

<span id="page-0-0"></span>RD51 mini week - 22nd November 2011



# Motivation

- Micromegas detectors have been generally tested in  $Ar + 5\%$ isobutane. This gas is suppossed to be the best for a high gain and excellent energy resolution.
- What happens in other gases? What is the relation of gain and energy resolution with the gas and the gap distance?

# Application

- Results will serve as a reference for Micromegas users.
- <span id="page-1-0"></span>• Higher gains are envisaged to reduce the energy threshold of detectors to allow its application in sub-keV experiments.



- [Micromegas and microbulk technology](#page-3-0)
- [Characterization in argon-based mixtures](#page-6-0)
- [Neon-based mixtures for sub-keV applications](#page-16-0)

[Conclusions](#page-21-0)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

[Index](#page-0-0) [Microbulk](#page-3-0) [Argon](#page-6-0) [Neon](#page-16-0) [Conclusions](#page-21-0) [Back-up](#page-22-0) Micromegas and microbulk technology Micromegas: A Micro-Pattern Gas Chamber detector



### I. Giomataris (1992)

A thin metallic grid and an anode plane, separated by insulated pillars. They define a very little amplification gap  $(20-300 \mu m)$ .

<span id="page-3-0"></span>A support ring or frame adjust the mesh on top of the readout plane, with the help of some screws.

- **•** Good properties: High granularity, good energy and time resolution, stable, easy construction, little mass and radiopure.
- Limitations: Large scale production, dimensions and resolutions.

## [Index](#page-0-0) [Microbulk](#page-3-0) [Argon](#page-6-0) [Neon](#page-16-0) [Conclusions](#page-21-0) [Back-up](#page-22-0) Micromegas and microbulk technology The microbulk technology



#### Readout and mesh in one piece: S. Adriamonje et al., JINST 5 (2010) P02001

The pillars are constructed by chemical processing on a kapton foil, to which the mesh and the readout plane are attached.



A conventional and a microbulk Micromegas CAST detector

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

[Index](#page-0-0) [Microbulk](#page-3-0) [Argon](#page-6-0) [Neon](#page-16-0) [Conclusions](#page-21-0) [Back-up](#page-22-0) Micromegas and microbulk technology General features of microbulk detectors





## Good features

- **•** Excellent energy resolution.
- $\bullet$ Low intrinsic background.
- Better particle recognition.  $\bullet$
- Low mass and flexible structure. ٠
- **•** Stable gain during long periods.

#### Being improved

- **•** Higher electrical capacity.
- **O** Large area detectors.
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>**•** Mass production.





- **•** Setup designed to characterized a maximum of three Micromegas detectors in the same gas conditions.
- $\bullet$ A mesh frame is used as drift cathode: drift distance  $= 10$  mm.
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>**•** The top cap contains several holes, covered by an aluminized mylar film, used to calibrate the detectors.





<span id="page-7-0"></span>

- Two microbulk detectors (diameter: 35 mm, a single anode) with respectively gaps of 50 and 25  $\mu$ m have been tested in argon-based mixtures, using as a quenchers isobutane, cyclohexane and ethane. We focus on the first detector.
- Calibrated with an iron source ( $55Fe$ , x-rays of 5.9 keV).
- Electronic chain: ORTEC 142C preamplifier  $+$  ORTEC 472A amplifier  $+$ AMPTEK MCA-8000A.

## [Index](#page-0-0) [Microbulk](#page-3-0) [Argon](#page-6-0) [Neon](#page-16-0) [Conclusions](#page-21-0) [Back-up](#page-22-0) Characterization in argon-based mixtures **Motivation**

- The effect of quenchers was already studied with proportional counters in Agrawal & Ramsey, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 273 (1988) 331.
- **•** Lower gains and worse energy resolutions are expected for quenchers whose ionization threshold is more different from the 1st metastable levels of argon (11.4 eV).
- Note that the cyclohexane has a lower ionization threshold for ionization  $(9.9 \text{ eV})$  than isobutane  $(10.7 \text{ eV})$  and ethane  $(11.7 \text{ eV})$ .

<span id="page-8-0"></span>





#### Procedure

<span id="page-9-0"></span>The drift voltage is varied for a fixed mesh voltage and the peak position is normalized by the maximum value.

- For  $E_{drift}/E_{mesh}$  lower than a specific value, there is a maximum in the electron transmission ( $A=0.01$  for a 5%). For higher drift fields, the mesh stops being transparent for primary electrons.
- **•** The plateau widens with the porcentage of isobutante and seems to be correlated with the diffusion coefficients.





- **•** The energy resolution is correlated with the electron transmission. Best values at the maximum of the mesh transparency.
- At high isobutane quantities, there is a continuous degradation.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>**•** Best values respectively obtained at 5% and 7%  $iC_4H_{10}$ .







