Dark matter as the trigger of strong electroweak phase transition

Yue Zhang, ICTP, Trieste

Talk at Pheno 2012

Based on: T. Chowdhury, M. Nemevsek, G. Senjanovic, YZ, JCAP 1202, 029 [arXiv: 1110.5334]

Date: May 7, 2012

Baryon asymmetry & dark matter

- * Two issues that particle physics SM cannot answer for cosmology:
 - Dark matter candidate.
 - * Origin of baryon asymmetry of the universe.
- * Connections between dark matter and baryon relic densities?
 - After / during baryogenesis, dark matter shares part of the asymmetry -generally use B or L violating processes beyond SM.
 - * Dark matter plays role in generating the baryon asymmetry.

Electroweak baryogenesis

 Baryon number in the SM is known able to be violated efficiently at high temperature.
 't Hooft, 76'; Klinkhamer, Manton, 84';

Kuzmin, Rubakov, Shaposhnikov, 85'

- * SM fails for two reasons: no strong first-order phase transition & no enough CP violation -- mainly focus on phase transition in this talk.
- * Strong first-order PhT calls for v_c/T_c ≥ 1 (suppress sphalerons inside the bubble). Thermal cubic from boson loops. SM: gauge bosons couple to Higgs too weakly.
- * New scalars with sizable coupling to Higgs (like stop in MSSM).
- * Can (one of) these scalars be dark matter candidate?

DM from an inert scalar doublet

Two Higgs doublet (H, D) model with Z2 symmetry.

 $V = \mu_H^2 |H|^2 + \mu_D^2 |D|^2 + \lambda_1 |H|^4 + \lambda_2 |D|^4 + \lambda_3 |H|^2 |D|^2 + \lambda_4 |H^{\dagger}D|^2 + \frac{\lambda_5}{2} \left| (H^{\dagger}D)^2 + h.c. \right|$

- Original motivations from little hierarchy problem, mirror fermions. Deshpande, Ma, 78'; Barbieri, Hall, Rychkov hep-ph/0603188 Melfo, Nemevsek, Nesti, Senjanovic, YZ, 1105.4611
- Only one doublet H (Z2 even) gets VEV, breaks EW symmetry; The second doublet (Z2 odd) does not couple to fermions -- inert.

* Spectrum: $D = \begin{pmatrix} C^{+} \\ (S+iA)/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$ $m_{S}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{D}^{2} \\ \mu_{D}^{2} \\ m_{A}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{D}^{2} \\ \mu_{D}$

* Precision test: $\Delta T \approx \frac{1}{24\pi^2 \alpha v^2} (m_C - m_A) (m_C - m_S) \implies m_A \approx m_C \gg m_S$

Relic density and direct detection



- Thermal freeze out
- Annihilate either through gauge interaction or via SM Higgs exchange.
- Destructive interference possible.

Relic density and direct detection



- Thermal freeze out
- Annihilate either through gauge interaction or via SM Higgs exchange.
- Destructive interference possible.



- Direct detection: Higgs mediated.
- Via interaction: $\lambda_S SS(h+v)^2$

Cross section:

$$\sigma_{\rm SI} = \frac{\lambda_S^2 f^2}{4\pi} \frac{\mu_N^2 m_N^2}{m_h^2 m_S^2}$$

 $f = f_{T_u}^{(N)} + f_{T_d}^{(N)} + f_{T_s}^{(N)} + 2/9, \quad m_N f_{T_q}^{(N)} \equiv \langle N | m_q \bar{q} q | N \rangle$

Constraints from Xenon 100



Melfo, Nemevsek, Nesti, Senjanovic, YZ, 1105.4611

Melfo, Nemevsek, Nesti, Senjanovic, YZ, 1105.4611

- Lighter thermal singlet S (<~50 GeV) excluded by Xenon, and LEP (due to Z*SS production) and recently invisible Higgs decay.
- Indirect detection through gamma-ray line enhanced by W-loop.

M. Gustafsson, E. Lundstrom, L. Bergstrom, J. Edsjo, astro-ph/0703512

Constraints from Xenon 100



- OM mass: $m_h/2 76$ GeV, up to uncertainties in strange form factor and local dark matter density.
 Melfo, Nemevsek, Nesti, Senjanovic, YZ, 1105.4611
- Lighter thermal singlet S (<~50 GeV) excluded by Xenon, and LEP (due to Z*SS production) and recently invisible Higgs decay.
- Indirect detection through gamma-ray line enhanced by W-loop.

M. Gustafsson, E. Lundstrom, L. Bergstrom, J. Edsjo, astro-ph/0703512

Constraints from Xenon 100



- Mass: $m_h/2 76$ GeV, up to uncertainties in strange form factor and local dark matter density.
 Melfo, Nemevsek, Nesti, Senjanovic, YZ, 1105.4611
 - Melfo, Nemevsek, Nesti, Senjanovic, YZ, 1105.4611
- Lighter thermal singlet S (<~50 GeV) excluded by Xenon, and LEP (due to Z*SS production) and recently invisible Higgs decay.
- Indirect detection through gamma-ray line enhanced by W-loop.

