R2E Review – November 2011

Dates: three days during week 47: 21.11. – 25.11. (exact length to be defined once talks/sessions are scheduled on Indico)

Structure of this working document:

- each session contains a list of questions to be addressed/answered during the review
- based on this list a schedule was proposed per session
- timing of talks and sessions are iterated/optimized to fit into an overall planning
- for each session a chair and a secretary, as well as internal/external reviewers are defined based on the main aspects to be discussed
- the review aims to fit into 2-3days, with a possible wrap-up/discussion session in the end (day after)

Review Key-Questions:

- How good/bad are our predictions for radiation levels.
- How good/bad are our predictions for overall equipment failure rates.
- What failure rate do we expect after 2011/12 xMasBreak and LS1 mitigation actions
- What patch solutions are required to bring us to LS1 and what is the remaining risk
- Radiation tests on power-converters only partly confirm their high sensitivity -> can patch-solutions be sufficient for some of them -> with and without relocation/shielding measures taken for the RRs?
- Mitigation actions focusing mainly on commercial equipment in the shielded areas, what's the status of the tunnel equipment and what will happen when beam-gas kicks in (will it)?
- What's about the (long) story of safe-rooms and what part is related to R2E.
- What are our options with super-conducting links, their time-scale and impact on the R2E strategy
- What's the status of the shielding and relocation measures and where are we with their preparation?
- Are we able to implement all measures for the LS1 shutdown and what are the corresponding preparation and coordination requirements?
- How much will it finally cost and what's about man-power, co-activities and coordination?
- Can we exclude major civil-engineering actions and what CE related activities do remain and what is their possible synergy with LHC upgrade activities
- Do we need betatron collimation in P3 as a future backup solution?

Draft Program

Sessions:

Introduction: Aim of the review + Performed Actions

- Calculations & Monitoring chair: M. Calviani confirmed reviewers: secretary: tbd
- Power-Converter Radiation Tolerant Development & Super-Conducting Links chair: F. Formenti confirmed reviewers: secretary: Q. King
- Radiation Testing, Equipment Failures chair: G. Spiezia confirmed reviewers: secretary: J. Mekki
- Integration, Implementations, Planning & Safety chair: S. Baird confirmed reviewers:

secretary: A.L. Perrot

5. Resources & Strategy

chair: R. Losito confirmed reviewers: secretary: M. Brugger

Wrap-Up & Summary

Details:

Introduction: Aim of the review + Performed Actions

- expectations from operation and the management
- what general questions have to be answered for R2E
 - what happened during 2010/11
 - what improvements are already implemented in the LHC and how much did we gain (important for failure study)
 - xMasBreak 2011/12 what will we do/can we do and what's the expected impact on 2012 Operation
 - general strategy: next xMasBreak + Long-Shutdown 1
 - o strategy beyond

Title	Speaker	Key Words	Length
Expectations from operation and the management	M. Lamont, P. Collier or S. Myers	Performance reach, acceptable downtime and SEE impact,	15'
Overview of R2E related events during 2010/2011	G. Spiezia/M. Calviani	General overview of R2E related beam-dumps (families, modes, respective mitigation options) -> no details, but general correlation (PM database, follow-up through weekly reports, R2E weekly shifts, etc)	20'
Achieved R2E improvements (mitigation measures)	A.L. Perrot	Summary of implemented mitigation measures	20′
Key questions to be answered by this review	M. Brugger	Open issues, question-marks and decision criteria	15′
	·	Total:	1h 10'

Session – 1: Calculations & Monitoring

Chair: M. Calviani Secretary: R. Garcia Alia Internal Reviewers: A. Ferrari, S. Roesler External Reviewers: ESA (G. Santin)?, A. Fasso, Montpellier (F. Wrobel)

- LHC Operation: past and future operation (luminosity, loss distribution).
- Beam losses and distribution in the LHC collimation regions
- Vacuum & Beam-Gas: measured densities and predictions for the coming years and how does it compare with calculated monitor predictions?
- Overview/results of additional FLUKA calculations.
- Calibration improvements and summary of calibration values (including references/reports).
- How do the monitored radiation levels compare to the predictions and extrapolations?
- Overview of LHC radiation levels and extrapolation.
- UX15/UL leakage: analysis and outlook
- How 'performing' is the installed shielding (measurements + calculations)

- Is the monitoring coverage sufficient and what long-term developments are required?
- How much are we affected by thermal neutrons?
- How big are our uncertainties in predicting radiation levels (tunnel, shielded areas)
- Radiation levels in the UA63/67 (kicker equipment)
- Are there additional weak-points coming up (e.g., P4, REs)

