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## A TOY MODEL

B. Grinstein, D. O'Connel, M.B. Wise (2007)
(A) HD formalism:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{hd}}=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \hat{\phi} \partial^{\mu} \hat{\phi}-\frac{1}{2 M^{2}}\left(\partial^{2} \hat{\phi}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \hat{\phi}^{2}-\frac{1}{3!} g \hat{\phi}^{3} .
$$

Propagator: $\hat{D}(p)=i\left(p^{2}-p^{4} / M^{2}-m^{2}\right)^{-1}$

$$
2 \text { poles: } p^{2}=m^{2}, M^{2}
$$

(B) AF formalism: $\hat{\phi}=\phi-\tilde{\phi}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} & =\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \tilde{\phi} \partial^{\mu} \tilde{\phi}+\frac{1}{2} M^{2} \tilde{\phi}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} m^{2}(\phi-\tilde{\phi})^{2}-\frac{1}{3!} g(\phi-\tilde{\phi})^{3} \\
& \text { Wrong sign kinetic and mass term M. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The two formulations are equivalent. Use EoM.

## ATOY MODEL

B. Grinstein, D. O’Connel, M.B. Wise (2007)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(p) & =\frac{i}{p^{2}-m^{2}} ; \quad ; \quad \tilde{D}(p)=\frac{-i}{p^{2}-M^{2}} \\
D(0) & =i g \int \frac{d^{4} p}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{i}{p^{2}-m^{2}}-i g \int \frac{d^{4} p}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{i}{p^{2}-M^{2}} \\
& =i g \int \frac{d^{4} p}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{i\left(m^{2}-M^{2}\right)}{\left(p^{2}-m^{2}\right)\left(p^{2}-M^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## ATOY MODEL

B. Grinstein, D. O'Connel, M.B. Wise (2007)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{\tilde{\phi}}(p)=\frac{-i}{p^{2}-M^{2}}+\frac{-i}{p^{2}-M^{2}}\left(-i \Sigma\left(p^{2}\right)\right) \frac{-i}{p^{2}-M^{2}}+\ldots \\
& =\frac{-i}{p^{2}-M^{2}+\Sigma\left(p^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
D_{\tilde{\phi}}(p)=\frac{-i}{p^{2}-M^{2}-i M \Gamma}, \quad \Gamma=\frac{g^{2}}{32 \pi M} \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m^{2}}{M^{2}}} .
$$

A LW resonance has a probability $\Gamma d t$ of decaying in the interval $-d t$.

Is this a problem? Shall we debate this issue further or proceed?

## LWSM

## Higgs Sector (AF formalism)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}=\left(\hat{D}_{\mu} H\right)^{\dagger}\left(\hat{D}^{\mu} H\right)-\left(\hat{D}_{\mu} \tilde{H}\right)^{\dagger}\left(\hat{D}^{\mu} \tilde{H}\right)+M_{H}^{2} \tilde{H}^{\dagger} \tilde{H}-V(H-\tilde{H}) \\
\hat{D}_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu}+i\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mu}+\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\mu}\right) \quad \mathbf{A}_{\mu}=g A_{\mu}^{a} T^{a}+g_{2} W_{\mu}^{a} T^{a}+g_{1} B_{\mu} Y \\
H^{\top}=\left[0,\left(v+h_{0}\right) / \sqrt{2}\right], \quad \tilde{H}^{\top}=\left[\tilde{h}_{+},\left(\tilde{h}_{0}+i \tilde{p}_{0}\right) / \sqrt{2}\right] \\
\left\langle h_{0}\right\rangle=v, \quad\left\langle\tilde{h}_{0}\right\rangle=0 \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text {mass }}=-\frac{\lambda}{4} v^{2}\left(h_{0}-\tilde{h}_{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{M_{H}^{2}}{2}\left(\tilde{h}_{0} \tilde{h}_{0}+\tilde{p}_{0} \tilde{p}_{0}+2 \tilde{h}_{+} \tilde{h}_{-}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## LWSM

## Higgs Sector

Symplectic rotation: $\quad\binom{h}{\tilde{h}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\cosh \phi_{h} & \sinh \phi_{h} \\ \sinh \phi_{h} & \cosh \phi_{h}\end{array}\right)\binom{h_{\text {phys }}}{\tilde{h}_{\text {phys }}}$
Mass eigenvalues:

|  | $h_{0}$ | $\tilde{h}_{0}$ | $\tilde{p}_{0}$ | $h_{ \pm}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| CP | even | even | odd | none |
| $\frac{m_{\text {phys }}^{2}}{M_{H}^{2}}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{1-2 v^{2} \lambda / M_{H}^{2}}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1-2 v^{2} \lambda / M_{H}^{2}}\right)$ | 1 | 1 |

