

1

2	Electroweak couplings of the boson at CLIC
3	Jean-Jacques Blaising <sup>a</sup> , Philipp Roloff <sup>b,*</sup>
4	On behalf of the CLICdp Collaboration
5	<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, France, <sup>b</sup> CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
6	Abstract
7	To be written

This work was carried out in the framework of the CLICdp Collaboration

\*philipp.roloff@cern.ch

8

(3)

First studies of boson precision measurements at CLIC are described in this document in response to
a request by the ECFA Higgs@FutureColliders working group. Projections for a dedicated energy stage
at the pole are summarised in Sec. 1 while Sec. 2 describes the potential of radiative return events at a
380 GeV CLIC collider.

## **13 1 Z-pole operation**

A dedicated CLIC stage at the pole has not been studied in detail yet and is not considered as a part of the baseline CLIC programme. First estimates of the physics performance for measurements of the EW couplings of the boson are given here.

<sup>17</sup> We assume that a dedicated CLIC stage at the Z pole would provide an integrated luminosity of <sup>18</sup>  $100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  in a few years of operation. The electron beam polarisation is expected to be  $\pm 80\%$ .

In this section, we assume a 50:50 splitting of the -80% and +80% polarisation configurations. In
 total, this program would provide 4.5 billion bosons including about 3 billion decays in hadronic decay
 modes.

It is expected that the polarisation of the electron beam can be measured with 0.1% precision using polarimeters upstream and downstream of the interaction point.

### 24 1.1 Asymmetry parameters

<sup>25</sup> Using electron beam polarisation, CLIC can measure the left-right asymmetry:

$$A_{LR} = \frac{1}{|P|} \frac{\sigma_L - \sigma_R}{\sigma_L + \sigma_R} = A_e.$$
(1)

<sup>27</sup> Using hadronic decays of the boson, the measurement would be dominated by systematic uncertainties.

<sup>28</sup> The uncertainty on the beam polarisation can be directly propagated to the uncertainty of  $A_{LR}$ :

29

26

$$\frac{\Delta A_{LR}}{A_{LR}} = \frac{\Delta P}{P}.$$
(2)

<sup>30</sup> The value of  $A_{LR}$  is also sensitive to the collision energy, e.g. for hadronic final states [Irles:2019xny]:

31

38

<sup>32</sup> To achieve a precision better than the uncertainty due to the polarisation measurement, the collision en-

 $dA_{LR}/d\sqrt{s} \approx 2 \times 10^{-5}$  / MeV.

ergy needs to be controlled to a few MeV. It is expected that a precision of about 1 MeV can be achieved

using the reaction  $\rightarrow$  due to the excellent tracking resolution of the CLIC detector [wilson\_calib, jjb\_calib].

<sup>35</sup> In addition, a beam energy spread of several per mille is expected. It remains to be demonstrated that

the shape of the beam energy distribution can be reconstructed with sufficient precision.

<sup>37</sup> For the other fermions, the combined left-right forward-backward asymmetries are used:

$$A_{FB,LR}^f = \frac{1}{P} \frac{(\sigma_F - \sigma_B)_L - (\sigma_F - \sigma_B)_R}{(\sigma_F + \sigma_B)_L + (\sigma_F + \sigma_B)_R} = \frac{3}{4} A_f.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

We find that the systematic uncertainties on A, A, A,  $A_c$  and  $A_b$  are dominated by the 0.1 % uncertainty on

<sup>40</sup> the electron beam polarisation. The statistical precisions were estimated assuming tagging efficiencies

<sup>41</sup> for b- and c-jets of 80% and 50%, respectively. Only hadronic decays of the tau leptons were considered.

<sup>42</sup> The resulting precisions are summarised in Tab. 1.

Observable	PDG value [Tanabashi:2018oca]	$\Delta_{stat.}$	$\Delta_{syst.}$
A	0.1515	0.00002	0.00015
A	0.142	0.00014	0.00014
A	0.143	0.00021	0.00014
$A_{c}$	0.670	0.00013	0.00067
$A_b$	0.923	0.00007	0.00092

Table 1: Projectd uncertainties on the polarisation asymmetries assuming  $100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  collected at  $\sqrt{s} = 91 \text{ GeV}$ . The values for all observables are taken from the Particle Data Group.

