Hadronic Calorimeter Performance for the MAIA Detector

Elise Sledge, Princeton University, California Institute of Technology IMCC Physics and Detector Meeting, December 10



Introduction



On November 12th, Ben Rosser presented <u>The MAIA Detector for</u> <u>a 10 TeV Muon Collider</u> outlining recent work on the MAIA (Muon Accelerator Integrated Apparatus) detector for a 10 TeV collider

- Some reminders from this presentation:
 - MAIA extends previous detector studies adapted from CLIC at 1.5 and 3 TeV
 - Moving away from CLIC, MAIA moved the solenoid inside of the calorimeters to mitigate BIB effects (ECAL especially)
 - The B-field strength increased from 3.57 to 5 T
- However, the hadronic calorimeter performance for neutrons was not yet ready, so I will present these results today



BIB mitigation and the HCAL



- Beam-induced-background (BIB) mitigation
 - Two cone shaped borated polyethylene (BCH2) coated tungsten nozzles
- BIB properties
 - BIB particles are characterized by their large number, low momentum, and their timing offset with respect to bunch crossings
 - Necessitates an HCAL with good timing and energy resolution (5D Calorimetry)
- MAIA's HCAL
 - Similar to the ATLAS TileCal
 - 75 layers compared to CLIC's 50





Neutrons and beam-induced-background





- Green: Detector hits from the shower of a 73 GeV neutron
- Orange: Hits from beam-induced-background (BIB) overlay
 - BIB particles are espcially difficult to separate in the HCAL compared to the ECAL
- Calorimetry goals:
 - \circ 35%/ \sqrt{E} energy resolution
 - 100 ps timing resolution

Particle and BIB simulation



- Neutrons were simulated with the with Key4hep in the iLCSoft framework and BIB samples where produced and overlaid with FLUKA
- Neutron generation parameters
 - Generated in 3 Energy batches (0-50 GeV), (50-250 GeV), and (250-1000 GeV) with flat distributions in E
 - As well as flat distributions in $0 < \phi < 2\pi$ and $0 < \theta < \pi$
- Neutron reconstruction was completed using Pandora particle flow creating particle flow objects (PFOs)
 - For samples without the BIB overlay, anti-k_T and cone clustering methods were tested, but Pandora has worked best once the BIB overlay is introduced

PFO matching and selection

- Candidate neutron PFOs were matched with an incident neutron
 - The single matched PFO neutron has the highest p_T and is closest to the generator neutron in ΔR
 - A maximum $\Delta R < 0.2$ cutoff was applied
 - Require PFO energy > 60 GeV
 - PFOs < 60 GeV cannot be discerned from BIB currently





Neutron energy response



- As Ben mentioned previously, the ECAL is calibrated using **response function** where the response in a theta, energy bin is used to correct the reconstructed energy
 - This was completed without BIB for the HCAL using anti- k_{T} jets with R = 0.4
 - However, this calibration no longer is accurate for Pandora PFOs
 - For the HCAL, a **response function** is not currently being applied



Neutron energy resolution



- Neutron energy resolution with and without BIB is within the HCAL performance goals
 - The addition of the BIB overlay moderately degrades energy resolution
 - But, we expect to see improvements with more refined reconstruction methods



Neutron energy resolution



- High energy neutron resolution relatively flat across theta
 - Improvements in BIB statistics needed to characterize the theta distribution profile



Neutron reconstruction efficiency

- Low energy (<100 GeV) neutrons with BIB overlay are challenging to reconstruct
- However, high energy efficiencies are good (>90%) especially in the center barrel
 - This is comparable to previous 3 TeV Results



Elise Sledge | Princeton University, CalTech

Neutron reconstruction efficiency



• Leading causes of inefficiency are low energy reconstruction, transition region, and endcap near nozzle





Conclusions

- MAIA's HCAL performs well after the move of the solenoid further into the detector
- The calorimeter meets initial design goals, especially at higher neutron energies
 - Low energy neutrons struggle with PFO reconstruction and are difficult to discern from BIB signals

Future work

- Ben previously laid out many areas of improvement for MAIA such as muon systems, nozzle geometry, triggering, and more!
- For the HCAL specifically,
 - Increase BIB overlay statistics
 - Adapting Pandora for a muon collider will likely improve neutron energy resolution and reconstruction efficiency
 - Especially for lower energy neutrons. Hopefully PFOs < 60 GeV could be looked at in this case
 - The HCAL could undergo another 2D response calibration using PFOs
 - Also, PFO matching criteria could be improved to capture more of the incident neutron energy
 - Non-physical neutrons being reconstructed by Pandora in the presence of BIB can hopefully be reduced
 - Future BIB mitigation could increase the number of low energy neutrons discernible from BIB signals
 - Performance in the endcap and transition regions also needs to be further investigated

Backup Slides

Neutron energy resolution





Elise Sledge | Princeton University, CalTech

Neutron reconstruction efficiency





10 Dec 2024

Elise Sledge | Princeton University, CalTech

10 Dec 2024

0.5

Elise Sledge | Princeton University, CalTech

Neutron Energy Response $\mu((E_{reco} - E_{true})/E_{true})$ $E_{true})/E_{true}$) With BIB overlay Muon Collider Muon Collider Without BIB overlay Simulation with BIB (v0.4 Lattice) Without BIB overlay 0.4 Simulation with BIB (v0.4 Lattice) 0.05 $\sqrt{s} = 10 \text{ TeV}$ $\sqrt{s} = 10 \text{ TeV}$ True Neutron Energy \in (0, 1000) [GeV] 0.3 Neutron Energy Response $\mu((E_{reco}$ 0.00 0.2 0.1 -0.05 0.0 -0.10 -0.1 -0.2 -0.15 -0.3 -0.20 -0.4 -0.25 -0.5 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 200 400 600 800 1000 True Neutron θ [Rad] True Neutron Energy [GeV]

0.10

MAIA Detector Concept

Neutron energy response



MAIA Detector Concept

With BIB overlay

ECAL/photon results





