



Review of the Linac4 BCT Watchdog Interlocking Policy for High Loss Events

Piotr Skowronski





EXISTING SITUATION AND INTRODUCTION





> One of the machine protection systems in LINAC4 is the BCT Watchdog.

- > BCT stands for Beam Current Transformer.
 - It is a device that measures beam intensity.
- » BCT Watchdog is implemented as a FESA class called BCTWD
 - For each beam pulse it computes
 - Beam losses between a pair of BCTs.
 - Beam transmission in percent.
 - If any of those two values is out of a permitted range, then it enables an interlock via Beam Interlock System (BIS).
 - It tolerates that transmission is occasionally out of range
 - Referred to as low losses watchdog interlock
 - Any occurrence of high loss stops all the beams.



2024 statistics of high loss events



- > The experience gained in operation shows that many of the high loss events is because of breakdowns in RF cavities.
- > Only some of them were related to hardware failures or wrong settings, another few with communication issue between BCTWD and BCTs.



L4T.BCTWD.BI: 31 events

L4L.BCTWD.L4T: 222 events











REASON FOR THE CHANGE



Reason for the change



- > Currently every detected event of high loss results in interlocking of all users.
 - i.e., when measured intensity difference is above allowed threshold
- > Very often the high losses are a result of electromagnetic field breakdowns in the high gradient RF cavities of LINAC4
 - It is a normal phenomenon which happens once per couple of days.
 - Usually, they are one of events, however, sometimes the coming series.

> We propose to change of the specification of the BCT WATCHDOG class

- Such that it can allow for occasional high loss events if they happen less often than the defined threshold.
- This shall reduce the machine downtime with a negligible increase of risk for the machine safety.





- > We propose to permit 1 event per 12 hours in 2 BCTWD devices
 - L4L.BCTWD.L4T surveys the linac from DTL1 to PIMS12
 - L4T.BCTWD.BI surveys the transfer lines from the end of linac to PSB injection







- > High-loss interlock protects against prolongated beam losses.
- > By definition, high losses are detected only when an equipment failure or misconfiguration occurs.
- > It can happen in the following scenarios:



Risk assessment: Quadrupole power converter stops



- > Focusing is altered and beam being too large is scraped.
- > The losses are distributed over sizeable area and therefore there is a low risk of damage.
- > These power converters are monitored by SIS, which interlocks the beam when a power converter stops
- From 2025 external condition is configured such that the beam with affected destination cannot be played.
- > Therefore, the related risk is very low.



Risk assessment: Dipole power converter stops

4

- > Beam has wrong trajectory.
- > The losses are punctual, and in case impacting a sensitive location, for example, a joint in a flange, they can lead to a vacuum leak.
- Considering beam parameters, this requires several consecutive pulses impacting the same location.
 - SY-STI will perform simulations, the results are expected within February. No change will be implemented in operation until this statement is confirmed.
- > However, these power converters are under surveillance of the FGC Interlock, that will not give the permit to BIS system until correct amperage is measured.
- > Therefore, the related risk is very low.



Risk assessment: Trajectory correctors power converter stops



- > Because they create relatively small angles to the beam trajectory, the induced losses are distributed over a certain area.
- In case impacting a sensitive location, for example a joint in a flange, it can lead to a vacuum leak.
- Considering beam parameters, this requires multiple consecutive pulses impacting the same location.
- > These devices are not directly monitored by any interlock system.
- > The associated risk to damage any equipment with 3 bad pulses is low.





- > The effect is very similar to the described above scenario when a power convert trips.
- > The impact depends on how much the new setting deviates from the optimum value:
 - The smaller the change, the lower is the risk of a small area beam impact.
 - In case of the dipoles, the FGC interlock has a relatively narrow window
 - Therefore, it will interlock when settings are outside of this window.
 - Thus, this reduces the risk.
 - There is no such protection for quadrupoles or trajectory correctors,
 - Because the risk that their failure provokes machine any damage is extremely low and the beam can be re-steered with given corrector off.
- > The probability of incidental change is low a risk to damage any equipment with 3 bad pulses is low.



Risk assessment: Field breakdown in the accelerating structures



- > Such an event alters the amplitude and phase of the accelerating field.
- > The beam is accelerated to a different energy, which changes the subsequent focusing and bending angles.
- > Unless the breakdown occurs in the very last cavity of the linac, the effect of wrong focusing is such that the losses are distributed over a very large area of the beam chamber.
- In case it is the last cavity then the wrong angle received from the bends is the dominant effect and the losses can be concentrated in a narrow area.
- > However, based on operational experience there is a relatively low probability that the following pulse will also suffer an RF breakdown, although this cannot be excluded.





