# Energy deposition in Q0

Elena Wildner

19/04/07

# Strategy

- 1. Define a TAS to protect the Q0
- 2. Optics:  $\beta^* = 0.25m$
- 3. Calculate, with some optimization of the TAS layout, the heat deposition in the Q0 magnets
- 4. Check experiment

Point 4 still to be treated

## Layout of scenario





## **Modeling the Quads**







# **β-dependence** of opening





### TAS Aperture, 3 cases chosen



# TAS opening: results

Deposition [W]	Q01	Q02	TAS
Small Aperture	123	151	1518
Large Aperture	821	377	253
Staggered Aperture	114	150	1582

# Back to experiment, JD hole and Flange



Has to be evaluated with complete ATLAS model. Scoring in the specific sensitive ATLAS regions!



#### **Energy deposition in coil**







0.01

1e-04

1e-06

1e-08

Aim: < 39 mW/cm3 Margin to be added



#### With and without magnetic field



#### Liner, 2 cm, iron

#### mW/cm3



Necessary opening in Q0 for beam (diameter): 3.5 cm With liner the opening is 5 cm

# The TAS Aperture

Power Deposition [W]	Q01	Q02	TAS
Staggered Aperture (liner)	198 (100)	331 (150)	1530
Staggered Aperture, liner & solenoid field	197	330	1550
Staggered Aperture, no liner	114	150	1582

# Without and with Liner



### Power in the cables: Q01



### Power in the cables: Q02



# Inner cable of Q02



# **FLUKA model of ATLAS: JT**



### Summary 1

- Staggered TAS with a liner in the Q0 seems to be a reasonable solution:
  - magnet developers can continue
- Power deposition in the coil will be below 39 mW/cm3 (limit for quench) with some optimization. Margin needed.
- Solenoid field: no significant impact
  Crossing angle: no significant impact
  Tungsten TAS: no significant impact

# Summary 2

- Shorter TAS (1 m) put at same distance (back edge) and 2 m closer to IP with adapted opening: more heat in magnet
- After optimization, more particles in FLUKA runs for better statistics necessary
- ATLAS model to be run with their scoring in their regions of interest. Compare:
  - Model without new TAS
  - Model with new TAS