## LWSM

## Higgs Sector

Mixing angle:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda v^{2}=\frac{2 m_{h_{0}, \text { phys }}^{2}}{\left(1+r_{h_{0}}^{2}\right)}, \quad r_{h_{0}} \equiv \frac{m_{h_{0}, \mathrm{phys}}}{m_{\tilde{h}_{0}, \text { phys }}}, \\
s_{H}=\cosh \phi_{h}=\frac{1}{\left(1-r_{h_{0}}^{4}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \\
s_{H-\tilde{H}}=\cosh \phi_{h}-\sinh \phi_{h}=\frac{1+r_{h_{0}}^{2}}{\left(1-r_{h_{0}}^{4}\right)^{1 / 2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## LWSM

## Yukawa Interactions (in auxiliary field formalism)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}=\overline{\Psi^{t}} i \eta_{3} \hat{D} \Psi^{t}-\overline{\Psi_{R}^{t}} \mathcal{M}_{t} \eta_{3} \Psi_{L}^{t}-\overline{\Psi_{L}^{t}} \eta_{3} \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \Psi_{R}^{t} \\
\Psi_{L}^{t \top}=\left(T_{L}, \tilde{t}_{L}^{\prime}, \tilde{T}_{L}\right), \quad \Psi_{R}^{t \top}=\left(t_{R}, \tilde{t}_{R}, \tilde{T}_{R}^{\prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

SU(2) doublet: $\quad Q_{L}=\left(T_{L}, B_{L}\right)^{\top}$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{t} \eta_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
m_{t} & 0 & -m_{t} \\
-m_{t} & -M_{u} & m_{t} \\
0 & 0 & -M_{Q}
\end{array}\right), \quad \eta_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

## LWSM

## Diagonalization of mass matrices

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{L(R), \text { phys }}=\eta_{3} S_{L(R)}^{\dagger} \eta_{3} \Psi_{L(R)}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{t, \text { phys }} \eta_{3}=S_{R}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}_{t} \eta_{3} S_{L}, \\
S_{L} \eta_{3} S_{L}^{\dagger}=\eta_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad S_{R} \eta_{3} S_{R}^{\dagger}=\eta_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

Higgs-quark vertices

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{v}\left(h_{0}-\tilde{h}_{0}\right)\left(\overline{\Psi_{R}^{t}} g_{t} \Psi_{L}^{t}+\overline{\Psi_{L}^{t}} g_{t}^{\dagger} \Psi_{R}^{t}\right)-\frac{1}{v}\left(-i \tilde{p}_{0}\right)\left(\overline{\Psi_{R}^{t}} g_{t} \Psi_{L}^{t}-\overline{\Psi_{L}^{t}} g_{t}^{\dagger} \Psi_{R}^{t}\right) \\
g_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
m_{t} & 0 & -m_{t} \\
-m_{t} & 0 & m_{t} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad g_{t, \text { phys }}=S_{R}^{\dagger} g_{t} S_{L}
\end{gathered}
$$

## LWSM

LW gauge bosons are massive and mix:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{2 g}= & -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(B_{\mu \nu} B^{\mu \nu}-\tilde{B}_{\mu \nu} \tilde{B}^{\mu \nu}+W_{\mu \nu} W^{\mu \nu}-\tilde{W}_{\mu \nu} \tilde{W}^{\mu \nu}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(M_{1}^{2} \tilde{B}_{\mu} \tilde{B}^{\mu}+M_{2}^{2} \tilde{W}_{\mu}^{a} \tilde{W}_{a}^{\mu}\right)+\frac{g_{2}^{2} v^{2}}{8}\left(W_{\mu}^{1,2}+\tilde{W}_{\mu}^{1,2}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{v^{2}}{8}\left(g_{1} B_{\mu}+g_{1} \tilde{B}_{\mu}+g_{2} W_{\mu}^{3}+g_{2} \tilde{W}_{\mu}^{3}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gauge interactions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{i n t}= & -\sum_{\psi=q_{L}, u_{R}, d_{R}}\left[g_{1} \bar{\psi}(\not B+\ddot{B}) \psi+g_{2} \bar{\psi}(W+\tilde{W}) \psi\right] \\
& +\sum_{\psi=q, u, d}\left[g_{1} \overline{\tilde{\psi}}(\not B+\ddot{B}) \tilde{\psi}+g_{2} \overline{\tilde{\psi}}(W+\tilde{W}) \tilde{\psi}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## LWSM

