Technicolor Assisted Leptogenesis with and Ultra-Heavy Higgs Doublet

Ian Lewis

Brookhaven National Lab

arXiv:1112.1939 [hep-ph] Hooman Davoudiasl

May 7, 2012 Phenomenology Symposium 2012

Introduction

- The mechanism of EWSB is of great theoretical importance and, as of yet, still undiscovered.
- Although the SM can accommodate the relevant phenomenology, Nature may realize EWSB in a more complicated manner.

Introduction

- The mechanism of EWSB is of great theoretical importance and, as of yet, still undiscovered.
- Although the SM can accommodate the relevant phenomenology, Nature may realize EWSB in a more complicated manner.
- For example, different sectors may be responsible for the masses of the gauge bosons and fermions.
- Specifically, the hierarchy between the weak scale and potentially large scales of new physics can be alleviated by a dynamical EWSB mechanism based of the condensation of new fermion pairs.

Introduction

- The mechanism of EWSB is of great theoretical importance and, as of yet, still undiscovered.
- Although the SM can accommodate the relevant phenomenology, Nature may realize EWSB in a more complicated manner.
- For example, different sectors may be responsible for the masses of the gauge bosons and fermions.
- Specifically, the hierarchy between the weak scale and potentially large scales of new physics can be alleviated by a dynamical EWSB mechanism based of the condensation of new fermion pairs.
- Although the W[±] and Z masses can be easily generated, fermion masses are a challenge in these models.
- One possible solution is the hybrid proposal Bosonic Technicolor.

Bosonic Technicolor

• The SM fermions obtain their masses via Yukawa couplings to a Higgs doublet, Φ

$$V_{\Phi} = m_{\Phi}^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - \lambda_{\psi} \Phi \bar{\Psi}_L \psi_R - \lambda_f \Phi \bar{F}_L f_R + \dots,$$

- ψ are technifermions, *F*, *f* are SM fermions.
- L denote $SU(2)_L$ doublets, and R $SU(2)_L$ singlets.

• Upon condensation $\langle \bar{\Psi}_L \psi_R \rangle \neq 0$, a vev $\langle \Phi \rangle \neq 0$ is induced:

$$\langle \Phi
angle = \lambda_{\Psi} rac{\langle \bar{\Psi}_L \Psi_R
angle}{m_{\Phi}^2}$$

•
$$\langle \bar{\Psi}_L \psi_R \rangle \approx 4\pi f_{TC}^3$$
 and $\langle \Phi \rangle^2 + f_{TC}^2 \approx (246 \text{ GeV})^2$

Bosonic Technicolor

• The SM fermions obtain their masses via Yukawa couplings to a Higgs doublet, $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$

$$V_{\Phi} = m_{\Phi}^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - \lambda_{\psi} \Phi \bar{\Psi}_L \psi_R - \lambda_f \Phi \bar{F}_L f_R + \dots,$$

- ψ are technifermions, *F*, *f* are SM fermions.
- L denote $SU(2)_L$ doublets, and $R SU(2)_L$ singlets.
- Upon condensation $\langle \bar{\Psi}_L \psi_R \rangle \neq 0$, a vev $\langle \Phi \rangle \neq 0$ is induced:

$$\langle \Phi
angle = \lambda_{\Psi} rac{\langle \bar{\Psi}_L \Psi_R
angle}{m_{\Phi}^2}$$

• $\langle \bar{\Psi}_L \psi_R \rangle \approx 4 \pi f_{TC}^3$ and $\langle \Phi \rangle^2 + f_{TC}^2 \approx (246 \ {\rm GeV})^2$

- For O(1) parameters, m_t ~ 172 GeV needs m_Φ to be a few hundred GeV to a TeV.
- For a somewhat heaver Higgs field $\langle \Phi \rangle \ll m_W$, and small Yukawa couplings are not needed to accommodate the light fermions.

Ian Lewis (BNL)

Neutrino Masses

- If m_Φ is set by the general compositeness scale, neutrino masses require very suppressed Yukawa couplings.
- If we treat the Higgs as a fundamental particle, we expect its mass to be quite large due to quadratic quantum corrections.

Neutrino Masses

- If m_Φ is set by the general compositeness scale, neutrino masses require very suppressed Yukawa couplings.
- If we treat the Higgs as a fundamental particle, we expect its mass to be quite large due to quadratic quantum corrections.
- For $m_v \sim$ 0.1 eV and $\mathcal{O}(1)$ couplings, we need $\langle H \rangle \sim$ 0.1 eV.
- Introduce interactions as before:

$$V_{H} = m_{H}^{2} H^{\dagger} H - \lambda_{\chi} H \bar{X}_{L} \chi_{R} - \lambda_{\nu} H^{*} \bar{L} \nu_{R} + \dots$$

• X_L, χ_R are $SU(2)_L$ doublet and singlet technifermions.

