# Charmonium resonances from lattice QCD

**David Wilson** 

LHCb UK meeting 7th January 2025

based on work: PRL Editors' suggestion: arXiv: <u>2309.14070</u> (7 pages) PRD Editors' suggestion: arXiv: <u>2309.14071</u> (55 pages)



THE ROYAL SOCIETY

'spec

had







JPAC arXiv:2112.13436





#### Level counting is not clear:

- Near threshold behaviours?
- Multiple decoupled resonances?

## **Recent LHCb results**



## open questions



are all of these bumps resonances? x how are these enhancements related? how, many states are there in 0<sup>++</sup> and 2<sup>++</sup>? w can we understand how the quark-model-like states and meson-meson like states contribute to the observed features?

fi<u>rs</u>t principles <u>Lattice</u> QCD calculations <u>can help understand</u> this

## Familiar example: $f_0(980)$

Features in different final states from the same resonance





https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.025001



"0++"

"2++"

"2++ & 3++"

higher scalar amplitudes





#### consider 7-channel system

$$K_{ij} = \frac{g_i g_j}{m^2 - s} + \gamma_{ij}$$
$$S = 1 + 2i\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot t \cdot \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$t^{-1} = K^{-1} + I$$
$$\operatorname{Im} I_{ij} = -\rho_i = 2k_i / \sqrt{s}$$

K-matrix pole terms are necessary to obtain a good quality of fit



7-channels, mixture of *S* and *D*  $D\bar{D}, D_s\bar{D}_s\{{}^1D_2\} \quad D\bar{D}^*\{{}^3D_2\} \quad D^*\bar{D}^*\{{}^5S_2\}$  $\eta_c\eta\{{}^1D_2\} \quad \psi\omega, \psi\phi\{{}^5S_2\}$ 

peaks at a similar energy

very small  $D_s \overline{D}_s$  amplitudes some phase space suppression

 $D\bar{D}^*$  is large similar phase space to  $D_s\bar{D}_s$ 



$$t_{ij} \sim \frac{c_i c_j}{s_0 - s}$$

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Complex plane - scalar** 

 $\rho_i(s)\rho_j(s)|t_{ij}(s)|^2$ 

one resonance pole – many different amplitudes

We don't need different poles in different coupled amplitudes

A single resonance pole can be responsible for many bumps and features

Similar story for 2<sup>++</sup>

 $J/\psi\omega\to J/\psi\omega$ 

 $D\bar{D} \to J/\psi\omega$ 

 $D^*\bar{D}^* \to D^*\bar{D}^*$ 

 $D_s \bar{D}_s \to D^* \bar{D}^*$ 

 $D\bar{D} \to D^*\bar{D}^*$ 

 $D\bar{D} \to D\bar{D}$ 

 $D\bar{D} \to D_s\bar{D}_s$ 

 $D_s \bar{D}_s \to D_s \bar{D}_s$ 

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

## additional poles were found

- don't appear to be important

## "coupling-ratio" phenomena seen in K-matrix pole parameters

- possible to rescale K-matrix g<sub>i</sub> factors and obtain similar amplitudes
- t-matrix couplings are found to be well-determined

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Scalar and tensor charmonium resonances from lattice QCD

- at  $m_{\pi}$ =391 MeV, one scalar and one tensor resonance pole is found below 4 GeV
- The level counting is not obviously different from the quark model
- large coupled-channel effects in OZI connected D-meson channels
- OZI disconnected channels look small everywhere
- we have extracted a **complete** unitary **S-matrix** and this naturally **connects** features seen in **different channels** and simplifies the overall picture
- some channels have enhancements very different to simple Breit-Wigners
- a clear, as yet unobserved,  $3^{++}$  resonance is present in  $D\bar{D}^*$  & a bound state in  $2^{-+}$
- we **do not find** a **near-threshold S-wave**  $D\bar{D}$  state (between 3700 and 3860 MeV)
- these methods can also be applied to the X(3872) 1<sup>++</sup> channel and the vector channel

Full details and references: arXiv: <u>2309.14070</u> (7 pages) arXiv: <u>2309.14071</u> (55 pages)

## Summary & outlook

- Big picture stuff with large light quark masses eg consider flavour SU(3) point
- At some point it should be possible to consider the full process:  $B^+ \to D^{(*)}_{(s)} \bar{D}^{(*)}_{(s)} K^+$
- Much of the three-body theory exists but we need more practical experience (3-body is challenging)

