

Characterizing the Jet structure in Au+Au and p+p collisions by the PHENIX experiment at RHIC

Takao Sakaguchi (Brookhaven National Laboratory) For the PHENIX collaboration

This presentation bases on results from: PRC110, 044901(2024) and arXiv:2408.11144 (accepted for publication in Phys. Rev. D)

2

PH^{*}ENIX Hard/EM probes in QGP compared to p+p

- Heavy flavor: Flow of heavy quarks. Indication of "thermalization of heavy quarks" in the medium?
- Thermal photons: Systematic enhancement of the photon yield is seen in Au+Au
 - Onset of enhancement in p/d/He+A collisions
 - Obviously the "thermal" effect

Brookhaven

How about Jets? Do we see any "thermal" effect in jets?





Comparing Au+Au and p+p jet cases

Au+Au

- Jets to probe the characteristics of nuclear medium (QGP) through its interaction with the medium
- Reconstruction of jets is great (to be done in sPHENIX) but hard at RHIC.
- Instead, use multi-particle correlation.
 - Effectively limiting the jet-cone radius (R) to be very small. → small R jets

p+p

- Precise measurement of the "unmodified jets" is a baseline for Au+Au measurement
 - Cross-section, structure
 - Input to the model that run with QGP
- Model calculations at lower pT, small-R have difficulty matching data both at RHIC and LHC
- Full reconstruction is possible
 - Limited acceptance in PHENIX \rightarrow small R jets





Energy

Into grated



PHENIX experiment

Accomplished 16 years of operation with 9 collision species and • 9 collision energies

• Results from the recorded data are still coming out.



		Energy	integrateu
Run	Species	√s _{NN} (GeV)	Luminosity (mb ⁻¹)
1 (2000)	Au+Au	56	1.0E-6
2 (2001/2002)	Au+Au	200	2.4E-5
	р+р	200	1.5E+5
3 (2003)	d+Au	200	2.7E+3
	p+p	200	3.5E+5
4 (2004)	Au+Au	200	2.4E+2
	Au+Au	62.4	9.0E+0
5 (2005)	Cu+Cu	200	3.0E+3
	Cu+Cu	62.4	1.9E+2
	Cu+Cu	22.4	2.7E+3
	D+D	200	3.4E+6
6 (2006)	p+p	200	7.5E+6
	n+n	62.4	8 0F+4
7 (2007)		200	8 1E+2
<u>(2007)</u> 9 (2009)		200	9 0E 1 4
o (2008)	UTAU DUD	200	5.0274
0 (0000)	p+p	200	5.20+0
9 (2009)	p+p	500	
	p+p	200	1.6E+7
10 (2010)	Au+Au	200	1.5E+3
	Au+Au	62.4	1.1E+2
	Au+Au	39	4.0E+4
	Au+Au	7.7	3.0E+2
11 (2011)	p+p	500	1.8E+7
	Au+Au	19.6	2.0E+0
	Au+Au	200	1.7E+3
	Au+Au	27	7.0E+0
12 (2012)	p+p	200	1.0E+7
	p+p	510	3.2E+7
	U+U	193	2.0E+2
	Cu+Au	200	5.0E+3
13 (2013)	p+p	510	1.6E+8
14 (2014)	Au+Au	14.6	4.0E+0
	Au+Au	200	7.5E+3
45 (004-)	°He+Au	200	2.4E+4
15 (2015)	p+p	200	6.0E+7
	p+Au	200	2.02+5
10 (0010)	p+AI	200	5.0E+5
16 (2016)		200	7.0E+3
		200	5.0E+4
		02.4	5.UE+3
		19.0	0.0E+1
	a+Au	39	2.0E+3

ZDC North



p+p



PYTHIA tuning for unfolding p_T spectra



- Initial unfolding showed that integrals of the jet substructure distributions (avg. number of jet constituents) are systematically larger in PYTHIA than in the data for all distributions.
 - Similar for both PYTHIA6 and PYTHIA8.
 - Produces biased and inconsistent unfolding results.
- "Tune" PYTHIA by randomly removing truth jet constituents until PYTHIA and data match in R distribution.
 - Rescale the momentum of the remaining constituents to account for the removed particles so that the overall jet cross-section shape doesn't change
- Does not affect jet cross-section.