Observable	PDG value [Tanabashi:2018oca]	$\Delta_{stat.}$	$\Delta_{syst.}$
1/R	0.0481	$4 \times 10^{-6}$	$2 \times 10^{-5}$
1/R	0.0481	$4 \times 10^{-6}$	$1 \times 10^{-5}$
1/R	0.0482	$6 \times 10^{-6}$	$2 \times 10^{-5}$
$R_c$	0.172	$1.5  imes 10^{-5}$	$4 \times 10^{-4}$
$R_b$	0.216	$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.5  imes 10^{-4}$

Table 2: Projected uncertainties on the decay ratios assuming 100 fb<sup>-1</sup> collected at  $\sqrt{s} = 91$  GeV. The values for all observables are taken from the Particle Data Group.

### 43 1.2 Decay ratios

45

<sup>44</sup> The decay ratios are defined as:

$$R_{,} = \frac{\Gamma(\rightarrow,)}{\sum_{=,,,,} \Gamma(\rightarrow)} \text{ and }$$
(5)

$$R_{,,} = \frac{\sum_{=,..,} \Gamma(\rightarrow)}{\Gamma(\rightarrow,,)}.$$
(6)

The systematic uncertainties from the total luminosity and beam polarisation cancel in these ratios. 48 The flavour tagging capabilities of the SLD detector are more similar to the detector concept developed 49 for CLIC compared to the LEP experiments. The systematic uncertainties on the measurements of  $R_b$ 50 and  $R_c$  at SLD are summarised in Tab. 7 of [Abe:2005nqa]. Many of the uncertainties are related to 51 the properties of charmed and beauty hadrons which will be much better known at the time of CLIC 52 operation. Other uncertainties are limited by finite sizes of the SLD MC samples. We assume conservat-53 ively that the overall systematic uncertainties of the SLD measurements can be improved by a factor 5 at 54 CLIC. 55

Tab. 2.2 of [ALEPH:2005ab] summarises the systematic uncertainties on the , , pair production cross sections at LEP. The dominant contribution is due to the understanding of the detector acceptance. We assume here that these effects scale with the integrated luminosity.

<sup>59</sup> Projections for the statistical and systematic uncertainties on the decay ratios are summarised in Tab. 2.

# $_{60}$ 2 Return-to Z events at $\sqrt{s} = 380 \text{ GeV}$

<sup>61</sup> The first centre-of-mass energy stage in the current CLIC baseline scenario [**Robson:2018zje**] is foreseen

at  $\sqrt{s} = 380$  GeV. The expected integrated luminosity is 1 ab<sup>-1</sup>, equally split between the -80% and +80%

- electron beam polarisation configurations. The electron beam polarisation can be measured using two
- <sup>64</sup> complementary approaches: polarimeters as described in Sec. 1 or from the  $\rightarrow$  process [Monig:2004jc].

Observable	PDG value [Tanabashi:2018oca]	$\Delta_{stat.}$	$\Delta_{syst.}$
A	0.1515	0.0006	0.00015
A	0.142	0.0039	0.00014
Α	0.143	0.0055	0.00014
$A_{c}$	0.670	0.0019	0.00067
$A_b$	0.923	0.0036	0.00092

Table 3: Projected uncertainties on the polarisation asymmetries assuming  $1 \text{ ab}^{-1}$  collected at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 380 GeV. The values for all observables are taken from the Particle Data Group.

Both methods are expected to provide an accuracy of 0.1%. Hence the polarisation measurement for a 65 potential run at 91 GeV relying solely on the polarimeters could be validated at 380 GeV.

