Effect of an Expanding Charged Cloud on two-particle Bose-Einstein Correlations

Hemida H. Mohammed

CHEP-FU, Fayom University, Fayoum, Egypt

24*th* Annual Conference on Heavy-Ion Collisions (Zimanyi School) (2–6 Dec 2024)

Abstract

After rehadronization in heavy-ion collisions, hundreds of charged particles are produced. When measuring the correlation functions, we take into account that the produced hadrons create a strong electromagnetic field around trajectories of the investigated pairs of identical pions. Although this may be seen as an Aharonov-Bohm effect, a more straightforward explanation would be that the phase along the pair's closed path is altered when one of the particles' paths is altered by a phase, as compared to the interaction-free case, when the path is a straight line, and momentum also does not change. This additional phase shift for an infinitesimal path element dx can be expressed as $k \cdot dx$, where $k = p/\hbar$ is the momentum (or wavenumber) of the particle at that point. The alteration of the particles' flight time reaching the detector can be connected to the phase shift of the particles, as we discuss below.

Using phase-space density to describe the correlation function of the emitter $S(x, p)$ as:

• The correlation strength is defined by the fraction of the pions that come from the core to contribute to the visible correlation function:

Figure 1: Aharonov–Bohm effect with a Hubble expanding source

• The presence of partially coherent pion production distorts $\lambda_2 \& \lambda_3$, however twoand three-particle BEC func. at zero relative momentum are in simple connection to the partially coherent fraction (pc) of the fireball:

Bose-Einstein Correlations

• Momentum correlation function of two identical particles, generally is:

• The produced hadron gas flow can be described by the dynamical system of equations as:

$$
C_2(p_1, p_2) \equiv \frac{N_2(p_1, p_2)}{N_1(p_1)N_1(p_2)},
$$
\n(1)

$$
C_2(p_1, p_2) = 1 + Re \frac{S(q, p_1)S^*(q, p_2)}{S(0, p_1)S^*(0, p_2)}
$$

where $S(q, p)$ denotes as Fourier transform of the source

• with $q \equiv p_1 - p_2$, the two particle correlation func becomes:

$$
\widetilde{\alpha}(\tau) = \widetilde{\alpha}(\tau) = \widetilde{\alpha}(\tau) = \widetilde{\alpha}(\tau) = \widetilde{\alpha}(\tau)
$$

(2)

- The time shift of TOF (Δt) is a result of the phase-shift (σ) after scattering of the test particle during the flight towards the detector due to the final state interactions with the cloud of charged particles.
- Charge cloud has N_{ch} in a 3-D Hubble flow with initial momentum p_{in} in random direction for the test particle.
- From the simulation we measured the Δt according to $\Delta t = t_{\text{TOF}}(d) t$ $(N_{ch} = 0)$ TOF it is a Gaussian distribution with width σ_t .
- From the fitting of Gassian distribution and determining the width we can get the phase-shift as:

(3)

Figure 4: The traveled distance by the investigated correlated particles with the phase-shift distribution σ_0 , for $N_{ch} = 1000$, $R = 1.5$ fm.

Figure 2: Time shift distributions from the simulation for different initial momentum values.

Two- and Three-particle strengths

Figure 6: The intercept parameter λ_3 as a function of the initial transverse momentum of the probe particle, for the two scenarios: $N_{\text{ch}} = 500, R = 5 \text{ fm}$ and $N_{\text{ch}} = 1000, R = 1.5$ fm.

$$
\lambda_2 = f_c^2, \quad f_c = \frac{N_{core}}{N_{core} + N_{halo}} \tag{4}
$$

$$
\lambda_2 = f_c^2((1 - p_c)^2 + 2p_c(1 - p_c))
$$
\n(5)

$$
\lambda_3 = 2f_c^3((1-p_c)^3 + 3p_c(1-p_c)^2) + 3f_c^2((1-p_c)^2 + 2p_c(1-p_c))
$$

+ 2*pc*(1 − *pc*)) (6)

Model Setup

$$
\frac{dp}{dt} = \hbar c \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{N_{ch}} \frac{q(r_j - X)}{r^3}
$$

$$
\frac{dX}{dt} = V = \frac{p}{m\gamma}
$$

Phase-shift from Time-shift

$$
\phi = k\Delta x = \frac{p}{\hbar}v\Delta t = \frac{p^2}{\hbar\sqrt{m^2 + p^2}}\Delta t \Rightarrow \sigma = \frac{p^2}{\hbar\sqrt{m^2 + p^2}}\sigma_t.
$$
\n(9)

The Distance effect and Intial Momentum

 $C_2(q, K) = 1 + \frac{|S(q, K)|^2}{\sqrt{N}}$ $|S(0, K)|^2$ $\approx 1 + \lambda_2$ $\frac{|S(q, K)|^2}{\widetilde{\epsilon}}$ $|S(0, K)|^2$

Figure 3: The dependency of the gaussian width and then the phase shift on the initial momentum of the correlated particles

Corrlation strength

Figure 5: The intercept

parameter λ_2 as a function of the initial transverse momentum of the probe particle, for the two scenarios: $N_{\text{ch}} = 500, R = 5 \text{ fm}$ and $N_{\text{ch}} = 1000, R = 1.5$ fm.

- Where $r = \sqrt{(r_j X)^2} \& \gamma =$ $\frac{1}{2}$ 1 − $p^{\mathbf{2}}$ $\overline{m^2}$
- This model was solved numerically using Euler Method with time iteration for pion mass =139.57, charge $q = \pm 1$, and using the natural units $(\hbar = c = 1)$.

Conclusion

- Changes in phases due to the Aharonov-Bohm effect, effectuated via the Coulomb interaction, may cause distortion in quantum-statistical correlations.
- Phase changes are prominent at low particle momenta but diminish at higher momenta and also at hight particle densities.
- Incorporating this effect in Monte Carlo simulations is resource-intensive due to the Coulomb interaction's range and the long timescales required. However, it can be accounted for in momentum correlation calculations via an afterburner as proposed.