Functional specification



Specification



- > The most intuitive approach would be to define a value with maximum number of events allowed within given period.
 - For example, 1 event per hour or 3 events per day.
- > However, its implementation is quite complex,
 - It requires to store in memory the list of the timestamps for each event and to compare them at every pulse with the current time.
- The already existing transmission efficiency counter of BCT Watchdog is implemented with counting down bad pulses from the threshold value down to zero.

> Therefore, high loss counters should be implemented also using similar way.



Solution based on counters



> highLossCounter:

- It tracks the number of high loss events.
- It is initialized to with value defined by the highLossCounterThreshold setting.
- It is reduced by one ay each high loss event.
- The watchdog removes the permit when this counter becomes zero.
- > highLossGoodEventCounter
 - It monitors the number of consecutive pulses without high loss events.
 - It is initialized with the value specified by the highLossInterval setting.
 - It decreases by one with each good pulse.
 - When it becomes zero, then
 - highLossCounter increases by one, if not bigger than highLossCounterThreshold
 - highLossGoodEventCounter is set back highLossInterval.
 - It is reset to value of highLossInterval whenever a high loss event occurs.
- > Neither counter can become negative.



Specification: Reset action



- To avoid that resetting the watchdog allows multiple high loss events on the next occasion, reset action will not set highLossCounter to highLossCounterThreshold.
- > Instead, it should leave highLossCounter unchanged if it is bigger than zero.
- > If it is equal to zero, then reset action should set highLossCounter to 1.



Detailed description



- > Two new setting variables need to be defined BCTWD class
 - highLossCounterThreshold
 - highLossInterval
- > Both need to be protected with Machine Critical Setting RBAC role.

UML diagram of the proposed algorithm

- > As an example, we take settings
 - highLossCounterThreshold = 2 and highLossInterval = 30000
 - meaning that 1 high loss event per 10 hours is accepted.
 - Initially both counters are zero, highLossCounter highLossGoodEventCounter.

> Then let's consider the possible scenarios



31 January 2025



> Initially,

- highLossGoodEventCounter = 30000
 - defined by highLossInterval.
- highLossCounter = 2
 - defined by highLossCounterThreshold.
- > highLossGoodEventCounter is decreased at each pulse until it reaches value of 0.
 - This corresponds to the green path.
- > When it reaches 0, then
 - It is set back to 30000.
 - highLossCounter is not smaller than highLossCounterThreshold, therefore, it cannot be further increased.
 - This corresponds to the **blue path**.





1 high loss event followed by extended period with no high losses

- > When high loss event is detected then
 - highLossCounter is decreased by 1,
 - meaning that it is set to 1.
 - highLossGoodEventCounter is reset to 30000.
 - highLossCounter is still bigger than 0, therefore highLossPermit is set to true and the operation continues.
 - This corresponds to the magenta path
- > From now on only good pulses are encountered
 - at each pulse highLossGoodEventCounter is decreased until it reaches 0 (the green path).





1 high loss event followed by extended period with no high losses

> From now on only good pulses are encountered

- at each pulse highLossGoodEventCounter is decreased until it reaches 0 (the green path).
- > When highLossGoodEventCounter reaches 0
 - highLossCounter is increased by 1
 - meaning that it is set to 2.
 - highLossGoodEventCounter is also set to 30000.
 - This corresponds to the yellow path.
- Further the algorithm continues as described in the 1st point.





2 high loss events within less than 10 hours

> When 1st high loss event is detected then

- highLossCounter is decreased by 1,
 - meaning that it is set to 1.
- highLossGoodEventCounter is reset to 30000.
- highLossCounter is still bigger than 0, therefore highLossPermit is set to true and the operation continues.
- This corresponds to the magenta path
- > Until next high loss event is encountered highLossGoodEventCounter is decreased at each pulse (the green path).
- > Then, highLossCounter is decreased by 1
 - meaning that it is set to 0
 - highLossPermit is set to false and all beams are interlocked (the red path)





Conclusions



- > We propose to relax high loss policy for BCT Watchdog in Linac4 and to permit occasional high loss events
 - Only 2 watchdog instances would have the relaxed setting
 - In particular, the RFQ policy WILL NOT be changed
 - Start with 1 event allowed per 10 hours
 - If ever needed, this could be relaxed in the future under approval of MPP
- > Increase of the risk for the machine safety is very small
 - Waiting for FLUKA simulations to confirm that even in the worst-case scenario of direct beam impact on metallic structures they should not melt with less then 10 pulses