66

The energy loss due to the ISR and beamstrahlung effects provides large samples of return-to- events 67 at the 380 GeV energy stage. In particular, significant improvement with respect to LEP and SLC is

68 expected on the  $A_{\rho}$  asymmetry parameter. In the following, we discuss first estimates for the observ-69

ables introduced in Sec. 1 at 380 GeV. The results are based on events generated using the Whizard 2 70

package [Moretti:2001zz, Kilian:2007gr]. Cuts are applied to simulate the geometric acceptance of the 71

CLIC detector and to suppress backgrounds from photon-photon and photon-electron interactions. For 72

example, more than 3.5 million hadronic boson decays pass the event selection. 73

#### 2.1 Asymmetry parameters 74

All asymmetry parameters are subject to a systematic uncertainty of 0.1% from the measurement of the 75

electron beam polarisation. A detailed study of the charge reconstruction for b- and c-jets is necessary 76

to understand the corresponding uncertainties, which we leave for future investigation. The projected 77

statistical and systematic uncertainties are summarised in Tab. 3. 78

#### 2.2 Decay ratios 79

83

In addition to the reconstruction of visible decays, the 380 GeV stage also allows to identify  $\rightarrow$  events us-80 ing hard ISR photons. We define the following ratio that provides information on the neutrino couplings 81 of the boson: 82

$$R = \frac{\Gamma(\rightarrow)}{\sum_{=,...,} \Gamma(\rightarrow)}.$$
(7)

The measurements of the decay ratios require an excellent understanding of the reconstruction efficien-84 cies for charged leptons, photons and heavy-quark jets. For illustration, we assume systematic uncer-85 tainties of 0.1% for final states with electrons, muons, photons and b-jets, and 0.5% for final states with 86 tau leptons and c-jets here. Projections for the statistical and systematic uncertainties are summarised in 87 Tab 4. 88

#### 2.3 Other relevant measurements at $\sqrt{s}$ = 380 GeV 89

The 380 GeV stage allows to determine the boson mass with a precision of about 2.5 MeV [Baak:2013fwa]. 90

## References

- [1] A. Irles, R. Pöschl, F. Richard and H. Yamamoto, Complementarity between ILC250 and ILC-GigaZ, 92
- arXiv:1905.00220 [hep-ex]. 93

Observable	PDG value [Tanabashi:2018oca]	$\Delta_{stat.}$	$\Delta_{syst.}$
1/R	0.0481	0.00012	0.00005
1/R	0.0481	0.00012	0.00005
1/R	0.0482	0.00016	0.00024
$R_c$	0.172	0.00042	0.00086
$R_b$	0.216	0.00031	0.00022
R	0.286	0.0027	0.00029

Table 4: Projected uncertainties on the decay ratios assuming  $1 \text{ ab}^{-1}$  collected at  $\sqrt{s} = 380 \text{ GeV}$ . The values for all observables are taken from the Particle Data Group.

[2] G. Wilson, *Investigating In-Situ*  $\sqrt{s}$  *Determination with*  $\mu\mu(\gamma)$ , Presentation at ECFA LC 2013, https://agenda.linearcollider.org/event/5840/contributions/26233/.

[3] J.-J. Blaising, CLIC Detector Calibration with Physics Data, Presentation at CLIC Workshop 2017,
 https://indico.cern.ch/event/577810/contributions/2487767/.

- <sup>98</sup> [4] M. Tanabashi et al. [Particle Data Group], *Review of Particle Physics*, Phys. Rev. D 98, 3, 030001
   (2018).
- [5] K. Abe et al. [SLD Collaboration], *Measurement of the branching ratio of the Z0 into heavy quarks*,
   Phys. Rev. D **71**, 112004 (2005).

[6] S. Schael et al. [ALEPH and DELPHI and L3 and OPAL and SLD Collaborations and LEP Electroweak Working Group and SLD Electroweak Group and SLD Heavy Flavour Group], *Precision electroweak measurements on the Z resonance*, Phys. Rept. **427**, 257 (2006).

- [7] A. Robson and P. Roloff, Updated CLIC luminosity staging baseline and Higgs coupling prospects,
   arXiv:1812.01644 [hep-ex].
- 107 [8] K. Mönig, Polarisation measurements with annihilation data, LC-PHSM-2004-012.
- <sup>108</sup> [9] M. Moretti, T. Ohl and J. Reuter, *O'Mega: An Optimizing matrix element generator*, hep-<sup>109</sup> ph/0102195.
- [10] W. Kilian, T. Ohl and J. Reuter, WHIZARD: Simulating Multi-Particle Processes at LHC and ILC,
   Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1742 (2011).
- <sup>112</sup> [11] M. Baak et al., *Working Group Report: Precision Study of Electroweak Interactions*, <sup>113</sup> arXiv:1310.6708 [hep-ph].