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

David Wilson 22

#### Previously:

 $E_{\rm cm}/{\rm MeV}$  $\chi_{c_0}\pi\pi$  $\chi_{c_2}(1F$ 4100  $\chi_{c_2}(2P$  $\underline{D^*\bar{D}^*}|_{\text{thr.}} \uparrow D_s\bar{D}_s^*|_{\text{thr.}}$ 4000  $\square \chi_{c_0}(2P)$  $\uparrow \psi \omega|_{\text{thr.}}$   $\uparrow D_s \overline{D}_s |_{\text{thr.}} \uparrow D \overline{D}^*|_{\text{thr.}}$ 3900  $\eta_c \eta' |_{\text{thr}}$ 3800  $|D\bar{D}|_{
m thr.}$  $_{c}\pi\pi$ 3700 3600  $\uparrow \eta_c \eta |_{\text{thr.}}$ 3500 •  $\chi_{c_0}(1P)$ 

> spectra from qqbar operators only, Liu et al JHEP 1207 (2012) 126

### "HadSpec" lattices

anisotropic (3.5 finer spacing in time) Wilson-Clover

L/a<sub>s</sub>=16, 20, 24 m<sub>π</sub> = 391 MeV

rest and moving frames

N<sub>f</sub> = 2+1 flavours all light+strange annihilations included no charm annihilation

using *distillation* (Peardon *et al* 2009) many channels, many wick contractions

This study: Meson-meson + qqbar ops

Derivative ops - good overlap upto J=4

Variationally-optimised single meson ops

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

1-dimensional QM, periodic BC, two interacting particles:  $V(x_1 - x_2) \neq 0$ 

$$\psi(0) = \psi(L), \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=L}$$

$$\sin\left(\frac{pL}{2} + \delta(p)\right) = 0$$

$$p = \frac{2\pi n}{L} - \frac{2}{L}\delta(p)$$
2

Phase shifts via Lüscher's method:

Lüscher 1986, 1991

generalisation to a 3-dimensional strongly-coupled QFT → powerful non-trivial mapping from finite vol spectrum to infinite volume phase

 $\tan \delta_1 = \frac{\pi^{3/2} q}{\mathcal{Z}_{00}(1:a^2)}$ 

 $\mathcal{Z}_{00}(1;q^2) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \frac{1}{|\vec{n}|^2 - q^2}$ 

See also Kim, Sachrajda, Sharpe: Nucl. Phys. B727 (2005) (arXiv:hep-lat/0507006) Review by Briceno, Dudek, Young: Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, 025001 (arXiv:1706.06223)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

"0++"

"2++"

28

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

"0++"

"2++"

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

"0++"

"2++"

higher tensor amplitudes

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

"0++"

"2++"

"2++ & 3++"

higher tensor amplitudes

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

"0++"

"2++"

"2++ & 3++"

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

$$S = \mathbf{1} + 2i\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \boldsymbol{t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\boldsymbol{t}^{-1} = \boldsymbol{K}^{-1} + \boldsymbol{I}$$
$$\operatorname{Im}I_{ij} = -\rho_i = 2k_i/\sqrt{s}$$
$$\det[\mathbf{1} + i\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \boldsymbol{t} (\mathbf{1} + i\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}(L))] = 0$$

$$\boldsymbol{K} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{\eta_c \eta \to \eta_c \eta} & \gamma_{\eta_c \eta \to D\bar{D}} \\ \gamma_{\eta_c \eta \to D\bar{D}} & \gamma_{D\bar{D} \to D\bar{D}} \end{bmatrix}$$

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \gamma_{\eta_c\eta\to\eta_c\eta} &= (0.34\pm0.23\pm0.09) \\ \gamma_{\eta_c\eta\to D\bar{D}} &= (0.58\pm0.29\pm0.05) \\ \gamma_{D\bar{D}\to D\bar{D}} &= (1.39\pm1.19\pm0.24) \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1.00 & 0.77 & -0.24 \\ & 1.00 & -0.22 \\ & & 1.00 \end{bmatrix} \\ \chi^2/N_{\rm dof} &= \frac{5.65}{10-3} = 0.81 \end{array}$$

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $det[\mathbf{1} + i\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \boldsymbol{t} (\mathbf{1} + i\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}(L))] = 0$ 

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

Woss, DW, Dudek, arXiv:2001.08474, PRD 101, 114505 (2020)

