# Track Summary Online Computing



Sylvain Chapeland <u>Remigius K Mommsen</u> Niko Neufeld

#### Overview

2 sessions devoted to LHC experiments

- $\sim$  3 talks from ATLAS and CMS
- ∼ 2 talks from Alice
- $\sim$  1 talk from LHCb

2 sessions devoted to non-LHC experiments

- ∼ 3 neutrino talks (NOvA and DoubleChooz)
- $\thicksim 2$  talks reviewing Tevatron DAQ (CDF and DØ)
- ✓ 3 talks covering other experiments

51 posters presented (out of 62 submitted)

 Lightning talk: "Online Metadata Collection and Monitoring Framework for the STAR Experiment at RHIC"

Apologies to all presenters whose material cannot be shown in this summary

# LHC Operation Experiences



# Improving Efficiency

Vasco Barroso, Giuseppe Avolio, Andrea Negri, Hannes Sakulin, Sylvain Chapeland (poster)

Data taking efficiencies  $>90\%~(c.f.~CDF~\sim\!\!84\%)$ 

∼ DAQ systems much more reliable (CMS: 99.7%)

#### Seeking to reduce down-times, while reducing shift crews

- $\sim$  Expert system to assist shifters
  - ➤ ATLAS: "DAQ Assistant" based on CLIPS
  - ➤ CMS: "DAQ Doctor" (Perl-based)
  - ALICE: "Orthos" alarms integrated with documentation and operations issue tracker
- ✓ Automatic recovery & actions
- ∼ Formalize expert knowledge (ATLAS)
- Improved accounting of reasons for down-times (ALICE)





# Reducing Nb of Run Starts

ATLAS avoids any run starts during data taking Restarting a run takes > 1 minute (CMS 1'15, ALICE > 4')

Recover from failures without stopping

- $\sim$  Pause triggers and allow sub-systems to do recovery action
- Increase fault tolerance for non-critical components
- Restart applications during on-going runs
- $\sim$  Requires vigilance concerning data quality and integrity

### Dealing with Pileup

Higher pile-up than DAQ (and detectors) were designed for

- Reroute event fragments in CMS event builder to avoid bottlenecks in the super-fragment builder (1st stage of EvB)
- Invest in h/w to cope with rate, bandwidth & load



#### Increase HLT CPU Power



2009: 720x		May 2011 add: 72x	May 2012 add: 64x
	Original HLT System Dell Power Edge 1950	2011 extension Dell Power Edge c6100	2012 extension Dell Power Edge c6220
Form factor	1 motherboard in 1U box	4 motherboards in 2U box	4 motherboards in 2U box
CPUs per mother-board	2x 4-core Intel <b>Xeon E54</b> 30 <b>Harpertown</b> , 2.66 GHz, 16GB RAM	2x 6-core Intel <b>Xeon X5650</b> <b>Westmere</b> , 2.66 GHz, hyper-threading, 24 GB RAM	2x 8-core Intel <b>Xeon E5-2670</b> <b>Sandy Bridge</b> , 2.6 GHz, hyper threading, 32 GB RAM
#boxes	720	72 (=288 motherboards)	64 (=256 motherboards)
#cores	5760	3456 (+ hyper-threading)	4096 (+ hyper-threading)
cumulative #cores	5.6k	9.1k	13.2k
cumulative #CMSSW	5k	11k	20k
CPU budget @ 100 kHz:	~50 ms / evt	~100 ms / evt	~150 ms / evt



(CPU budgets are on 1 core of an Intel Harpertown) Online Computing - Sylvain Chapeland, Remigius K Mommsen, Niko Neufeld

# Forking of HLT Processes

HLT processes need a lot of libraries and conditions data

- $\sim$  Requires a lot of memory for each instance
- ∼ Not enough RAM on multicore processors
  - ➤ CMS runs 32 CMSSW instances on latest h/w

Solution: create a prototype process and then fork children

- $\sim$  Static data is shared between processes
- $\sim$  Copy-on-write takes care of duplicating memory pages when needed
- $\sim$  Difficulties to handle locks & sockets when forking children
  - ➤ Children inherit state from parent
- ∼ LHCb makes use of check-point file to quickly initialize children
  - $\sim~$  Distributed to disk-less worker nodes using BitTorrent protocol

Diego Casadei, Mariusz Witek and many posters

# **Controlling Trigger Rates**

Raising thresholds no longer possible

Cutting into physics phase-space

More sophisticated HLT algorithms

- ∼ Bonsai Boosted Decision Trees (LHCb)
  - ➤ Based on discrete values with fast look-up
- $\sim$  b-tagging and tau identification
- ~ Rather complex topological triggers



~ ATLAS is using partial summing on front-ends feeding into L2

### GPUs as Trigger Processors

Data preparation time [ms]