• Now
$$\langle H \rangle = \lambda_{\Psi} \frac{\langle \Psi_L \Psi_R \rangle}{m_H^2} \sim 0.1 \text{ eV}$$

• For $\langle \bar{X}_L \chi_R \rangle \sim (100 \ {
m GeV})^3$ and order on couplings, we find $m_H \sim 10^8 \ {
m GeV}$.

- This scenario is analogous to the standard seesaw mechanism: small (Dirac) neutrino masses are generated via the splitting between the fundamental Higgs and EW scales.
- Extend analogy to Leptogenesis: generate B L charge through

 $H \to X_L \bar{\chi}_R$ and $H \to \bar{L} \nu_R$

- This scenario is analogous to the standard seesaw mechanism: small (Dirac) neutrino masses are generated via the splitting between the fundamental Higgs and EW scales.
- Extend analogy to Leptogenesis: generate *B L* charge through

$$H \to X_L \bar{\chi}_R$$
 and $H \to \bar{L} \nu_R$

• Introduce H_2 with $m_2 > m_1$ to create asymmetry via CP violating decays:

$$V_H = m_a^2 H_a^{\dagger} H_a - \lambda_{\chi^D}^a H_a \bar{X}_L \chi_R^D - \lambda_{\chi^U}^a H_a^* \bar{X}_L \chi_R^U - \lambda_{\nu}^a H_a^* \bar{L} \nu_R + \dots$$

• $a = 1, 2$



(

Leptogenesis



• Calculate Lepton asymmetry:

$$\varepsilon \equiv \frac{\Gamma(H_1 \to \bar{L}\nu_R) - \Gamma(H_1^* \to L\bar{\nu}_R)}{2\Gamma(H_1)}$$

Leptogenesis



Calculate Lepton asymmetry:

$$\varepsilon \equiv \frac{\Gamma(H_1 \to \overline{L}\nu_R) - \Gamma(H_1^* \to L\overline{\nu}_R)}{2\Gamma(H_1)}$$
$$\simeq \frac{N_{TC}}{8\pi} \frac{m_1^2}{m_2^2 - m_1^2} \frac{\sum_i \operatorname{Im} \left[\left(\lambda_{\chi^D}^{1*} \lambda_{\chi^D}^2 + \lambda_{\chi^U}^1 \lambda_{\chi^U}^{2*} \right) \lambda_{\nu_i}^1 \lambda_{\nu_i}^{2*} \right]}{N_{TC}(|\lambda_{\chi^D}^1|^2 + |\lambda_{\chi^U}^1|^2) + \sum_i |\lambda_{\nu_i}^1|^2}$$

 $\bullet\,$ Can easily generate $\epsilon \sim 10^{-2}$ with reasonable assumptions.

- Universe reheated via inflaton and H_1 decays.
- We find that for a $T_{\rm RH} \lesssim 5 \times 10^4$ GeV, the processes that could wash-out the asymmetry are decoupled.
- Since H_1 decays contribute to reheating, to maintain $T_{\rm RH} \ll m_1$ we must have $r = \frac{n_1 m_1}{g_* T_{RH}^4} \ll 1$
- Estimate H_1 abundance: $Y_1 = \frac{T_{\rm RH}}{m_1}r$

- Universe reheated via inflaton and H₁ decays.
- We find that for a $T_{\rm RH} \lesssim 5 \times 10^4$ GeV, the processes that could wash-out the asymmetry are decoupled.
- Since H_1 decays contribute to reheating, to maintain $T_{\rm RH} \ll m_1$ we must have $r = \frac{n_1 m_1}{g_* T_{RH}^4} \ll 1$
- Estimate H_1 abundance: $Y_1 = \frac{T_{\rm RH}}{m_1}r$
- Assuming $T_{\rm RH} > T_c \gtrsim$ 100 GeV, EW sphalerons will process the left handed lepton asymmetry into a baryon asymmetry.

For a minimal technicolor sector, i.e., N_{TC} = 2, a one generation each (X_L, χ_R) and (Ψ_L, ψ_R), and one EW scale Higgs doublet, the solution of the equilibrium equations gives the baryon number:

BAU

$$B = \frac{13}{67}(B - L) = -\frac{13}{67}L_{init}$$

For a minimal technicolor sector, i.e., N_{TC} = 2, a one generation each (X_L, χ_R) and (Ψ_L, ψ_R), and one EW scale Higgs doublet, the solution of the equilibrium equations gives the baryon number:

$$B = \frac{13}{67}(B - L) = -\frac{13}{67}L_{init}$$

• Calculate BAU: $\eta \sim \frac{13}{67} \epsilon \, Y_1 \sim 10^{-8}$

• for typical values $r\sim$ 0.1, $T_{
m RH}\sim$ 10⁴ GeV, $m_1\sim$ 10⁸ GeV, and $\epsilon\sim$ 10⁻²

• Baryon number asymmetry observed to be 9×10^{-11} .