 $E_{\mathsf{cm}}$ 

"background" waves - P=-

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

(we also computed lattice irreps with non-zero total momentum)

P=- partial waves can then contribute

very little going on here

an  $\eta_{c2} \ 2^{\text{-+}}$  state arises below DD\*

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

extra level and resonance higher up

two classes of amplitudes were found:

- zero D\*D\* coupling
- finite D\*D\* coupling
- all had significant DD\* coupling
- amps very small below 4050 MeV (a<sub>t</sub> E<sub>cm</sub>=0.715)

![](_page_40_Figure_8.jpeg)

## amplitude variations - scalar

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

"mirror" poles

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

"mirror" poles

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

"mirror" poles

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

the green/red cluster of poles are just mirror poles

- amplitude is **dominated by a single resonance pole** in this energy region

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

## mirror pole - similar to a Flatté

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

"green" pole is a mirror of the physical sheet pole

physical sheet pole arises because of the large gDD\*

![](_page_52_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

- different physical sheet pole
- no obvious nearby (+,+,+,-) sheet pole (there are some with  $a_t \ge 0.74$ )

Results from Prelovsek, Padmanath et al, suggest effects at DDbar and DsDsbar thresholds

- pion mass ~ 280 MeV
- light quark heavier than physical, strange quark lighter than physical

hard to justify such a large change due to the light quark mass (no one-pion-exchange term)

![](_page_54_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

JPAC arXiv:2112.13436

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

arXiv:2010.15431

no state around 3840-3860 MeV (?)

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$\pi\pi \to \pi\pi \quad (S - \text{wave})$$

![](_page_57_Figure_3.jpeg)

extra structure at threshold, not linked to a resonance or bound state

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_2.jpeg)

not obviously inconsistent with earlier Belle & BaBar results

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_2.jpeg)

no need for a low 0++ resonance

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_2.jpeg)

# $B^+ \to D^{*\pm} D^{\mp} K^+$

## LHCb arXiv:2406.03156

-----  $T^*_{\bar{c}\bar{s}0}(2870)^0$ -----  $T^*_{\bar{c}\bar{s}1}(2900)^0$ Data  $\chi_{c2}(3930)$  $\eta_c(3945)$  $\psi(4040)$ Total fit EFF<sub>1++</sub>  $h_c(4000)$  $||||||||| \chi_{c1}(4010)$ //////. h<sub>c</sub>(4300)  $NR_{1^{++}}$ Background  $NR_{1}$ -- $NR_{0^{-+}}$  $NR_{0}$ ---Reference fit ..... Candidates /(18 MeV) Candidates /(18 MeV LHCb 9 fb<sup>-1</sup> LHCb 9 fb<sup>-1</sup> 100 100 (a) (b) 50 50 0 4.8 4.04.2 4.4 4.04.2 4.4 4.6 4.6 4.8  $M(D^* - D^+)$  [GeV]  $M(D^{*+}D^{-})$  [GeV] Very complicate

Many amplitudes contribute with similar strength New resonances proposed around 4000 MeV

![](_page_64_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_4.jpeg)

DD\*-D\*D\* coupled channel Whyte, Wilson, Thomas arXiv:2405.15741

- S and D-wave in  $J^P = 1^+$
- virtual bound state below DD\* and resonance below D\*D\*
- (neglecting left cuts)

L. Gayer, N. Lang et al (HadSpec), arXiv:2102.04973

![](_page_65_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_4.jpeg)

 $D_0^*(2300) \& D_{s0}^*(2317)$ 

what is the mass ordering? why are the masses so close? why are the widths so different?

![](_page_66_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Dπ/DK scattering with SU(3) flavour symmetry Yeo, Thomas, Wilson <u>arXiv:2403.10498</u>

- S-wave interactions in flavour SU(3)
   3bar, 6, 15bar
- Virtual bound state sextet pole
- Also deeply bound 3bar state, similar to Ds0(2317), much greater binding

```
SU(3) flavour:
D-meson and light meson
```

![](_page_67_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Figure_0.jpeg)

pseudocalar two-body coupled-channel scattering

resonance transition FFs scattering of hadrons with spin

three-body scattering

general three-body scattering

form factors of resonances more general processes: two currents, ...

 $(\omega^{i}\omega^{i}{}^{X}P_{i})|\omega^{i}\omega^{i}{}^{X}P_{i}\rangle)$ 

 $a_t E_{\rm cm}$