## Couplings to gauges bosons and fermions

E. Alvarez, E. Coluccio, J.Zurita: arXiv 1004.3496



$$
g_{h_{0} f \bar{f}}=-g_{\tilde{h}_{0} f \bar{f}}=\cosh \theta-\sinh \theta=\frac{1+r^{2}}{\sqrt{1-r^{4}}}, \quad g_{\tilde{P} f \bar{f}}=-1 \quad g_{\tilde{P} g g}^{2}=\frac{\sigma(g g \rightarrow \tilde{P})}{\sigma^{S M}(g g \rightarrow H)}=\left|\frac{g_{\tilde{P} t \tilde{t}} F_{1 / 2}^{\tilde{P}}\left(\beta_{\tilde{P}}^{t}\right)}{F_{1 / 2}\left(\beta_{\tilde{P}}^{t}\right)}\right|^{2}
$$

## LWSM: SUMMARY

- For each SM field add a higher derivative (HD) term.
- Auxiliary fields (AF) can be introduced to cast the theory in terms of interactions with mass dimension no greater than 4.
-The AFs are interpreted as LW partner states and have the wrong-sign propagator (aka Pauli-Villars regulators).
-The LWSM solves the hierarchy problem: the extra minus sign in the loop diagrams come from the LW field propagators. No need for opposite spin statistics!
- Unitarity is preserved, provided that the LW fields do no appear as asymptotic states in the S-matrix.
- Causality is preserved at the the macroscopic level (where we live). However, there can be violations of causality at the microscopic level.


## HIGGS BOSON PAIR PRODUCTION

$$
p p \rightarrow h_{0} h_{0}
$$


(a)
(b)

$$
\mathcal{M}\left(g g \rightarrow h_{0} h_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{32 \pi^{2}} \delta^{a b} \frac{g^{2}}{v^{2}}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0} P_{0}+\mathcal{A}_{2} P_{2}\right)_{\mu \nu} e\left(p_{1}\right)_{a}^{\mu} e\left(p_{2}\right)_{b}^{\nu}
$$

For details see our Appendix!

## HIGGS BOSON PAIR PRODUCTION



## Total cross section

## HIGGS BOSON PAIR PRODUCTION



## Total cross section

## HIGGS BOSON PAIR PRODUCTION



## HIGGS BOSON PAIR PRODUCTION



$$
p p \rightarrow h_{0} h_{0} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \gamma \gamma
$$

## HIGGS BOSON PAIR PRODUCTION

$$
p p \rightarrow h_{0} h_{0} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \gamma \gamma
$$

- Cut I: Two isolated photons.
- Cut 2: Two kt jets.
- Cut 3: At least one b-tagged jet.
- Cut 4: $\left|M_{\gamma \gamma}-m_{h_{0}}\right| \leq 2 \mathrm{GeV}$
- Cut 5: $\left|M_{b j}-m_{h_{0}}\right| \leq 20 \mathrm{GeV}$
- Cut 6: $\left|M_{b j \gamma \gamma}-m_{\tilde{h}_{0}}\right| \leq \delta m_{\tilde{h}_{0}}$


## HIGGS BOSON PAIR PRODUCTION



# HIGGS BOSON PAIR PRODUCTION 

| Benchmark | $m_{h_{0}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $m_{\tilde{h}_{0}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\delta m_{\tilde{h}_{0}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | 120 | 300 | 40 |
| (b) | 130 | 445 | 45 |
| (c) | 130 | 550 | 50 |

$$
p p \rightarrow h_{0} h_{0} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \gamma \gamma
$$