Title	Speaker	Key Words	Length		
Measurements & Benchmarks:					
Overview of RadMon calibration	J. Mekki	Radmon calibration, achieved accuracy, open	20′		
campaigns		issues/questions, limitations to be considered			
Review of RadMon installation in	G. Spiezia	RadMon locations (incl. types) around the	15′		
the LHC tunnel		machine, logic in location/voltage settings,			
		additional requirements, possible changes in the			
		future			
Are there any additional weak	M.Brugger	P4 UX45/US45, Res, ARC in general – how will it	15'		
points (possibly linked to beam-		behave with 25ns operation, Evolution of			
gas pressure)		radiation levels in P4, available calculations, new			
		monitoring and results			
ATLAS P1 measurement and	М.	UX15 cross-check and measurement, UX/UL	10′		
UX/UL weak point	Calviani	junction weak point			
P1/5 benchmark and R-Factor	С.	RadMon results, FLUKA calculations, RAMSES	20′		
Evaluation	Adorisio	results and evaluation, Check how material and			
		equipment affect the R-factor in UJ14/16 in the			
		real geometry			
	Require	ments & Evolution:	-		
Vacuum evolution over 2011 and	V. Baglin	Vacuum, beam-gas, 25ns expectations, scrubbing	20′		
perspectives for 2012 and		requirements, pressure evolution in the DS/ARC			
beyond		as well as P4 LSS			
Beam-Gas Predictions &	R. Versaci	FLUKA calculations, BLM detections thresholds,	15′		
Radiation Levels		MD results			
Collimation losses in IR3/7,	A. Nordt	Loss distribution within the collimation area;	15′		
2011/2012 evaluation and future		annual number of protons for 2011/2012 and			
expectations		future, possible 'reminder' on P7 benchmark and			
		conclusion for IR7 to be added in one/two slides			
		(Markus)			
LHC operation perspectives	M. Pojer	Beam operation perspectives for 2012; 25ns	15′		
		operation? Target luminosities for the various			
		exp.ts?			
IR1 & 5: RR advanced shielding	R. Kwee	After LS1 shielding implementations, what how	15'		
options		much can we gain in addition and where are the			
		limitations			
Review of the LHC SEU-related	М.	Monitoring results summary, calculation	20′		
radiation levels over 2011 and	Calviani	summary, deducted radiation levels, considering			
perspectives in 2012 as well as		updates, already implemented, as well as future			
during nominal LHC conditions	is mitigation measures. what about UJ23/87 are we				
		ok?			
	I	Total:	3h 00'		

Session – 2: Power-Converter Radiation Tolerant Development & Super-Conducting Links

Chair: F. Formenti Secretary: Q. King Internal Reviewers: R. Schmidt, F. Faccio, J. Christiansen External Reviewers: R. Gaillard, Montpellier (F. Saigne?), ESA (A. Mohammadzadeh?)

- observed failures during operation, H4IRRAD test results and respective outlook for next years of LHC operation
- status of conceptual design study of radiation tolerant power-converters
- status of conceptual design study of new FGCs
- 60A anything to worry about?
- FGCs anything to worry about?
- component requirements and status with respect to ongoing/planned radiation tests
- strategy of component purchase, availabilities and storage
- is the development/testing/prototyping/procurement strategy feasible and in line with LHC operation
- short-term patch-solutions versus long-term development
- Status and outlook for new horizontal/vertical superconducting links.
- IR7: status of horizontal link tests, do we need the super-conducting links and when, what do we have to foresee for TZ76

Title	Speaker	Key Words	Length	
Radiation sensitivity of LHC	Q. King	New H4IRRAD and CNRAD test results, and LHC events		
Power Converters and		during operation processed. Projection to LHC		
projections		operation, and patch solutions.: Test, events, failures,		
		LHC, Operation, FGC, Power Converter, Voltage		
		Source, LHC projection		
Radiation-tolerant	G. Spieza	Choice, test and qualification criteria for components	20′	
components qualification		being considered for radiation-tolerant design: Trad,		
process		PSI test, structure, mode of operation, results, what to		
		conclude on series test required, limitation vs LHC		
		environment, test results, database, test facilities		
A proposed strategy for	A. Dinius	Procurement, radiation qualification of series	20′	
production, validation of		components, and final validation criteria for FGC and		
radiation-tolerant Power		Voltage Source.: Components, test radiation tester,		
Converters series		strategy, procurement, spares, management,		
		requirements, test facilities, validation, classification,		
		documentation, database		
R2E Power-Converter	Y. Thurel	Design, team, planning, FGC, Power Converter,	20′	
Projects: Status / Where are		Voltage Source, patch solution for operation,		
we?		documentation		
Super-Conducting Links:	Α.	Status of test bench, feasibility, possible integration	20′	
Horizontal/Vertical – Status &	Ballarino	(including issues), compatibility with long-term LHC		
Possibilities		requirements, update on planning/costs		
DISCUSSION				
Radiation Tolerant Power	All	Pro & Cons of various mitigation options, limitations,	20′	
Converters & Super-		compatibility with time-line, flexibility advantages		

Conducting Links: Options		
and Requirements		
	Total:	2h 00'

Session – 3: Radiation Testing, Equipment Failures

Chair: G. Spiezia

Secretary: J. Mekki

Internal Reviewers: P. Farthouat, F. Faccio, J. Christiansen

External Reviewers: R. Gaillard, Montpellier (F. Saigne?), ESA (A. Mohammadzadeh?)