Technifermion Number

• *H*₁ decays and sphalerons also generate a technifermion number

$$B_{\psi} = \frac{13}{201}(B-L)$$

- If we assume the lightest technibaryon $S = \Psi^u \psi^d$ is electrically neutral, and that the processes that violate technibaryon number are sufficiently suppressed, *S* could make a good DM candidate.
- Since Ω_{DM}/Ω_B ≈ 5, the ratio of B_ψ to B implies a mass of m_S ~ 15 GeV would make make a good DM candidate.
- However, with $\langle \bar{\Psi}_L \psi_R \rangle \sim (100 \text{ GeV})^3$, we expect $m_S \sim 1$ TeV, implying a suppression of $O(10^{-2})$ is needed.

Technifermion Number

• *H*₁ decays and sphalerons also generate a technifermion number

$$B_{\psi} = \frac{13}{201}(B-L)$$

- If we assume the lightest technibaryon $S = \Psi^u \psi^d$ is electrically neutral, and that the processes that violate technibaryon number are sufficiently suppressed, *S* could make a good DM candidate.
- Since Ω_{DM}/Ω_B ≈ 5, the ratio of B_ψ to B implies a mass of m_S ~ 15 GeV would make make a good DM candidate.
- However, with $\langle \bar{\Psi}_L \psi_R \rangle \sim (100 \text{ GeV})^3$, we expect $m_S \sim 1$ TeV, implying a suppression of $O(10^{-2})$ is needed.
- Sphalerons typically lead to suppressions in the technibaryon number of

$$\left(rac{m_{S}}{T_{c}}
ight)^{3/2} {
m e}^{-m_{S}/T_{c}} \sim 10^{-2}$$

for $m_{\rm S}$ \sim 1 TeV and T_c \sim 200 GeV.

Technibaryon Violation

- Technibaryon number may be violated by higher dimension operators.
- In such a case, the decay of technibaryons into light SM particles can lead to an increase in entropy.
- If these decays occur during big bang nucleosynthesis, they can strongly perturb abundances of the light elements. Two possibilities:
 - Decay before BBN
 - Long-lived on cosmological times

Technibaryon Violation

- Technibaryon number may be violated by higher dimension operators.
- In such a case, the decay of technibaryons into light SM particles can lead to an increase in entropy.
- If these decays occur during big bang nucleosynthesis, they can strongly perturb abundances of the light elements. Two possibilities:
 - Decay before BBN
 - Long-lived on cosmological times
- Decay before BBN, $\tau_{TB} \ll$ 1 sec:
 - If decay is mediated via a dimension 6 operator, then

$$\frac{m_{\rm S}^5}{M^4}\gtrsim 10^{-24}~{\rm GeV}$$

• For $m_{
m S}$ \sim 1 TeV, the scale of new physics is $M \lesssim 10^{10}~{
m GeV}$

Technibaryon Violation

- Technibaryon number may be violated by higher dimension operators.
- In such a case, the decay of technibaryons into light SM particles can lead to an increase in entropy.
- If these decays occur during big bang nucleosynthesis, they can strongly perturb abundances of the light elements. Two possibilities:
 - Decay before BBN
 - Long-lived on cosmological times
- Decay before BBN, $\tau_{TB} \ll$ 1 sec:
 - If decay is mediated via a dimension 6 operator, then

$$\frac{m_{\rm S}^5}{M^4}\gtrsim 10^{-24}~{\rm GeV}$$

- For $m_{\rm S}$ ~ 1 TeV, the scale of new physics is $M \lesssim 10^{10}~{
 m GeV}$
- Long-lived scenario, $\tau_{TB} \gtrsim 10^{26}$ sec:
 - For $m_{\rm S} \sim 1$ TeV the scale of new physics is $M \gtrsim 10^{16}$ GeV, near the GUT scale.

Signatures

- Although mechanism of neutrino mass out of reach of current experiments, this model still falsifiable.
- First, we generate Dirac neutrino masses. Any observation of neutrinoless double β-decay would rule out this model.
- Generically, technihadrons are expected to emerge at the TeV scale.
- The EW scalar has suppressed coupling to W[±] and Z. Hence, at the LHC the measured Higgs branching ratios should be altered.
- It has been shown in a model similar to ours, LEP and EWP data constrain the technibaryon masses to be above 2 TeV and $f_{\rm TC} \lesssim 100 \mbox{ GeV}$ _{Carone, Primulando, arXiv:1003.4720}. In this case the Higgs like scalar may have signatures similar to the SM.
- This neutrino mass generation mechanism does not depend on how the other fermions obtain their masses, it can be coupled with any viable TC model.

Conclusions

- We investigated the possibility of generating Dirac neutrino masses via dynamical EWSB coupled with and ultra-heavy Higgs doublet, $m \sim 10^8$ GeV.
- Adopting the bosonic technicolor framework, we showed that CP-violating decays of the heavy Higgs can provide a mechanism for leptogenesis.
- Typical parameter values easily yield the correct cosmological baryon number.
- If the lightest technibaryon is electrically neutral and stable, it is a possible asymmetric dark matter candidate.
- Our model predicts
 - TeV scale technibaryons.
 - An EW scale scalar similar to the SM-Higgs, but with altered interactions.
 - The absence of neutrinoless double β -decay.