Present status and prospects of high-pT and jets in proton-nucleus

José Guilherme Milhano CENTRA-IST (Lisbon) & CERN PH-TH



LPCC workshop 'Prospects of p-Pb collisions during the 2012 LHC HI run', 17 October 2011

the observable consequences of the effect of the hot and dense QCD medium created in heavy ion collisions on energetic partons [and their showers] that traverse [develop in] the such medium

the observable consequences of the effect of the hot and dense QCD medium created in heavy ion collisions on energetic partons [and their showers] that traverse [develop in] the such medium

— measurements of jet quenching have a dual role

the observable consequences of the effect of the hot and dense QCD medium created in heavy ion collisions on energetic partons [and their showers] that traverse [develop in] the such medium

- measurements of jet quenching have a dual role
 - ←→ identification of the microscopic dynamical ingredients responsible for the phenomenon

the observable consequences of the effect of the hot and dense QCD medium created in heavy ion collisions on energetic partons [and their showers] that traverse [develop in] the such medium

- measurements of jet quenching have a dual role
 - ←→ identification of the microscopic dynamical ingredients responsible for the phenomenon
 - → once dynamics under theoretical control, promote jet quenching to detailed probe of medium properties

the observable consequences of the effect of the hot and dense QCD medium created in heavy ion collisions on energetic partons [and their showers] that traverse [develop in] the such medium

- measurements of jet quenching have a dual role
 - ←→ identification of the microscopic dynamical ingredients responsible for the phenomenon
 - → once dynamics under theoretical control, promote jet quenching to detailed probe of medium properties

—• two classes of jet quenching measurements

the observable consequences of the effect of the hot and dense QCD medium created in heavy ion collisions on energetic partons [and their showers] that traverse [develop in] the such medium

- measurements of jet quenching have a dual role
 - ←→ identification of the microscopic dynamical ingredients responsible for the phenomenon
 - → once dynamics under theoretical control, promote jet quenching to detailed probe of medium properties

—o two classes of jet quenching measurements

 \hookrightarrow without jets

the observable consequences of the effect of the hot and dense QCD medium created in heavy ion collisions on energetic partons [and their showers] that traverse [develop in] the such medium

- measurements of jet quenching have a dual role
 - ←→ identification of the microscopic dynamical ingredients responsible for the phenomenon
 - → once dynamics under theoretical control, promote jet quenching to detailed probe of medium properties

—o two classes of jet quenching measurements

- \hookrightarrow without jets
 - leading high-pt hadron spectra, 'jet-like' di-hadon correlations, ...

the observable consequences of the effect of the hot and dense QCD medium created in heavy ion collisions on energetic partons [and their showers] that traverse [develop in] the such medium

- measurements of jet quenching have a dual role
 - ←→ identification of the microscopic dynamical ingredients responsible for the phenomenon
 - → once dynamics under theoretical control, promote jet quenching to detailed probe of medium properties

—o two classes of jet quenching measurements

- \hookrightarrow without jets
 - leading high-pt hadron spectra, 'jet-like' di-hadon correlations, ...
- \hookrightarrow fully reconstructed jets

the observable consequences of the effect of the hot and dense QCD medium created in heavy ion collisions on energetic partons [and their showers] that traverse [develop in] the such medium

- measurements of jet quenching have a dual role
 - ←→ identification of the microscopic dynamical ingredients responsible for the phenomenon
 - → once dynamics under theoretical control, promote jet quenching to detailed probe of medium properties

—o two classes of jet quenching measurements

- \hookrightarrow without jets
 - leading high-pt hadron spectra, 'jet-like' di-hadon correlations, ...
- \hookrightarrow fully reconstructed jets
 - jet suppression, di-jet asymmetry, jet shapes, ...

suppression of high-pt single hadronic spectra





 $R_{AA}(p_T) = \frac{(1/N_{evt}^{AA}) d^2 N_{ch}^{AA} / d\eta dp_T}{\langle N_{coll} \rangle (1/N_{evt}^{pp}) d^2 N_{ch}^{pp} / d\eta dp_T}$ suppression of high-pt single hadronic spectra —o clear evidence of partonic energy 2.5 Central Pb–Pb, y = 0, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV (preliminary) loss, but Charged pions [ALICE] Charged hadrons [ALICE] 2.0 Charged hadrons [CMS] R_{AA} suppression factor D^{0,+} mesons [ALICE] B-hadrons $\rightarrow J/\psi X [CMS]$ 1.5 Isolated photons [CMS] Z boson (m_T) [CMS] 1.0 0.5 0 30 20 100 2 3 10 4 5 6

d'Enterria (2011)

p_T (GeV)



d'Enterria (2011)