M. Gustafsson, E. Lundstrom, L. Bergstrom, J. Edsjo, astro-ph/0703512

EW phase transition

- * Effective potential at high T: $V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{m^2(T)}{2}\phi^2 ET\phi^3 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$
- ✤ Strong first order phase transition, $\frac{v_c}{T_c} \gtrsim 1$ suppress sphaleron inside bubble.
- * Cubic term is crucial. SM case: $\frac{v_c}{T_c} \approx \frac{3}{2\pi} \frac{2M_W^3 + M_Z^3}{m_h^2 v_0}$
- * Require the Higgs be lighter than 50 GeV.

Only bosons contribute to thermal cubic

Higgs potential at finite T

$$V_{\text{tot}} \approx \frac{1}{4} \lambda_1 \phi^4 + \frac{1}{2} \left[-\mu_H^2 + a \frac{T^2}{12} \right] \phi^2 - \frac{T}{12\pi} \sum_{\text{bosons}} n_B m_B^3(\phi, T)$$
$$a = 6\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + (9g^2 + 3g'^2)/4 + 3y_t^2$$

* List of bosons: W^{\pm}, Z^0, h ; inert components C^{\pm}, A, S .

Phase transition w. inert doublet

* With an inert doublet, term proportional to T

$$-\frac{T}{12\pi} \left[m_S^3(T) + m_A^3(T) + 2m_C^3(T) + 4M_W^3(T) + 2M_Z^3(T) \right]$$

- * Thermal mass not purely from Higgs vev (like MSSM stop) $m_i^2(\phi, T) \approx \left(\mu_D^2 + b \frac{T^2}{12}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_i \phi^2 , (i = S, A, C)$
- Non-zero first term tends to weaken the strength of phase transition

$$\mu_D^2 + \frac{T_c^2}{12} \left[6\lambda_D + \frac{m_S^2 + m_A^2 + 2m_C^2 - 4\mu_D^2}{v_c^2} + \frac{9g^2 + 3g'^2}{4} \right]$$

* Need to minimize -- upper bound on μ_D^2 .

T. Chowdhury, M. Nemevsek, G. Senjanovic, YZ (arXiv:1110.5334)

Connection to direct detection

- More correlations:
- * S, A, C masses share the same μ_D^2 , whose upper bound means lower bound on λ_S .

Recall : $V \sim \lambda_S SS(h+v)^2$ * DM mass: $m_S^2 = \mu_D^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_S v^2 = m_h/2 - 76 \,\text{GeV}$ (relic density)

- * Direct detection: $\sigma_{\rm SI} = \frac{\lambda_S^2 f^2}{4\pi} \frac{\mu_N^2 m_N^2}{m_h^2 m_S^2}$
- Thus strong phase transition implies a lower bound on direct detection cross section.

T. Chowdhury, M. Nemevsek, G. Senjanovic, YZ (arXiv:1110.5334)

Constraints on the model



T. Chowdhury, M. Nemevsek, G. Senjanovic, YZ, JCAP, 1110.5334 D. Borah, J.M. Cline, 1204.4722

- * A, C masses between 270-350 GeV (nearly degenerate);
- DM S mass mh/2-76 GeV, and small self interaction;
- * SM Higgs mass must be <130 GeV.

Unique representation(s)

- Why not scalar singlet: direct detection wants its coupling to Higgs small, but phase transition wants it large.
- Can be done with a complex singlet -- essentially two real singlets -- less correlation between direct detection and phase transition?
 Barger, Langacker, McCaskey, Ramsey-Musolf, Shaughnessy, 0811.0393
- Higher representation?
- Integer weak-isospin: cannot accommodate light DM (end up >TeV).

Cirelli, Fornengo, Strumia, hep-ph/0512090

 Half integer weak-isospin, allow light DM, but larger gauge contribution to thermal mass -- too weak phase transition (even for quadruplet).

Conclusions

- * Dark matter could play important role in EW baryogenesis.
- We work with inert scalar doublet dark matter example -- a unique candidate.
- Strong phase transition implies a lower bound on direct detection cross section.
- Interplay with relic density tightly constraint the spectrum SM Higgs must be lighter than 130 GeV - new states testable at the LHC.
- Not a complete picture yet new sources of CP violation needed, towards the symmetric dark matter - asymmetric baryon connection.

Conclusions

- * Dark matter could play important role in EW baryogenesis.
- We work with inert scalar doublet dark matter example -- a unique candidate.
- Strong phase transition implies a lower bound on direct detection cross section.
- Interplay with relic density tightly constraint the spectrum SM Higgs must be lighter than 130 GeV - new states testable at the LHC.
- Not a complete picture yet new sources of CP violation needed, towards the symmetric dark matter - asymmetric baryon connection.

Thank you!