<span id="page-11-0"></span>The ratio  $E_{drift}/E_{mesh}$  is fixed so as the mesh showed the maximum electron transmission. The mesh voltage is varied and the peak position registered.

- An absolute gain greater than  $10^4$  is reached before the spark limit.
- At low quantities of isobutane, there is an over-exponential behaviour due to UV photons (P. Fonte et al., NIMA 305 (1991) 91 and I. Krajcar Bronic et al., NIMB 142 (1992) 219).





- $\bullet$  It is constant for a wide range of amplification fields.
- **•** For low fields, bad resolution due to the worse signal-noise ratio.
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>**•** For high fields, the resolution worsens due to the gain fluctuations. This effects doesn't appear for high quantities of isobutane.



The plateau of maximum transmission is wider in argon-cyclohexane mixtures than in other gases. It is similar for the other two mixtures.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>





- argon-cyclohexane before the spark limit.
- Amplification fields for 10% of quencher and a gain of  $10^4$ :  $61\,$ (cyclohexane), 65 (isobutane) and 72 kV/cm (ethane).

<span id="page-14-0"></span>





- There is a degradation at high gains due to over-exponential behaviours. It disappears for high quencher concentrations but the best value worsens.
- 12% FWHM for gains  $10^3$ - $10^4$ , independently of the quencher.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>





- Gains up to  $10^5$  are reached in neon-based mixtures (a factor 2).
- **•** The amplification field needed for a fixed gain does not increase with the quencher concentration as in argon-isobutane mixtures.
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Amplification fields for 5% of quencher and a gain of  $10^4$ : 65 (argon-isobutane) and 75 kV/cm (neon-isobutane).





- $\bullet$  The energy resolution of the 50  $\mu$ m-thickness-gap detector improves: from  $11.6\%$  FWHM in Ar+5% Iso down to  $10.5\%$  FWHM in Ne+7% Iso.
- Good values are also obtained at gains as high as  $5 \times 10^4$ .
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>**•** This effect can not be explained by the primary ionization but by the fluctuations in the avalanche.



The energy resolution of a Micromegas detector can be expressed as

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
R(\text{% FWHM}) = 2.35 \sqrt{\frac{W}{E_0} (F + b)}
$$

where  $E_0$  is an energy reference, F is the gas Fano Factor, W is the mean ion-electron energy and  $b$  is the detector contribution.

- $\bullet$  Note that  $W = 36.4$  eV for Ne and 26.3 eV for Ar and the Fano factor is 0.17 for Ne and 0.22 for Ar. Then  $W \times F$  is 6.19 for Ne and 5.79 for Ar.
- The energy resolution should be worse in neon than in argon mixtures!!





Fluctuations vs Townsend coefficient lonization yeld vs the amplification field

## H.Schindler et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 624 (2010) 78

There are less avalanche fluctuations due to a higher ionization yield, i.e., the energy acquired by the electrons of the avalanche creates more than electrons than atom excitations in neon than in argon-based mixtures.

<span id="page-19-0"></span>100





- Mesh pulses were acquired by a LeCroy WR6050 oscilloscope. The energy spectrum has been generated with the pulses's amplitude.
- **In neon-based mixtures, the neon escape peak at 910 eV has been** observed. The energy threshold is at 400 eV.
- **In argon-cyclohexane mixtures, the threshold is at 300 eV.**
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>• Next step: CAST detector (1.257 nF vs 300 pF of detectors used).



#### Summary

- Microbulk detectors have been tested in argon- and neon-based mixtures. The maximum gain was respectively  $4\times 10^4$  and  $10^5$  and the energy resolution 11.6% and 10.5% FWHM at 5.9 keV.
- Three different quenchers have been used: isobutane, cyclohexane and ethane. The first one increases the gain and the other reduces it. They have no effect in the best energy resolution value.
- The energy threshold of microbulk detectors have been studied and values as low as 300 eV have been observed.

#### **Outlook**

- Characterization of microbulk detectors with a gap of 12.5 and 25  $\mu$ m and different holes and pitch in argon-isobutane mixtures.
- **•** Study of the energy threshold of bigger detectors like CAST.
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>**•** Possible quenchers like cyclohexene and other ideas are welcomed.



# <span id="page-22-0"></span>Back-up slides.

<span id="page-23-0"></span>

[Index](#page-0-0) [Microbulk](#page-3-0) [Argon](#page-6-0) [Neon](#page-16-0) [Conclusions](#page-21-0) [Back-up](#page-22-0) Characterization in argon-based mixtures Description of the refrigerator



- The base gas is forced to pass by a glass vessel, filled with the liquid quencher like cyclohexane.
- The gas concentration is defined by the temperature of the liquid, which is fixed by the refrigerator in which the vessel is kept.
- <span id="page-24-0"></span>• The temperature can not be higher than the ambient one to avoid condensations inside the gas chamber, which may damage the microbulk detectors.