Jets cross-section by PHENIX



- Both NLO and NNLO overpredicts data
- Same trend as STAR data comparison to NLO without LL_R at LHC
 - NLO predictions overestimate the jet cross section at small R, while the agreement is better at larger values of R.
- The difference indicates importance of non-perturbative corrections at low jet $\ensuremath{p_{T}}$ and R.

Theory bands:

Private communication from G. Soyez based on: Phys. Lett. **B378**, 287 (1996) ; Nucl. Phys. **B485**, 291 (1997); JHEP **04**, 039 (2015)

Obtained by matching the NLO and NNLO predictions to leading-logarithmic re-summation in the jet radius non-perturbative corrections from MC simulations averaging over several MC setups.

arXiv:2408.11144 (accepted for publication in Phys. Rev. D)



PH^{*}ENIX

Brookhaven[®] National Laboratory

 $z_g \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \frac{\min(p_T^1, p_T^2)}{p_T^1 + p_T^2} > z_{cut} \left(\frac{\Delta R_{12}}{R}\right)^{\beta_{SD}}$

 $R_g \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \Delta R_{12}$



- SoftDrop groomed momentum fraction with z_{cut}=0.1 and b_{SD}
- Good agreement with STAR results from Phys. Lett. B 811, 135846 (2020)
 - Note different R for STAR (R=0.2,0.4) and PHENIX (R-0.3)
- Shift to lower z_g with increasing jet p_T
 - Higher asymmetric splitting at higher p_T







$\boldsymbol{\xi}$ distribution

<u>ξ = –ln(z)=ln(pτ,jet/pτ,h)</u>

- ξ for charged particles, where z is the fraction of the jet pT carried by the charged particle.
- x distribution shifts toward lower z carried by a jet constituent with increasing jet p_T
 - The trend is similar to z_g but this is a "per constituent" variable.

arXiv:2408.11144 (accepted for publication in Phys. Rev. D)





R distribution

$R = \sqrt{\Delta \phi^2 + \Delta \eta^2}$

- Angular distribution of charged particles within jet with respect to the jet axis
- Significant increase at low R with increasing jet p_T
 - Higher particle density in the core of the jet at higher jet p_T
 - Consistent with increasing fraction of quark jets at higher jet $\ensuremath{p_{\text{T}}}$





j_T/p_T^{jet} distribution

$j_T = |p_{jet} \times p_{track}|/|p_{jet}|$

- Charged particle transverse momentum j_T with respect to the jet axis normalized by jet p_T .
- Distribution gets steeper with less high j_T constituents as jet p_T increases.
 - Consistent with x and R distributions.
- Shows that j_T doesn't increase as much as p_T increases.





Au+Au



Quantifying jet modification in Au+Au

- We use two-particle correlation
 - Trigger on high $p_{\rm T}\,\pi^0$ and look for the associated charged particles as a function $p_{\rm T}$ and $\Delta \phi$
- Flow estimation (v₂, v₃, v₄)
 - v_2 are from PHENIX π^0 measurement
 - v_3 and v_4 at high p_T are estimated using acoustic scaling: extrapolate $v_n/v_2^{n/2}$ ratios from low to high p_T .
- Background normalization (b₀):
 - Used ZYAM and absolute background normalization (ABS) to estimate systematic error on background normalization







Au+Au I_{AA} vs p_T

- Suppression is seen towards higher p_T
 - Consistently seen in 0-20 and 20-40% contralities.
- Difference between ZYAM and ABS will be a systematic error.

$$I_{AA}(p_{T,h}) = \frac{\int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \left[dN_{\pi^0 - h}^{\text{AuAu}} / d\Delta\phi \right] \cdot d\Delta\phi}{\int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \left[dN_{\pi^0 - h}^{pp} / d\Delta\phi \right] \cdot d\Delta\phi}$$





Au+Au I_{AA} vs $\Delta \phi$

- Suppression is seen towards higher $\Delta \phi$
- Particles with large angles with respect to the away-side direction are more prominently seen as going to lower p_T
 - Overall associated yields also increase.