50

ALICE uses GPUs to find tracklets in TPC

➤ Almost three times as fast as 6-core processor

Challenge to match physics performance of CPU and GPU due to concurrency

∼ Residual inconsistency of 0.00024% due to nonassociative floating point arithmetic

"Tracking for free"

- ∼ Most CPU cores useable for other tasks
- ∼ GPU cheaper than CPU

ATLAS studies for tracking on L2

∼ Performance limited by CPU







**David Rohr**, Jacob Howard (poster)

# LHC DAQ Upgrades



Andrea Negri

### ATLAS – Simplifying HLT



#### ATLAS – Measurements

- ➤ Scalability validated up to 1200 HLT nodes (with 13k HLTPUs)
- Traffic shaping prevents network congestions
- $\sim$  A single HLT supervisor sustains more then 100 kHz
  - $\sim$  Evaluating merge with a s/w based RoI-Builder





# CMS – DAQ Upgrade

- ∼ Replace aging h/w (mostly >5 years old)
- $\sim$  Accommodate sub-detectors with new off-detector electronics
  - 37 (TRG, HCAL, HF) + 40 (Pixel 2 x 10 GbE links) new readout links (maximal fragment size 8 kB vs 2 kB today)
  - $\sim~$  Up to 640 MB/s readout per front-end link
- $\sim$  New data to surface network
  - ∼ Replace Myrinet (2x2 Gb/s) with 10 Gb Ethernet
  - ➤ Readout for legacy and new front-end drivers
- ∼ New event builder network
  - Conservative: 10 Gb Ethernet with 300x300 switch
  - Aggressive: 40 Gb Ethernet or Infiniband with 75 x 75 switch





### CMS – Feasibility Studies

CMS online framework (xDAQ)

Peer-transport as pluggable layer of various networking medium

- ∼ SOAP/HTML: HTTP
- ∼ I2O: TCP, Myrinet, FIFO, etc.
- ∼ NEW: Infiniband and iWarp with zero-copy using DAT library

Application code does not change when moving from Ethernet to Myrinet or Infiniband

Purely change of configuration





Peer Transport Agen

PARTON





#### Infiniband: uDAPL vs IPoIB





### Detector Control System

CMS DCS upgrades during 2012

- $\sim$  Move from Windows XP to Windows 7 (32 to 64 bits)
- $\sim$  Replace aging PC boxes with fully redundant blade system
- Redundant applications with hot fail-over





# ALICE – Upgrade for 2017

TPC bus-based readout replaced with point-to-point links

 $\sim\,$  Continuous readout with  ${\sim}7800$  DDL3 optical links at 10 Gb/s: total 65 Tb/s

Minimum-bias trigger for slow detectors (<50 kHz)

Two-steps HLT to select and compress the data

Bandwidth to mass storage: 20 GB/s (design)

Network for ~1900 nodes with a capacity of 9 Tb/s

2 options considered:

∼ Infiniband and Ethernet





### Neutrinos

 $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.086 \pm 0.041 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.030 \text{ (syst)}$ 



Andrew Norman



#### NOvA DAQ

NOvA has a free running readout

- $\sim$  The electronics are always live, always digitizing
- ∼ 386,000 channels are continuously digitized and time-stamped
  - ✓ Custom timing system with ARM/PowerPC + FPGAs
  - $\sim$  Sophisticated synchronization scheme
- ∼ The whole system is completely deadtime-less.
- The entire raw detector data stream (up to 4.3GB/s) is actively buffered in a large computing farm

Triggering is asynchronous & decoupled from the readout

- ∼ Trigger information sent from FNAL over the internet
- Buffered data that has a time overlap with a trigger window is saved to permanent storage



### NOvA Message Analyzer

#### A light-weight correlation analysis tool

- $\sim$  Extract facts from text messages in log files
- $\sim$  Define logical rules to combine conditions and identify events
  - ✓ Formal language
  - ∼ User functions in C++

Separation of the system knowledge (rules) from the software implementation

➤ Easily portable to other experiments



Qiming Lu

#### Double Chooz (France)





#### Double Chooz DAQ

Inner and outer detectors readout separately

- Continuous readout of main detector to circular buffer through custom FADCs, VME readout (Ada sw)
  - ∼ on trigger → neutrino data
- Outer modules in daisy-chain with fanout/trigger system, readout to PC by custom USB boards
  - ∼ outer veto data
- $\nu$  + outer-veto data are processed independently
  - ✓ Offline coincidence of v-DAQ event with outer-veto data



# Tevatron Legacy

"The King is Dead! Long Live the King!"



#### Evolution over 10+ Years

Architecture lasted 10 years CPU time/event has more than tripled Continuous upgrades

- ➤ Added about 10 new crates
- Started with 90 nodes, ended with almost 200, peak was about 330, all have been replaced
- Single core at start, last purchase was dual 4-core.