|  | QCD+EW: | $\gamma \gamma j j$ | $\gamma \gamma b b$ | $\gamma \gamma c c$ | $\gamma \gamma b c$ | $\gamma \gamma b j$ | $\gamma \gamma c j$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\sigma_{\text {gen }}(\mathrm{pb})$ | 23.2 | 0.176 | 1.56 | 0.0840 | 0.519 | 6.26 |
|  | cut 1 | 0.390 | 0.370 | 0.306 | 0.295 | 0.344 | 0.354 |
|  | cut 2 | 0.363 | 0.358 | 0.386 | 0.435 | 0.406 | 0.366 |
|  | cut 3 | 0.0526 | 0.795 | 0.116 | 0.516 | 0.460 | 0.0920 |
|  | cut 4a | 0.0212 | 0.0233 | 0.0247 | 0.0217 | 0.0240 | 0.0200 |
|  | cut 5a | 0.249 | 0.229 | 0.232 | 0.242 | 0.264 | 0.203 |
|  | cut 6a | 0.604 | 0.547 | 0.713 | 0.534 | 0.471 | 0.627 |
|  | $\epsilon_{\text {tot }}$ | $2.37 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.07 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.60 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.85 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.93 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.03 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $(\mathrm{a})$ | $\sigma_{\text {eff }}(\mathrm{fb})$ | 0.550 | 0.0527 | 0.0873 | 0.0156 | 0.100 | 0.190 |
|  | cut 4b | 0.0150 | 0.0202 | 0.0139 | 0.0167 | 0.0221 | 0.0191 |
|  | cut 5b | 0.221 | 0.213 | 0.174 | 0.242 | 0.234 | 0.276 |
|  | cut 6b | 0.136 | 0.0567 | 0.129 | 0.138 | 0.165 | 0.130 |
|  | $\epsilon_{\text {tot }}$ | $3.37 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.56 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.14 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.67 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.46 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.06 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $(\mathrm{~b})$ | $\sigma_{\text {eff }}(\mathrm{fb})$ | 0.0782 | 0.00431 | 0.00959 | 0.00309 | 0.0283 | 0.0505 |
|  | cut 4c | 0.0150 | 0.0213 | 0.0199 | 0.0167 | 0.0221 | 0.0191 |
|  | cut 5 c | 0.221 | 0.213 | 0.174 | 0.242 | 0.234 | 0.274 |
|  | cut 6 c | 0.00723 | 0.0337 | 0.00289 | 0.0164 | 0.0303. | 0.0 .0122 |
|  | $\epsilon_{\text {tot }}$ | $1.79 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.52 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.38 \times 10^{-8}$ | $4.36 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.00 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.58 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| $(\mathrm{c})$ | $\sigma_{\text {eff }}(\mathrm{fb})$ | 0.00414 | 0.00261 | $2.15 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.000366 | 0.00521 | 0.00475 |

## HIGGS BOSON PAIR PRODUCTION

| Benchmark | $m_{h_{0}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $m_{\tilde{h}_{0}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\delta m_{\tilde{h}_{0}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | 120 | 300 | 40 |
| (b) | 130 | 445 | 45 |
| (c) | 130 | 550 | 50 |

$$
p p \rightarrow h_{0} h_{0} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \gamma \gamma
$$

| $p p \rightarrow h_{0} Z \rightarrow \gamma \gamma b \bar{b}$ | (a) $m_{h_{0}}=120 \mathrm{GeV}, m_{\tilde{h}_{0}}=300 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma_{\text {gen }}(\mathrm{fb})$ | 32.3 |
| cut 1 | 0.745 |
| cut 2 | 0.489 |
| cut 3 | 0.772 |
| cut 4 | 0.999 |
| cut 5 | 0.184 |
| cut 6 | 0.422 |
| $\epsilon_{\text {tot }}$ | 0.0218 |
| $\sigma_{\text {eff }}(\mathrm{fb})$ | 0.703 |


| $p p \rightarrow h_{0} h_{0} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma b \bar{b}$ | $(\mathrm{a})$ | $(\mathrm{b})$ | $(\mathrm{c})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma_{\text {gen }}(\mathrm{fb})$ | 11.2 | 0.964 | 0.195 |
| cut 1 | 0.594 | 0.675 | 0.693 |
| cut 2 | 0.414 | 0.405 | 0.391 |
| cut 3 | 0.734 | 0.760 | 0.748 |
| cut 4 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 |
| cut 5 | 0.601 | 0.567 | 0.586 |
| cut 6 | 0.966 | 0.823 | 0.725 |
| $\epsilon_{\text {tot }}$ | 0.105 | 0.097 | 0.0861 |
| $\sigma_{\text {eff }}(\mathrm{fb})$ | 1.18 | 0.0935 | 0.0168 |

