Elongated Events in the EPICAL-2, Update

Johannes Keul





Reminder: Longitudinal profile for deep events



• For the 0.1% sample for clusters, the longitudinal profile shows a double peak, this feature is present in both simulation and data

Reminder: possible explanation

Side view



- In some cases, a significant part of the primary electron's energy is transferred to a single bremsstrahlungs photon
- This photon can travel quite far in the detector without interacting: mean free path for photons is approximately 1.5 layers
- Chance of a photon traveling through a certain number of layers:

6 layers: 1.9% 12 layers: 0.035% 18 layers: 6.6 * 10⁻⁶



Different depth bins



With decreasing depth

- the maximum value of the first peak increases. \rightarrow The primary electron transfers less energy to a single photon
- the second peak moves towards lower layers. \rightarrow The photon showers earlier
- the minimum between both peaks vanishes, the peaks merge.

Different depth bins



With decreasing depth

- the maximum value of the first peak increases. \rightarrow The primary electron transfers less energy to a single photon
- the second peak moves towards lower layers. \rightarrow The photon showers earlier
- the minimum between both peaks vanishes, the peaks merge.

Different physics lists

N_{Clus}/N_{Evt}

Compared to EMZ, there are differences in the description of:

- LIV: Compton scattering, ionization (positron)
- PEN: Rayleigh- and Compton scattering, photoelectric effect, pair production, ionization, bremsstrahlung, annihilation



- Large fluctuations due to limited statistics
- All physics lists show similar behavior

Paper Structure

Johannes Keul





Paper structure

- 1. Introduction
- 2. EPICAL-2 Beam-Test, Analysis and Simulation Setup
- 3. SPS beam composition and electron-hadron discrimination
 - 3.1 SPS beam composition
- 4. Calorimeter response to electrons
 - 4.1 Definition of the shower energy response
 - 4.2 Response in Simulation
 - 4.3 Energy linearity
 - 4.4 Energy resolution
- 5. Electromagnetic shower shape
 - 5.1 Lateral distribution
 - 5.2 Moliere radius
 - 5.3 Position resolution
 - 5.4 Longitudinal distribution
 - 5.5 Electron-hadron discrimination from shower shape
 - 5.6 Effect of shower shape discrimination

Current plan: Using standard detector response throughout the paper and introducing alternative (radially cut) detector response in one section

Option 1

- 1. Introduction
- 2. EPICAL-2 Beam-Test, Analysis and Simulation Setup
- 3. SPS beam composition and electron-hadron discrimination
 - 3.1 SPS beam composition
- 4. Calorimeter response to electrons
 - 4.1 Definition of the shower energy response
 - 4.2 Alternative detector response
 - 4.3 Response in Simulation
 - 4.4 Energy linearity
 - 4.5 Energy resolution
- 5. Electromagnetic shower shape
 - 5.1 Lateral distribution
 - 5.2 Moliere radius
 - 5.3 Position resolution
 - 5.4 Longitudinal distribution
 - 5.5 Electron-hadron discrimination from shower shape
 - 5.6 Effect of shower shape discrimination

Option 2

- 1. Introduction
- 2. EPICAL-2 Beam-Test, Analysis and Simulation Setup
- 3. SPS beam composition and electron-hadron discrimination
 - 3.1 SPS beam composition
- 4. Calorimeter response to electrons
 - 4.1 Definition of the shower energy response
 - 4.2 Response in Simulation
 - 4.3 Energy linearity
 - 4.4 Energy resolution
- 5. Electromagnetic shower shape
 - 5.1 Lateral distribution
 - 5.2 Moliere radius
 - 5.3 Position resolution
 - 5.4 Longitudinal distribution
 - 5.5 Electron-hadron discrimination from shower shape
 - 5.6 Effect of shower shape discrimination
- 6. Alternative detector response
 - 4.1 Definition
 - 4.2 Energy linearity
 - 4.3 Energy resolution

Plots for alternative detector response



Which data points should be shown? Probably only (standard) simulation and data, since EPICAL-2L is not introduced in this paper.