No major unplanned outages

Farm nodes the most unreliable component





### Changing s/w Technologies

🔜 QVT/Term - b0dau30 [default]		×	RunControl
Eile Edit Setup Iransfer Help		_	File Partitioning Parameters En
	Des Statute 10 Alada : 1 890096TS(#) Statute:	list.	
Hode: Expt DAOBun Type	Evilin: 0 BTS NOS State:	2	
Ducque, rash tan sun nunser	DATA_LOGGER		
22-42 DUN C RECCOCE INITE	Status/Error Uleuport	-	
22:43 ×RUN-S-HESSAGE, INITI 22:43 ×RUN-S-HESSAGE, INITI	ALISE Information sent to DATA_LOGGER		
22.43 ZRUIT-3-ILESSHOL, SECUR	Take Data Bonu		
1 [BEGIN_RUN] 2 [MONILO] COLTRECTION]	Begin a Normal Data Acquisition Run Perfora Danual Calibration		
3 [AUTO_CALIBRATION] 4 [HISTORY C0 IRPOTION]	Perform Rutematic Calibration Review Calibration History		End
6 (FINISH)	Finish Data Acquisition & Calibration		
7 [PARTITION]	Modify or View Partition Definition		
Omiginal (	'DE mun control o	n	
Original C	Dr run-control o	11	/
	100 torminal		Resume
V L	100 terminal		Pau
			PAUSED
			RunControKtatus
		And and a second s	State: ACTIVE Partition: 2
	THE OWNER AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER ADDRESS OF THE O		ACTIVE No error conditions
		and an address of a second process is assessed	Activate: 2005.04.18 22:19:19 S Status: OK
		artis a send proce is seen	erType: Physics Add_connext erType: Physics_3_02 [1,639,
		artis d'estad prese à sinces	celeratorStatus-
	and	Parties of control parameters is setting of	Store Number Tevatron Current 9
		No. of Concession, Name	E0 Luminosity 3 E0 Live Luminosity 3 E0 Proton Losses
			Accumulator Stack 3 Fast Bunch Integrator PNG 8.1
	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	I CI/UK	Tevatron Electron Lens Current





### CDF Data Taking Efficiency

90.0

80.0

**cumulative efficiency (%)** 20.0 2000 20.0 2000 20.0 2000 20.0 2000 20.0 2000 20.0 2000 2000

10.0

0.0

0

2000

4000

store

6000

8000

10000

#### 83.2% overall efficiency

- Highest lumi saturates system
  - Dynamic prescaling to maximize physics reach

Detector HV ramping after beams are stable takes several minutes



Don't put complex computing into radiation environment

Over 10 billion physics events served



2005

# Other Experiments





#### Muon Ionization Cooling Experiment @ RAL, UK

- ∼ Design, build, commission, and operate a realistic section of cooling channel
- Measure its performance in a variety of modes of operation and beam conditions
- ∼ Results will be used to optimize Neutrino Factory and Muon Collider designs

# MICE Online systems

EPICS interface for HW control and monitoring

✓ Configuration database

Front-end electronics VME readout

Data acquisition to PCs with DATE (ALICE DAQ)

Online monitoring and reconstruction

 $\sim$  First look at physics and detector functionality in real-time

Data transferred to remote storage on the GRID for later analysis once a day



#### PANDA @ GSI

Experiment at HESR (High Energy Storage Ring) in FAIR (Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research) at GSI, Darmstadt

- $\sim 20 \text{ MHz}$  interaction rate
- ∼ Trigger-less, continues readout at 80 GB/s
- $\sim$  Push-only architecture with time reference of < 20 ps
- ∼ Compute node modules with 5 FPGAs, using ATCA standard

Full scale simulation of DAQ system to demonstrate performance and study the dynamics of the system

- ∼ Architecture enables event-building with 100 GB/s throughput
- $\sim$  Run selection algorithms on fully assembled data

#### **Dirk Hoffmann**

### Cherenkov Telescope Array

#### 100 Cherenkov telescopes

- Each with 3000 pixel camera with a continuous 20 Gbps data stream
- Investigate several reduction options
- ∼ Simple & robust readout
- $\sim$  Optimized cost and industrialization

Initial tests with 10 Gbps network

- $\sim$  Standard ethernet not fast enough
- $\sim$  Use jumbo frames (limited h/w support)<sup>12</sup><sub>10</sub>
- ∼ Use direct h/w access (c.f. CMS studies)

More news at CHEP 2013?







### Summary

LHC DAQ systems performing exceptionally

- $\sim$  Also thanks to experiences from Tevatron
- Upgrade-studies underway in ATLAS and CMS for 2013/14 and ALICE for 2017

#### Trigger-less DAQ systems

- Continuously buffer all data in large computing farms
- $\sim$  Trigger is asynchronous or replaced by offline analysis

Expert-systems become popular

- $\sim$  Improved error-diagnostic and faster reaction times
- ➤ Formalize and preserve expert knowledge (long term support)
- $\sim$  Home-grown systems vs open-source tools, e.g. CLIPS