## HIGGS BOSON PAIR PRODUCTION

| Benchmark | $m_{h_{0}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $m_{\tilde{h}_{0}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\delta m_{\tilde{h}_{0}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | 120 | 300 | 40 |
| (b) | 130 | 445 | 45 |
| (c) | 130 | 550 | 50 |

$$
p p \rightarrow h_{0} h_{0} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \gamma \gamma
$$




## INTERFERENCE EFFECTS INTOP PAIR PRODUCTION

$$
\begin{aligned}
g g \rightarrow R \rightarrow \bar{t} t & \quad \text { D.Dicus, A. Strange, and s.Willenbrock } \\
\left.\frac{d \hat{\sigma}}{d s}(g g \rightarrow \bar{t} t)\right|_{\text {interference }} & =-|c(s)| \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{l_{\Delta}}{s-m_{R}^{2}+i m_{R} \Gamma_{R}}\right] \\
& =-|\tilde{c}(s)|\left(\left(s-m_{R}^{2}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left[l_{\Delta}\right]+m_{R} \Gamma_{R} \operatorname{Im}\left[l_{\Delta}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
l_{\Delta}=l_{\Delta}\left(s / 4 m_{t}^{2}\right) \quad \text { loop triangle function }
$$

I. If there is no loop function there will be a peak-dip. 2. For a scalar or pseudo-scalar resonance this pattern does not change.

## INTERFERENCE EFFECTS INTOP PAIR PRODUCTION

$$
g g \rightarrow R \rightarrow \bar{t} t
$$

$$
\left.\frac{d \hat{\sigma}}{d s}(g g \rightarrow \bar{t} t)\right|_{\mathrm{LW}-\text { interference }}=-|c(s)| \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{-l_{\triangle}\left(s / 4 m_{t}^{2}\right)}{\left(s-m_{R}^{2}\right)-i m_{R} \Gamma_{R}}\right]
$$

$$
=-|\tilde{c}(s)|\left(-\left(s-m_{R}^{2}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left[l_{\Delta}\right]+m_{R} \Gamma_{R} \operatorname{Im}\left[l_{\Delta}\right]\right)
$$

Sign-flip in the LW -
case

$$
\mathcal{M}_{R}^{2}=m_{R}^{2}+\frac{\operatorname{Im}\left[l_{\Delta}\right]}{\operatorname{Re}\left[l_{\Delta}\right]} m_{R} \Gamma_{R}
$$

Dip-peak structure

## INTERFERENCE EFFECTS INTOP PAIR PRODUCTION



Usual resonance


Lee-Wick type resonance

## Top pair invariant mass spectrum

LO, MSTW2008 LO(90\% C.L.), $\sqrt{S}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \mu_{f}=\mu_{r}=m_{t}$


LO, MSTW2008 LO(90\% C.L.), $\sqrt{S}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \mu_{f}=\mu_{r}=m_{t}$


LO, MSTW2008 LO(90\% C.L.), $\sqrt{S}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \mu_{f}=\mu_{r}=m_{t}$


LO, MSTW2008 LO(90\% C.L.), $\sqrt{S}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \mu_{f}=\mu_{r}=m_{t}$


## CONCLUSIONS

- LW Gauge bosons and LW fermions are constrained to be in the few TeV range by EWPO and dilepton searches while the LW Higgs could be below a TeV.
- We have computed the total cross section for double Higgs boson pair production.
- Additionally, we have investigated a search at the a 14 TeV LHC using the di-photon plus di-jet channel. For LW Higgs boson masses of 300 GeV a 5 sigma discovery can be made with 20 I/fb of integrated luminosity.
- We have investigated top pair production in the LWSM. For LW Higgs boson masses above the top pair production threshold, the branching fraction of the LW Higgs boson decaying top pairs dominates. Hence, the top pair channel dominates over the double Higgs boson channel.


## Higgs boson decays





## Higgs to two photons



Figure 4: The relative change in the cross-section times decay rate for the full process $g g \rightarrow$ $h_{0} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ in the LWSM, expressed as $\left|\kappa_{g g}\right|^{2}\left|\kappa_{\gamma \gamma}\right|^{2}-1$, plotted as a function of $m_{h_{0}, \text { phys }}$. Lee-Wick mass scales are such that $M_{Q}=M_{u}=m_{\tilde{h}, \text { phys }}=m_{\tilde{h}+, \text { phys }}=m_{\tilde{W}, \text { phys }} \equiv \tilde{M}$
F.Krauss, T.E.J Underwood, R. Zwicky: arXiv 0709.4054
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