- —o clear evidence of partonic energy loss, but
 - → no information on fate of lost energy
 - rather loose constraints on dynamical origin



suppression of high-pt single hadronic spectra

- —o clear evidence of partonic energy loss, but
 - ←→ no information on fate of lost energy
 - rather loose constraints on dynamical origin
 - ←→ significant surface bias [better for correlated measurements]



suppression of high-pt single hadronic spectra

- —o clear evidence of partonic energy loss, but
 - → no information on fate of lost energy
 - rather loose constraints on dynamical origin
 - ←→ significant surface bias [better for correlated measurements]
 - non-trivially entangled with
 [non-perturbative]
 hadronization dynamics



suppression of high-pt single hadronic spectra

- —o clear evidence of partonic energy loss, but
 - ←→ no information on fate of lost energy
 - rather loose constraints on dynamical origin
 - ←→ significant surface bias [better for correlated measurements]
 - non-trivially entangled with [non-perturbative] hadronization dynamics
 - further complexities due to colour-talk with medium affecting hadronization

A. Beraudo, JGM, U. Wiedemann & Aurenche, Zakharov (2011)



jet quenching without jets insufficient to effectively constrain details of underlying dynamics

di-jet asymmetry

di-jet asymmetry





di-jet asymmetry



—o first systematic full jet measurement



di-jet asymmetry



—o first systematic full jet measurement

←→ significant jet energy loss [out of cone radiation] with no deflection

di-jet asymmetry



—o first systematic full jet measurement

- ←→ significant jet energy loss [out of cone radiation] with no deflection
- \hookrightarrow dynamical constraints leading to novel theoretical ideas

J. Casalderrey-Solana, JGM, U. Wiedemann [jet collimation]

C. Salgado, Y. Mehtar-Tani and K. Tywoniuk + J. Casalderrey-Solana, E. Iancu [modification of coherence properties of showers in the medium]

di-jet asymmetry



—o first systematic full jet measurement

- ←→ significant jet energy loss [out of cone radiation] with no deflection
- \hookrightarrow dynamical constraints leading to novel theoretical ideas

J. Casalderrey-Solana, JGM, U. Wiedemann [jet collimation]
 C. Salgado, Y. Mehtar-Tani and K. Tywoniuk + J. Casalderrey-Solana, E.Iancu [modification of coherence properties of showers in the medium]

← background subtraction rather non-trivial M. Cacciari, G. Salam, G. Soyez

peripheral ratio: R_{cp} Single Jet central to peripheral ratio: R_{cp}



strong jet suppression

sion in R = 0.2 and R = 0.4 Il range of reported E_T

⇒Comparable suppression in R = 0.2 and R = 0.4 jet yields/N_{coll} over full range of reported E_T

eripheral ratio: R_{cp} Single Jet central to peripheral ratio: R_{cp}



peripheral ratio: R_{cp} Single Jet central to peripheral ratio: R_{cp}



angular energy distribution



o peripheral ratio: R_{cp} Single Jet central to peripheral ratio: R_{cp}



angular energy distribution

—o clear jet energy loss, energy recovered in soft components at large angles, apparent no modification of fragmentation, …

 \hookrightarrow many questions...



—o benchmarking for AA measurements

← jet quenching is a final state effect [no effect in dAu]



—o benchmarking for AA measurements

← jet quenching is a final state effect [no effect in dAu]



—o benchmarking for AA measurements

← jet quenching is a final state effect [no effect in dAu]



→ but, some 'mysterious' data...







—o jet quenching in dAu [?] with no broadening [?]





 \hookrightarrow initial state effects ?





- \hookrightarrow initial state effects ?
- ← CNM parton energy loss ? [Wang et al + Vitev et al]





- \hookrightarrow initial state effects ?
- ← CNM parton energy loss ? [Wang et al + Vitev et al]





- \hookrightarrow initial state effects ?
- ← CNM parton energy loss ? [Wang et al + Vitev et al]





- \hookrightarrow initial state effects ?
- ← CNM parton energy loss ? [Wang et al + Vitev et al]





- \hookrightarrow initial state effects ?
- ← CNM parton energy loss ? [Wang et al + Vitev et al]









- 1 standard month of running [10⁶ s with L=10²⁹ cm⁻² s⁻¹]

→ abundant samples up to high E_T + small initial state nuclear effects [smaller than expected/known effects in AA]



-• 1 standard month of running [10⁶ s with L=10²⁹ cm⁻² s⁻¹]

- → abundant samples up to high E_T + small initial state nuclear effects [smaller than expected/known effects in AA]
- ←→ essential to benchmark AA jet measurements



-0 1 standard month of running [10⁶ s with L=10²⁹ cm⁻² s⁻¹]

- → abundant samples up to high E_T + small initial state nuclear effects [smaller than expected/known effects in AA]
- ←→ essential to benchmark AA jet measurements



$$R_{pA}^{h}(p_{T}, y) = \frac{d\sigma^{pA \to h+X}}{dp_{T}^{2} dy} \bigg/ N_{\text{coll}}^{pA} \frac{d\sigma^{pp \to h+X}}{dp_{T}^{2} dy}$$



 qualitative different behaviour than that at RHIC

$$R^{h}_{pA}(p_T, y) = \frac{d\sigma^{pA \to h+X}}{dp_T^2 \, dy} \bigg/ N^{pA}_{\text{coll}} \frac{d\sigma^{pp \to h+X}}{dp_T^2 \, dy}$$



