



CLIC Towards a Future Linear Collider

Roger Ruber Uppsala University

UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

Collider History

hadron collider at the frontier of physics



- huge QCD background
- not all nucleon energy available in collision
- lepton collider for precision physics



- well defined CM energy
- polarization possible
- next machine after LHC = lepton collider
 - energy determined by LHC discoveries consensus $E_{cm} \ge 0.5 \text{ TeV}$





Circular versus Linear Collider





source

Linear Collider

few magnets, many cavities, single pass beam higher energy → higher accelerating gradient higher luminosity → higher beam power (high bunch repetition)

Cost of Circular & Linear Accelerators



Circular Collider

- $\Delta E \sim (E^4/m^4R)$
- cost ~ aR + b ΔE
- optimization: $R \sim E^2 \rightarrow cost \sim cE^2$

Linear Collider

- E ~ L
- cost ~ aL





Linear Collider R&D



- 1. high energy \rightarrow high accelerating gradient
- 2. high luminosity \rightarrow high current & small beam size
- 3. efficient power production
- 4. feasibility demonstration

The ILC and CLIC



ILC

International Linear Collider

- superconducting technology
- $E_{acc} = 31.5 \text{ MV/m at } 1.3 \text{ GHz}$
- E_{CMS} = 0.5~1 TeV
- length ~31 km

CLIC

Compact Linear Collider

- normal conducting technology
- $E_{acc} = 100 \text{ MV/m} \text{ at } 12 \text{ GHz}$
- E_{CMS} = 1~3 TeV
- length ~48 km



Roger Ruber (Uppsala University) - CLIC, Towards a Future Linear Collider

UNEXS COLIDER







9

CLIC: Compact Linear Collider

Baseline:

- 2 x 1.5 TeV
- 2x10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹

How to:

NC linac plus "drive beam" replacing klystrons

Roger Ruber (Uppsala University) - CLIC, Towards a Future Linear Collider

- short pulse (<200ns), high rep-rate (100Hz)
- achieve luminosity by very small beam size (1x100nm)











CLIC Layout





10

CLIC Two-beam Acceleration Scheme



Roger Ruber (Uppsala University) - CLIC, Towards a Future Linear Collider



UNIVERSITET

Drive Beam Generation Scheme



Courtesy A. Andersson

UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

The CTF3 Facility as CLIC Test Bench





Roger Ruber (Uppsala University) - CLIC, Towards a Future Linear Collider

CTF3 Experimental Program

- Drive beam generation
 - appropriate time structure
 - fully loaded acceleration
- Drive beam deceleration
 - high power production
 - beam stability
- Two-beam acceleration
 - prototyping structures (PETS, ACS)
 - RF breakdown and beam kicks
- 12GHz klystron powered test stand
 - prototyping structures w/o beam
 - significantly higher repetition rate (50 Hz)
- Photoinjector
 - prototyping drive beam gun



TBTS is the only place available to investigate effects of RF breakdown on the beam

UNIVERSITET

CTF3 Drive Beam

- Several operation modes possible,
- Tail clipper (TC) after the CR to adjust the pulse length,
- Upgrade possible to 150 MeV at 5 Hz repetition rate.

Mode	#1	#2	#3	
Energy		[MeV]		
Energy spread		[%]		
Current (1)	30	15	4	[A]
Pulse length (2)	140	240	1100	[ns]
DBA frequency	1.5	3	3	[GHz]
Bunch frequency	12	12	3	[GHz]
Repetition rate		[Hz]		
PETS power	200	61	5	[MW]





Demonstration Fully Loaded Operation

Efficient power transfer

- "Standard" situation:
 - small beam loading
 - power at exit lost in load
- "Efficient" situation: V_{ACC} ≈ 1/2 V_{unloaded} ٠
 - high beam loading
 - no power flows into load



Roger Ruber (Uppsala University) - CLIC, Towards a Future Linear Collider



in



Recombination Principle





Roger Ruber (Uppsala University) - CLIC, Towards a Future Linear Collider

Bunch Re-combination DL + CR

UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

- Streak camera images from CR
- bunch spacing:
 - 666 ps initial
 - 83 ps final
- circulation time correction Turn 4 by wiggler adjustment
- From DL Turn 1 Turn 2 Turn 3 Beam Current [A] from Linac -10 -15 in DL after DL -20 in CR -25 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 time [ns]

02-Nov-2011

• Signal from BPMs



Roger Ruber (Uppsala University) - CLIC, Towards a Future Linear Collider



Ongoing Work

Beam current stabilization

- CLIC requires stability at 0.075% level
- ok from linac and DL need improvement in CR
- Phase stabilization
 - temperature stabilization pulse compressor cavity
- Transfer line commissioning
 - transport losses from CR to experiment hall





CALIFES Probe Beam



- A standing-wave photo-injector
- 3 travelling-wave structures, the first one used for velocity bunching
- A single klystron (45 MW 5.5 ms) with pulse compression (120 MW – 1.3 ms)
- A RF network with splitters, phase shifters, attenuator, circulator and couplers

Energy	200 MeV
Energy spread	1% (FWHM)
Pulse length	0.6–150 ns
Bunch frequency	1.5 GHz
Bunch length	1.4 ps
Bunch charge	0.085–0.6 nC
Intensity	
- short pulse	1 A
- long pulse	0.13 A
Repetition rate	0.833 – 5 Hz
•	

Two-beam Test Stand



Spectrometers and beam dumps



Experimental area

CTF3 drive-beam

Construction supported by the Swedish Research Council and the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation

Roger Ruber (Uppsala University) - CLIC, Towards a Future Linear Collider

02-Nov-2011

Two-beam Test Stand Prospects

Versatile facility

- two-beam operation
 - 28A drive beam [100A at CLIC]
 - 1A probe beam [like CLIC]
- excellent beam diagnostics, long lever arms
- easy access & flexibility for future upgrades

Unique test possibilities

- power production in prototype CLIC PETS
- two-beam acceleration and full CLIC module
- studies of
 - beam kick & RF breakdown
 - beam dynamics effects
 - beam-based alignment

22







TBTS Test Area





PETS Power Recirculation

- PETS length 1m, to compensate for lower beam current compared to CLIC
- External recirculation loop
 - increase PETS power in long pulse, low current mode #3
- power recirculation through external feedback loop:
 - electron bunch generates field burst
 - field burst returns
 after roundtrip time t_r = 26ns
 - PETS operates as amplifier (LASER like)
- phase shifter to adjust phase error in the loop



Power Reconstruction with Recirculation





- Parameters constant during normal operation
 - → predicts PETS output power (CTF3 Note 092, 094, 096)
- Accurate parameter fit rising slope
 - \rightarrow gives recirculation loop loss factor and phase shift
- Energy difference (ε) measurement and model indicates "pulse shortening" → breakdown indicator

25

Roger Ruber (Uppsala University) - CLIC, Towards a Future Linear Collider

02-Nov-2011

26

First Trial Probe Beam Acceleration

- Fine tuning DB↔PB timing
 3GHz phase scan klystron
 - coherent with 1.5GHz
 - laser timing signal
- ~6 MeV peak-to-peak
 - zero crossing: 177 MeV, 205 degr.
 - phase scaling: 5.58 (expect 4x)
- optimize
 - PB energy spread & bunching
 - klystron pulse compression
 - coherency klystron and laser
 - low input power (ACS not conditioned)







Two-beam Acceleration Experiments

- Probe beam repetition rate is twice the drive beam rep-rate,
- DB / PB relative timing and phase adjusted to maximize energy and minimize energy spread after ACS,
- PB pulse length 10 to 100 ns,
- DB pulse length 100 to 240 ns.



Raw video of the spectrum line MTV screen



Image processing of the spectrum line MTV screen

Conditioning Process

Present stable level:

- PETS + recirculation loop
 - ~70 MW peak power,
 - ~200 ns pulse
- Accelerating structure
 ~23 MW peak power



Roger Ruber (Uppsala University) - CLIC, Towards a Future Linear Collider





RF Waveform Distortion on Breakdown





Normal RF pulse

Break down

from S.Fukuda/KEK

- Pulses with breakdowns not useful for acceleration (beam kick and instabilities)
- Low breakdown rate required (< 10⁻⁶) for useful operation

Breakdown Kick

BPMs before ACS

BPMs after ACS



Possible kick recorded during a breakdown

- Present BPM noise level too high,
- Measurements with MTV screen instead.

0 mm





Beam Kick Measurements







- 5 BPMs: incoming angle & offset, kick angle
- dipole + BPM5 for energy measurement

$\vec{x} = A\vec{\theta}$	$\left(\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array}\right)$		$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ R_{11}^{12} \end{pmatrix}$	$0 \ R_{12}^{12}$	0 0	0 ` 0	$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x' \end{pmatrix}$
	x_3	=	R_{11}^{13}	R_{12}^{13}	R_{12}^{c3}	0	$\begin{bmatrix} x_1\\ \rho \end{bmatrix}$
$\vec{\theta} - (A^t A)^{-1} A^t \vec{r}$	x_4		R_{11}^{14}	R_{12}^{14}	R_{12}^{c4}	0	$\left \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ dn/n \end{array} \right) \right $
v = (111) 11x	$\langle x_5 \rangle$		$\ R_{11}^{15}$	R_{12}^{15}	R_{12}^{c5}	D^5 ,	$(\alpha p/p)$

25 35 45

Ion Currents from RF Breakdown

studied in CTF3 mid-linac test stand

- arrival time profile at Faraday cup consistent with "hot Coulomb" explosion, allows calculation
 - amount of particles (>10¹⁰)
 - temperature (> 10^5 K)
- need detailed analysis to improve understanding
 - sometimes multiple peaks



02-Nov-2011

need method to study in presence of beam

0.02

0.01 C signal C signa

-0.02

150

100

50



RF Breakdown: a Reliability Issue



Conditioning required

- to reach nominal gradient
 but
- damage by excessive field
 Physics phenomena not yet
 completely understood!





Roger Ruber (Uppsala University) - CLIC, Towards a Future Linear Collider

High Frequency Iris Loaded Waveguide Structures





Roger Ruber - Beyond LHC: the path towards future linear colliders

High Frequency Structures



- CLIC type
- T18_vg2.4_disk
- designed at CERN
- build by KEK
- tested at SLAC
- E_{acc} = 106 MV/m
- 11.424 GHz
- 230 ns pulse length
- 10⁻⁶ breakdown rate (BDR)



Frequency	11.424	GHz
Cells	18+input+output	
Filling Time	36	ns
Length	29	cm
Iris Dia. a/λ	15.5~10.1	%
Group Velocity: v _g /c	2.61-1.02	%
S ₁₁ / S ₂₁	0.035/0.8	
Phase Advace Per Cell	2π/3	
Power Needed <e<sub>a>=100MV/m</e<sub>	55.5	MW

Conclusions



- Reached first milestones towards feasibility demonstration:
 - Drive beam generation with appropriate time structure and fully loaded acceleration.
 - Two-beam acceleration with CLIC prototype structures.
- Continued operation and enhancements:
 - Optimize beam and two-beam acceleration.
 - Investigate RF breakdown effects on beam.
 - 12 GHz klystron powered test stand.

Many thanks to all colleagues, their work and their suggestions!