

### Hydrodynamization and thermalization in heavy ion collisions

### **Gabriel Denicol**

### Universidade Federal Fluminense

with C. Brito, D. Wagner, D. Rischke, see arXiv:2401.10098







### Current picture of a heavy ion collision

### **Empirical:** Fluid-dynamical modeling of heavy ion collisions works well at RHIC and LHC energies



# Transport/Freezeout fm/c

### Validity of fluid dynamics

• proximity to (local) equilibrium

• "small" gradients

Do these things happen in heavy-ion collisions?

1.50

1.35

1.20

1.05

0.90

0.75

0.60

0.45

0.30

0.15

0.00



Separation of scales Knudsen number:  $K_N \sim$  $\mathrm{Kn} = \tau_{\pi} \nabla_{\mu} u^{\mu}$ 

Can this system be close to equilibrium? Hydrodynamization?

## microscopic macroscopic

### **Basics of fluid dynamics**



Closure?

### **Navier-Stokes theory: constitutive relations**

### **Shear Viscosity**

### **Bulk Viscosity**

(Resistance to expansion)

(Resistance to deformation)

$$\pi^{\mu\nu} = 2\eta \nabla^{\langle\mu} u^{\nu\rangle}$$



$$q^{\mu}$$







**Acausal and unstable:** cannot describe relativistic fluids

# **Net-Charge Diffusion**



### What we solve is not "traditional" fluid dynamics

**Causality:** constitutive relations for the dissipative currents *cannot* be imposed

**Dynamical equations,** e.g. Israel-Stewart theory

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\Pi}\dot{\Pi} + \Pi = -\zeta\theta + \dots \\ & \tau_{\pi}\dot{\pi}^{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + \pi^{\mu\nu} = 2\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \dots \end{aligned}$$
relaxation times higher-order ter

<u>non-perturbative theory in gradients!</u>

### Annals Phys. 118 (1979) 341-372

### ms

### Heller&Spalinski, Phys.Rev.Lett. 115 (2015) 7, 072501

How can we derive/understand these theories?

We can study this problem in kinetic theory

$$k^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{\mathbf{k}} = C[f]$$
Relativistic Boltzmann eq.  
Method of moments  

$$\tau_{\Pi}\dot{\Pi} + \Pi = -\zeta\theta + \dots$$

$$\tau_{\pi}\dot{\pi}^{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + \pi^{\mu\nu} = 2\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \dots$$
Relativistic Hydrodynan





### Why does this matter? Need *microscopic* description of the medium

fluid variables  $T^{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow particles f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p}) \qquad \delta f(k) \sim \frac{\pi^{\mu\nu}}{\varepsilon_0 + p_0} k_\mu k_\nu$ 





### What you will see today

We solve the Boltzmann equation for an *ultrarelativistic* gas in Bjorken flow using the method of moments

### **Outline:**

- Boltzmann equation in *Bjorken flow and* the method of moments
- Divergence of the moment expansion
- Numerical solutions of the Boltzmann equation
- Discussion and conclusions

### Bjorken flow (toy model of a heavy ion collision) J. D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. D27, 140 (1983)

Simple model for *boost invariant* longitudinal expansion

Homogeneous fluid in hyperbolic coordinates  $(\tau, x, y, \varsigma)$  $\tau = \sqrt{t^2 - z^2}$   $\varsigma = \tanh^{-1}(z/t)$ energy-momentum tensor **Gradients** ~  $1/\tau$ **Static velocity**  $u^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0) \qquad \sigma^{\mu}_{\nu} = \operatorname{diag}\left(0, -\frac{1}{3\tau}, -\frac{1}{3\tau}, \frac{2}{3\tau}\right) \qquad T^{\mu}_{\nu} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\varepsilon, P - \frac{\pi}{2}, P - \frac{\pi}{2}, P + \pi\right)$ 

Knudsen number:  $K_N \sim \hat{\tau}^{-1} \equiv \tau_R/\tau$ 



# **Boltzmann equation for an ultrarelativistic gas** (Bjorken flow)

**Single particle distribution function:**  $f_{\mathbf{k}} = f(\tau, k_0, k_{\eta_s})$ 

- can be solved numerically
- used to study the fluid-dynamical limit

Florkowski&Ryblewski, Phys.Rev.C 88 (2013) 024903



### **Method of moments** (Bjorken flow)

H. Grad, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 2, 331 (1949) Israel&Stewart, Annals Phys. 118 (1979) 341-372 Denicol et al, PRD 85, 114047 (2012)

Single particle distribution function
$$f_{\mathbf{k}} = f(\tau, k_0, k_{\eta_s})$$
Moment expansion $f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{0\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n,\ell} (\beta E_{\mathbf{k}})^{2\ell} P_{2\ell} (\cos \Theta)$ Moments of  $f$  $c_{n\ell} \sim \int_k E_{\mathbf{k}}^{\ell} P_{\ell} (\cos \Theta_{\mathbf{k}}) L_n^{(2\ell+1)} (\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}) f_{\mathbf{k}}$ Equations of motion $\dot{c}_{n\ell} = F(\tau, \{c_{n\ell}\})$ 

truncation leads to hydro (no small parameter)



### $\Theta$ ) $L_n^{(4\ell+1)}(\beta E_{\mathbf{k}})$



### 14-moments approx. (Bjorken flow)

H. Grad, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 2, 331 (1949) Israel&Stewart, Annals Phys. 118 (1979) 341-372 Denicol et al, PRD 85, 114047 (2012)



### **Moment solutions**



### A surprise: divergence of the moment expansion



**A surprise:** divergence of the moment expansion  $f_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{4\ell + 1}{2} P_{2\ell}(\cos \Theta) \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\ell)}$ 



### Borel-Pade resumation: results



Borel-Pade resumation: results



### Comparison with hydrodynamic approximations



Hydrodynamic approximations are not in good agreement with exact  $\delta f$ 



10.0

### Summary/Conclusions

We solve the Boltzmann equation for an *ultrarelativistic* gas in Bjorken flow using the method of moments

 $T^{\mu\nu}$  is well described by hydrodynamics, but  $\delta f$  is not.

Very large isotropic component, even without bulk viscosity non-hydrodynamic origin

• does not couple to  $T^{\mu\nu}$  due to massless limit

Shear component of the distribution function does not match hydrodynamic approximations

This could be important for calculating thermal photon emission