- qualitative different behaviour than that at RHIC
- —o shadowing-antishadowing transition at mid-rapidity

$$R_{pA}^{h}(p_{T}, y) = \frac{d\sigma^{pA \to h+X}}{dp_{T}^{2} dy} \bigg/ N_{\text{coll}}^{pA} \frac{d\sigma^{pp \to h+X}}{dp_{T}^{2} dy}$$



- qualitative different behaviour than that at RHIC
- —o shadowing-antishadowing transition at mid-rapidity
- shift in maximum of R_{pPb} direct test of longitudinal [EPS09] versus transverse [CGC] modifications of pdfs [test collinear factorization and factorizability of nuclear effects]

$$R_{pA}^{h}(p_{T}, y) = \frac{d\sigma^{pA \to h+X}}{dp_{T}^{2} dy} \bigg/ N_{\text{coll}}^{pA} \frac{d\sigma^{pp \to h+X}}{dp_{T}^{2} dy}$$



- qualitative different behaviour than that at RHIC
- shadowing-antishadowing transition at mid-rapidity
- shift in maximum of R_{pPb} direct test of longitudinal [EPS09] versus transverse [CGC] modifications of pdfs [test collinear factorization and factorizability of nuclear effects]
- better than just R_{pPb} comparisons
 [below and Albacete later]

$$R_{pA}^{h}(p_{T}, y) = \frac{d\sigma^{pA \to h+X}}{dp_{T}^{2} dy} \bigg/ N_{\text{coll}}^{pA} \frac{d\sigma^{pp \to h+X}}{dp_{T}^{2} dy}$$



- —o qualitative different behaviour than that at RHIC
- —o shadowing-antishadowing transition at mid-rapidity
- -• shift in maximum of R_{pPb} direct test of longitudinal [EPS09] versus transverse [CGC] modifications of pdfs [test collinear factorization and factorizability of nuclear effects]
- better than just R_{pPb} comparisons [below and Albacete later]

$$R_{pA}^{h}(p_{T}, y) = \frac{d\sigma^{pA \to h+X}}{dp_{T}^{2} dy} \bigg/ N_{\text{coll}}^{pA} \frac{d\sigma^{pp \to h+X}}{dp_{T}^{2} dy}$$



further factorization tests from rapidity scan

$$R_{pA}^{h}(p_{T}, y) = \frac{d\sigma^{pA \to h+X}}{dp_{T}^{2} dy} \bigg/ N_{\text{coll}}^{pA} \frac{d\sigma^{pp \to h+X}}{dp_{T}^{2} dy}$$

- qualitative different behaviour than that at RHIC
- —o shadowing-antishadowing transition at mid-rapidity
- -• shift in maximum of R_{pPb} direct test of longitudinal [EPS09] versus transverse [CGC] modifications of pdfs [test collinear factorization and factorizability of nuclear effects]
- --- better than just R_{pPb} comparisons [below and Albacete later]

-• for assumed luminosity [10²⁹ cm⁻² s⁻¹], run time [10⁶ s] and collision energy [4.4 TeV] access to abundant samples of high p_t hadrons and reconstructed jets

for assumed luminosity [10²⁹ cm⁻² s⁻¹], run time [10⁶ s] and collision energy [4.4 TeV] access to abundant samples of high p_t hadrons and reconstructed jets

→ lower luminosity/run time could compromise many measurements

- —o for assumed luminosity [10²⁹ cm⁻² s⁻¹], run time [10⁶ s] and collision energy [4.4 TeV] access to abundant samples of high p_t hadrons and reconstructed jets
 - ←→ lower luminosity/run time could compromise many measurements
- —o benchmark AA jet measurements [Cold Matter Energy Loss ?]

- -o for assumed luminosity [10²⁹ cm⁻² s⁻¹], run time [10⁶ s] and collision energy [4.4 TeV] access to abundant samples of high p_t hadrons and reconstructed jets
 - ← lower luminosity/run time could compromise many measurements
- —o benchmark AA jet measurements [Cold Matter Energy Loss ?]
 - \hookrightarrow pA essential for unambiguous AA measurements

- -o for assumed luminosity [10²⁹ cm⁻² s⁻¹], run time [10⁶ s] and collision energy [4.4 TeV] access to abundant samples of high p_t hadrons and reconstructed jets
 - ← lower luminosity/run time could compromise many measurements
- —o benchmark AA jet measurements [Cold Matter Energy Loss ?]
 - \hookrightarrow pA essential for unambiguous AA measurements
- test collinear factorization and factorizability of nuclear effects into nPDFs
 qualitative displacement of maxima at perturbative scales

- -o for assumed luminosity [10²⁹ cm⁻² s⁻¹], run time [10⁶ s] and collision energy [4.4 TeV] access to abundant samples of high p_t hadrons and reconstructed jets
 - ←→ lower luminosity/run time could compromise many measurements
- —o benchmark AA jet measurements [Cold Matter Energy Loss ?]
 - \hookrightarrow pA essential for unambiguous AA measurements
- test collinear factorization and factorizability of nuclear effects into nPDFs
 - ← qualitative displacement of maxima at perturbative scales
 - \hookrightarrow better with pPb+Pbp