j, H_i \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$





Exp. constraints : $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

- $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ signal strength

•
$$C_{H_5^+H_5^-h} \sim (M_{H_5}^2 - M^2)$$



[JHEP 07 (2023) 088, JHEP 07 (2021) 027]





$H_5^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$ search

ATLAS search for

$$pp \rightarrow H_5^{\pm\pm}H_5^{\mp\mp}, H_5^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$$

• Other decay mode

$$H_5^{\pm\pm} \to W^{\pm}H_3^{\pm}/W^{\pm\star}H_3^{\pm}$$



[JHEP 06 (2021) 146]









 $H_5^0 \to \gamma\gamma$

- ATLAS searched for spin-0 BSM resonances in the diphoton final state
- $pp \to H_5^0 + \{V, H_5^{\pm}, H_3^0, H_3^{\pm}\}$
- $H_5^0 \to \gamma \gamma, H \to \gamma \gamma$ via loop. vertex factor ~ M^2 / v_{γ}

Diphoton selection cut efficiency



[PLB 822 (2021) 136651, MadGraph5, Pythia8]



Observed limit is stronger for narrow resonance





Higher v_{χ} strongly constrained as H_5^0 (*or H*) is a narrow resonance for which obs. limit is stronger.





• $v_{\chi} > 0.05 \ GeV$ is excluded



After all bounds



- **Grey points satisfy Theoretical constraints**
- Orange points satisfy both Theoretical +



DM relic density



BP1: $M_{H_5} = 300 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_3} = 254 \text{ GeV}, M_H = 227 \text{ GeV}, v_{\chi} = 0.036 \text{ GeV}$ $M = 335 \,\text{GeV}, \,\overline{M} = 43 \,\text{GeV} \text{ and } M_S = 280 \,\text{GeV}$

BP2: $M_{H_5} = 190 \text{ GeV}, M_{H_3} = 234 \text{ GeV}, M_H = 253 \text{ GeV}, v_{\chi} = 0.05 \text{ GeV}$ $M = 210 \text{ GeV}, \overline{M} = 10 \text{ GeV} \text{ and } M_S = 280 \text{ GeV}$



Direct and Indirect detection

- λ_h is tuned to satisfy observed relic density
- For lower λ_a , DM annihilation to BSM Higgs set relic density





• ID is crucial, as $H_5^0(or \ H) \xrightarrow{\Lambda_b} \gamma \gamma$ offer hard photon

spectra

- **NO limit from Fermi-LAT** there exist weaker limit for DM heavier than 100 GeV
- With in CTA reach









Global scan





Diphoton signal @HL-LHC

 $pp \rightarrow H_5^0 + X, H_5^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

| $\sigma^s ~(\mathrm{pb})$ | | $\sigma^{b} (\mathrm{pb})$ | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|----------------------------|------|-----|-----|-------------|----------------|
| BP1 | BP2 | GGF | VBF | Zh | Wh | $t\bar{t}h$ | $\gamma\gamma$ |
| 0.0167 | 0.0976 | 49.6 | 4.26 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.6 | |

Selection cuts

•
$$p_T^{\gamma_{1,2}} \ge 80,30 \; GeV$$

•
$$|M(\gamma_1\gamma_2) - M_{H_5}| = 20 \ GeV$$

| Events with $\mathcal{L} = 3000/{ m fb}, \ \mathcal{S} = N^s/\sqrt{N}$ | | | | |
|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| BP1 | | | | |
| $N^s = 5711, \ N^b = 1032684, \ S = 0.55$ | $N^s = 1644$ | | | |





Summary

- We study the collider and dark matter phenomenology of the GM-S model in the decoupling limit.
- There exist a viable parameter space that can be probed by the future experiments.
- DM annihilation to the BSM Higgs play a crucial role to set relic density, while evading the strong **DD** limit.
- •As the BSM scalars decay to diphoton final state, it offers good sensitivity at CTA as well as at the HL-LHC.

Thank You!