 $I_{AA}(\Delta \phi) = rac{dN_{\pi^0-h}^{\mathrm{AuAu}}/d\Delta \phi}{dN_{\pi^0-h}^{pp}/d\Delta \phi}.$

PRC110, 044901(2024)





Au+Au D_{AA}

- A transition is seen from low p_T (enhancement) to high p_T (suppression) of the associated particles.
- Trend is reproduced by hybrid model with medium response
 - Wake: Hard scattered partons lost their energy which causes hydrodynamical "wake" of soft particles
- Centrality dependence? Change of p+p jet shape affects the model result?

[9] J. Casalderrey-Solana, D. C. Gulhan, J. G. Milhano, D. Pablos, and K. Rajagopal, A hybrid strong/weak coupling approach to jet quenching, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2014) 019; 09 (2015) 175.



^[8] W. Chen, Z. Yang, Y. He, W. Ke, L. G. Pang, and X.-N. Wang, Search for the elusive jet-induced diffusion wake in Z/γ -jets with 2D jet tomography in high-energy heavy-ion collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 082301 (2021).



Conclusions

- Jets in p+p and Au+Au at cms energy of 200GeV are extensively studied in PHENIX at RHIC
 - Through full jet reconstruction in p+p and two particle correlation in Au+Au, respectively.
- PHENIX measured jet cross-section and substructure distributions in p+p
 - anti- k_T jets with R=0.3 and 8.0 < p_T^{jet} < 40.0 GeV and $|\eta_{jet}|$ < 0.15
 - NLO/NNLO predictions are higher than the measured cross-section
 - z_g agrees well with STAR and becomes steeper with jet p_T
 - x distribution shifts toward lower momentum fraction
 - R distribution shows increase at small R with increasing jet p_T
 - j_T/p_T^{jet} gets steeper, whose trend is consistent with x and R redistribution.
- PHENIX measured jet-modification through I_{AA} , D_{AA} in Au+Au
 - Clear suppression is seen towards high p_T and smaller $\Delta \phi$ with respect to away-side direction in I_{AA}
 - A transition is seen from low p_T (enhancement) to high p_T (suppression) of the associated particles in D_{AA}
 - Trend is reproduced by hybrid model with medium response with wake.
 - Change of p+p jet shape affects model calculation?



Backup



- High jet p_T and large R:
 - pQCD is broadly in good agreement with experiment.
- Lower jet p_T and small R:
 - need for good description of non-perturbative contributions, including pdf and hadronization process.
 - sensitive to UE, color reconnection, etc.
 - important for testing non-perturbative components of models of jet production.
- Study of energy distribution within jet (jet substructure):
 - single jet constituents fragmentation function hadronic level
 - groomed variables subset of constituents partonic level

Jets at RHIC energies give a good opportunity to study non-perturbative corrections.



Jets in p+p collisions at RHIC and LHC

- Jet cross-section and substructure were studied in detail at LHC and RHIC(STAR)
 - Small R anti- k_T jet cross-sections are systematically lower than NLO predictions.
 - Large R generally agrees better with NLO.
- This seems to indicate that the distribution of particles in the jet is not accurately reproduced by NLO.





Jet reconstruction in PHENIX

- FastJet anti- k_T algorithm with small R (R = 0.2,0.3)
- \bullet Tracks with p_{T} > 0.5 GeV and EMCal clusters with E > 0.5 GeV

- Jet level cuts: $0.3 < c_f < 0.7$; $n_c > 2$; $p_T^{reco} > 5 \text{ GeV}$

- Bayesian unfolding takes into account missing energy, bin migration, etc.
 - RooUnfold package used
- Unfolding matrix:
 - PYTHIA6 tune A with extra tuning to match PHENIX data better
 - Detector response simulated by GEANT3
 - For jet substructure distribution unfolding is done in a more complicated way.

Example of 2D unfolding matrix for ξ distribution (ξ = -ln(z) where z is the fraction of jet momentum carried by a jet constituent)

A set of one-dimensional unfolding matrices in jet p_T bins

Simultaneous unfolding in $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and jet \boldsymbol{p}_{T}





Run12 vs. Run15





Au+Au I_{AA}

• 20-40%



PHENIX Brookhaven National Laboratory Ine PHENIX T-Shirt R_{AA} plot

