Cost Model including Civil Engineering and Conventional Facilities

Hans-H. Braun, CLIC ACE, June 20, 2007

- Cost model goals
- Methodology
- Scaling assumptions
- Cost distribution
- Future improvements

Key contributors:

Carlo Wyss, Germana Riddone

Jean-Luc Baldy (coordination of all CLIC related civil engineering and tech. infrastructure studies)

Goals of cost study

- Get reasonably precise cost estimate
- Identify cost drivers and assure cost conscious design
- > Get cost model scalable in v_{RF} , G and E_{CM} as input for optimization studies

CLIC cost model technical approach

- 1. Civil engineering costs from CERN-TS 2001 study (improved study in progress)
- 2. For main tunnel equipment (w/o RF structures) steadily improving estimates, but limited accuracy because design is not mature.
- 3. For main tunnel RF structures (accelerator and decelerator) estimate based on cost of machining facilities, manpower and material for given production period.
- 4. Klystron + Modulator costs from TESLA cost estimate plus some local expert input
- 5. Key input for injectors, damping rings, BDS, control system from NLC study with some cross checks on recent European projects and gut feeling fudge factors
- 6. Electriciy costs based on present CERN contracts
- 7. The rest is guess work



Some technicalities how cost model enters in Alexej's optimization

- 1. Starting point is cost estimate with CLIC note 627 parameters coded as EXCEL workbook with many worksheets
- 2. Add sheet with parameters provided by Alexej and implement scaling with these parameters
- 3. Communication with Alexej's MATLAB optimizations via Windows DDE server
- 4. For speed reasons Alexej interpolates in MATLAB on a grid of values pre-computed with EXCEL

Scaling Assumptions as used for Alexej

- Tunnel costs based on 2001 TS study, tunnel cost scaled with length Number of turnarounds scaled with *Linaclength*-pulselength⁻¹
- Number of DB modulator and klystrons scaled with W_{puls} with a limit on average klystron power,
- RF part of electricity costs scaled with figure of merit L/P_{AC}
- Betafunctions as function of beam energy kept constant, i.e. number of quadrupoles proportional to linac length
- Effective fill factor for regular module kept constant
- Two beam module length and number of PETS per module kept constant

Model for accelerating structure cost scaling

 $C_{HDS}' = C_{mat_ref}' \cdot (f / 30GHz)^{-3/2} + C_{mach_ref}' \cdot (f / 30GHz)^{3/2} \cdot (\Delta \varphi / 60^{0})^{-2/3}$

This gives a factor 1.51 increase for cost/m from 11.4 GHz to 30 GHz

Prices/m for HDS11 prototype increase by factor \approx 1.6 from 11.4 GHz to 30 GHz for Cu and by factor \approx 1.75 for Mo

Model for RF network scaling

 $C_{per module} = C_{ref} \cdot (N/8)^{2/3}$

with N the number of accelerating structures per module

A remark on electricity cost

Present CERN electricity cost is less than one third of ILC assumption

For optimization Alexej uses sum of investment + 10 year electricity cost Depending on price/kWh electricity cost has a very different weight



Cost distribution as function of main linac accelerating gradient for v_{RF} =12 GHz



Based on a set of parameters from Alexej, parameter meeting 14.11.06

Cost distribution as function of main linac frequency for G=100 MV/m



Based on a set of parameters from Alexej, parameter meeting 14.11.06

Scaling of cost with energy based on present nominal parameters





Civil Engineering & Infrastructure

Study under way by CERN TS department for CLIC

Similar to CERN-TS effort for ILC civil engineering and cost estimate

First numbers for underground areas available since last week, work on surface buildings in progress.

A study for technical infrastructure (Cooling & ventilation, electric distribution, tunnel services) has just been launched.

Complete picture for end 2007

Standard Linac Module





Conclusions and Outlook

- Scaleable cost model has been established extrapolating from cost estimate for 2005 nominal parameters. This model has been extensively used in optimization.
- Consolidation of estimate for new nominal parameters ongoing.
- A number of design features is still only performance driven and needs revision for potential savings.
- Subsystems like injectors, DR's and BDS needs a closer look
- For many components we have only rough draft designs, naturally this limits the precision of the overall estimate