- Summary of CNRAD test results and lessons learned impact on LHC (test reports!)?
- Summary of PSI test results (including setups) and lessons learned impact on LHC (test reports !?
- Overview and analysis of 2010/2011 equipment failures, including table on performed/envisaged mitigation measures
- LHC/OP impact of SEE induced failures can we quantify the time?
- H4IRRAD the new test area
- How representative is our test strategy (PSI, CNRAD + H4IRRAD) for LHC conditions (shielded areas/tunnel)?
- Analysis of QPS failures, extrapolation with LHC operation (especially higher beam-gas densities) and review of applied mitigation plan.
- H4IRRAD radiation tests & consequences:
 - o power-converters (see power-converter session)
 - safe-room equipment
 - o GTO test results and consequences for UA63/67 installation
 - o other tests
- 1st results and approach of outsourced radiation tests, including TRAD evaluation of test strategies (TRAD or similar)
- nanoFIP status and implementation in user systems
- What can we say about the observed uFIP failures, the expected failure cross-section and the need for mitigation actions?
- Other radiation tolerant developments/requirements for the LHC tunnel (present/upcoming)
- What about 'hidden failures': e.g., second stage problems caused from one equipment to the other (e.g., Ethernet switches)
- What about failures possibly attributed to SEE while being of other origin?
- PSIRRAD the next step for a long-term facility?
- Available test facilities, future options and respective requirements & availability (including long-term view).

Title	Speaker	Key Words	Length
H4IRRAD test area construction	M. Calviani	H4Irrad new test area, commissioning,	15′
and operation. Perspectives for		performance and possibilities, as well as	
running in 2012 and beyond?		limitations	
Test strategy for components and G. Spiezia		Time constraints, facilities, test methods and	20′
systems		requirements, feedback from TRAD analysis	
Radiation test results: PSI	Ρ.	Summary of results and impact on mitigation	20′
	Perronard	actions	

Padiation test results (NIRAD	L Makki		201
	J. IVIEKKI	-	20
Radiation test results: H4IRRAD	Tbd		20'
(otherthan PCs)			
QPS observed failures and	R. Denz	QPS events observed, mitigation measures	15'
mitigation measures		applied and in pipeline, LHC impact for 2012	
		and beyond	
Cryo observed failures and	S. Claudet	Cryo events observed, mitigation measures	15′
mitigation measures		applied and in pipeline, expected (remaining)	
		impact on LHC operation	
Cryo PLC strategy	E. Blanco Report on H4IRRAD radiation tests, LHC		15′
	Vinuela	observations and proposed strategy	
		(xMasBreak, 2012 operation and LS1)	
Summary of LHC Equipment	G. Spiezia	Overview of SEE related events during 2011,	20′
failures (other than QPS)		applied/envisaged mitigation solutions and	
		patches, uFIP cases and possibilities	
nanoFIP	E. Gousiou	nanoFIP development status, availability for	15′
		users, implementation timeline, next steps,	
		foreseen applications (short/mid/long-term)	
Test requirements (2012-2016)	Tbd Estimate of radiation test requirements for		15′
		2012 and beyond (R2E Mitigation project and	
		A&T Sector)	
		Total:	3h 10'

Session – 4: Integration, Implementations, Planning & Safety

Chair: S. Baird Secretary: A.L. Perrot Internal Reviewers: K. Foraz, F. Duval, J. Pedersen, S. Roesler External Reviewers: none

Mitigation actions already implemented in the past year and their effectiveness -> covered in Session-1.