- There is no real plateau of maximum electron transmission plateau.
- **•** There is a narrow range of fields for an optimum energy resolution.
- Gains  $> 10^4$  are reached for all mixtures before the spark limit.
- 11.7% FWHM for gains  $10^3$ - $10^4$  and all quenchers.
- <span id="page-25-0"></span> $\bullet$  The optimum is at higher quencher concentrations (iso:  $7-15\%$ ).





- At low isobutane quencher concentrations, there is a plateau of maximum transparency but is reached at higher drift fields.
- At high quencher concentrations, there is an endless increase of the gain.
- <span id="page-26-0"></span>**•** Energy resolution is not more correlated with electron transmission. There is a narrow range of fields for which is the optimum.





- At low isobutane quencher concentrations, there is a plateau of maximum transparency but is reached at higher drift fields.
- At high quencher concentrations, there is an endless increase of the gain.
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>**•** Energy resolution is not more correlated with electron transmission. There is a narrow range of fields for which is the optimum.

[Index](#page-0-0) [Microbulk](#page-3-0) [Argon](#page-6-0) [Neon](#page-16-0) [Conclusions](#page-21-0) [Back-up](#page-22-0) Characterization in argon-based mixtures The gain curves for a gap of 25  $\mu$ m



- A gain of  $10^4$  is reached for all mixtures before the spark limit.
- **•** However, higher quencher concentrations are needed.
- For the same % and field, higher gain with cyclohexane than with isobutane and ethane.

<span id="page-28-0"></span>





- There is a degradation at high gains and low concentrations.
- **•** The optimum is at higher quencher concentrations (isobutane: 7-15%).
- 11.7% FWHM for gains  $10^3$ - $10^4$ , independtly of the quencher.

<span id="page-29-0"></span>



- Micromegas detectors have been tipically operated in argon-isobutane mixtures, as they are well adapted for measurements in the 1-10 keV range, providing an excellent energy resolution and gains up to  $2\times 10^4$ .
- Other gases are being studied to increase its sensitivity in the sub-keV region, which could allow its application in synchroton radiation and Dark Matter searches where the low energy threshold is crutial.
- **•** The signal to noise ratio must be increased and higher gains are needed.
- <span id="page-30-0"></span>Neon as base gas has been studied as the charge per single avalanche increases and approaches the Rather limit  $(10^8$  electrons).





- The energy resolution is worse in neon-based mixtures for a gap of 25  $\mu$ m and a high quencher concentration is required.
- $\bullet$  Best values: 12.7% (25% iso), 17% (10% cyclo), 14.8% FWHM (25% ethane).

<span id="page-31-0"></span>





- CAST experiment uses a LHC dipole magnet to detect solar axions.
- **•** Energy range of interest: 1-8 keV.
- 3 Micromegas detectors installed. Readout:  $106 \times 106$  strips, 550  $\mu$ m pitch. Gas:  $Ar + 2.3\%$  Isobutane at 1.44 bar.
- <span id="page-32-0"></span>References: J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 179 (2009) 012015 and the talks at the TIPP2011 conference: "CAST micromegas background in the LSC" and and "Background rejection of Micromegas readouts".



- A thin microbulk detector has been placed in the beam, equiped with a converter  $(^{10}B$  or  $^{235}U$ ) deposited on the drift electrode.
- Low material budget ⇒ Minimum beam perturbation and induced background.
- Wide energy range, high efficiency and accuracy.
- <span id="page-33-0"></span>Future: 2D detector microbulk for an online beam profile monitor.



<span id="page-34-0"></span>

#### Feasibility studies in NEXT project

- **Energy resolution: S. Cebrian et al., JCAP (2010) 1010:010.**
- **Gain: C. Balan et al., JINST (2011) 6 P02006.**
- Radiopurity: S. Cebrian et al. Astropart. Phys. (2011) 34 354.
- **•** Prototypes: T Dafni, talk at 5th Large TPC Conference, Paris, 2010.
- Background: F.J. Iguaz, http://zaguan.unizar.es/record/5731.





### Feasibility studies in NEXT project

- **•** Energy resolutions  $<$  3% FWHM at 2458 keV  $(Q_{\beta\beta})$  in pure xenon.
- Gains greater than  $10^2$  in pure xenon.
- **•** Low background level due to the detector.
- <span id="page-35-0"></span> $\bullet$  High background rejection power  $\Rightarrow$  Four orders of magnitude.