- Shielding blocks: purchase status, storage and preparation, readiness for installation
- Relocation actions per point/area: overview/preparation/planning/documentation.
 - UJ14/16/56/76 and US85
- Shielding actions per point/area: overview/preparation/planning/documentation.
 - UJ14/16/56?, RR13/17/53/57 and US85
- Relocation actions: possible safety constraints and respective mitigation.
- Shielding actions: possible safety constraints and respective mitigation.
- Status of ECRs and safety documentation
- What can/will be anticipated in the xMasBreaks/technical stops?
- TZ76: how much of the wall is to be dismantled during LS1
- Safe-Room relocations: final strategy
- PAD/MAD: final mitigation approach/decision/impact.
- Civil engineering requirements (for mid- and long-term actions), what actions come next?
- UJ23/87: long-term requirements/options?
- Analysis of most critical mitigation actions with respect to timing/accuracy/safety
- Coordination requirements for xMasBreak and later LS1

- P4 and REs, first ideas in case it turns out to be a long-term issue
- Radiation protection and radiation safety constraints for both, proposed mitigation solutions, as well as final work implementation
- Planning of mitigation actions (xMasBreaks 11/12 + LS1)
- Foreseen worksite planning and coordination, organization of work-sites and safety responsibilities.

Title	Speaker	Key Words	Length
Shielding Actions:	М.	I. preparation, installation plan, logistics, possible issues	
Integration status	Lazzaroni	ni	
Relocation Actions:	Υ.	layouts, open issues (ifany), critical points, required	20′
Integration status	Muttoni	procedures	
Safe room relocations:	F. Duval	R2E impact and concerned equipment, coherence with	20′
status of studies		general safe-room evaluation, respective planning,	
		costs and resources, consequence of radiation tests	
Civil-Engineering Activities	J.C.	xMas2011/12, LS1 and beyond, TZ76, including	15′
	Bisquert	coherence with upgrade requirements	
Relocation & Shielding:	A.L.	ECRs, status of contracts	20′
implementation	Perrot		
xMas2011/12 and LS1:	K. Foraz	Overview of scheduling, critical main points, possible	
General Schedule & Safety		improvements (through resources); overview of safety	
Remarks		concerns, what can be done during TSs	
R2E Activities: detailed	M. B.	anticipated work and impact on overall planning,	
schedule	Marin	criticality, etc., highlighting critical activities, delay	
		constraints, timing, open questions/concerns	
Worksite organization:	A.L.	Proposed structure, follow-up of work, coordination	20′
resource requirement,	Perrot	strategy, organizational aspects and preparation,	
organization		radiation protection, traceability, etc.	
What can go wrong?	S. Weisz	Bottle necks and problems as happened in the past;	15′
		margins and measures to be taken	
		Total:	2h 50'

Session – 5: Resources & Strategy

Chair: R. Losito Secretary: M. Brugger Internal Reviewers: R. Saban, S. Prodon, S. Weisz, Finance? External Reviewers: none

- Are our radiation test resources sufficient (and efficient)?
- What test activities could be reasonably outsourced?
- Do we have sufficient 'eyes', monitoring information of the LHC machine and what are possible additional long-term requirements
- How do we fit the mitigation actions best into the LHC operation planning and what are our flexibilities in case the planning changes; or delays/problems appear (plan-B)?
- Resource (budget & man-power) status per work-package, update of next year(s) planning

- Activities/Resources overlaps during xMasBreaks and Long-Shutdowns, what is/can be done? -> mainly covered in session-4, do we need a wrap-up/analysis
- Betatron cleaning in IR3: was considered as long-term possibility -> is it still needed (long-term) for IR7 -> original issue obsolete after coll-review, however long-term aspect to be addressed
- Putting it together: input from radiation tests, LHC observations, mitigation actions -> what is the proposed/updated strategy?
- New/Future equipment to be installed (not only at the LHC), how can we organize an effective policy/structure?
 - Requirements for an efficient R2E policy -> possibly not needed for this review.
 - Strategy/Proposal to implement an LHC (later also for other accelerators) radiation policy.

Title	Speaker	Key Words	Length
Radiation Tests:	G. Spiezia	TE/EPC requirements,	15′
resources and strategy		LHC requirements, A&T	
		sector requirements,	
		possible outsourcing	
Monitoring	M. Calviani	Available monitoring:	20'
Status/Requirements &		sufficient, additional	
Facilities		requirements, needs of	
		new developments, what	
		facilities are available	
		and what other options	
		do we have	
Scheduling: key issues	K. Foraz	Bottle necks in planning	20'
and possibilities		(e.g., P5), how to react	
		on delays/problems,	
		summary of options we	
		have	
Radiation Tolerant	R. Losito	summary of discussion in	15'
Power Converters		session-2, analysis of	
Super-Conducting Links		available mitigation	
& Betatron-Collimation:		options and long-term	
Options and		requirements	
Requirements			
Budget & Resources:	A.L. Perrot	Update/Summary of	20'
Relocation & Shielding		budget estimates,	
		required resources	
		(internal/external),	
		possible bottlenecks	
R2E Strategy: Update	M. Brugger	Puttingittogether	20′
Final Discussion	All	Remarks, Suggestion,	20'
		Feedback	
		Total:	2h 10′