

$C T$

Confronting Jet Shape Measurements with Resummation Calculation

C.-P. Yuan Michigan State University & CHEP, Peking University

in collaboration with Hsiang-nan Li and Zhao Li

November 18, 2011 @ LPC/CTEQ Workshop, FNAL

LPC/CTEQ Joint Workshop: Confronting Theory with Experiment

Challenges: to describe jet energy profile and jet mass

- **Puzzles: underlying event tuning**
- **Opportunities: tool for new physics search**

arXiv:1107.4535 [hep-ph] PRL 107 (2011) 152001

Challenges Jet energy profile @ CDF

Predicted by pQCD with resummation calculation, in contrast to fitted by tuned PYTHIA, etc.

Puzzles

Underlying Event (UE) Tuning

Discriminate gluon from quark jets via energy profile Opportunities at LHC

test SM and new physics models from the composition (gluon vs quark) of observed jets. E.g., CDF "W+jj" anomaly (test sidebands of the mass bump with SM)

Jet in experimental data

Jet Finding

• Calorimeter jet (cone)

- jet is a collection of energy deposits with a given cone R: $R = \sqrt{\Delta \varphi^2 + \Delta \eta^2}$
- \bullet cone direction maximizes the total E_{τ} of the jet
- ◆ various clustering algorithms
	- \rightarrow correct for finite energy resolution
	- \rightarrow subtract underlying event
	- \rightarrow add out of cone energy

• Particle jet

 \bullet a spread of particles running roughly in the same direction as the parton after hadronization

Underlying Event (UE) Tuning

- **Underlying Event: particles not** associated with the hard scatter
	- **Beam remnants**
	- Multiple parton interactions (MPI) Initial state soft radiations
	- Tune charged particles in MC in the "transverse" region (sensitive to UE) in dijet events

Underlying Event & Hadronization Correction

Problem in Data Analysis

Effect from initial state radiation should not be subtracted.

O It is needed to construct an infrared**safe observable: Energy Profile of a jet.**

This effect is more important for low pT jets.

Various Theoretical Predictions

Various Theoretical Predictions

- **Event Generators: leading log radiations, hadronization, underlying events, etc.**
- **Fixed order QCD calculation: finite number of soft/collinear radiations**
- **Resummation: all order soft/collinear radiations**

Our resummation results

At first time, pQCD resummation **approach is established to investigate jets.**

 \cdot & Jet energy profile and mass distribution **improve NLO prediction and can describe CDF and CMS data.**

Jet Function

LO Jet:
$$
J_i^{(0)}(m_{J_i}^2, p_{0,J_i}, R) = \delta(m_{J_i}^2)
$$
.

Quark Jet:

$$
J_i^q(m_J^2, p_{0,J_i}, R) = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{2\sqrt{2}(p_{0,J_i})^2} \frac{\xi_\mu}{N_c} \sum_{N_{J_i}} Tr \left\{ \gamma^\mu \langle 0 | q(0) \Phi_{\xi}^{(\bar{q})\dagger}(\infty, 0) | N_{J_i} \rangle \right\}
$$

$$
\times \langle N_{J_i} | \Phi_{\xi}^{(\bar{q})}(\infty, 0) \bar{q}(0) | 0 \rangle \right\} \delta(m_J^2 - \tilde{m}_J^2(N_{J_i}, R))
$$

$$
\times \delta^{(2)}(\hat{n} - \tilde{n}(N_{J_i})) \delta(p_{0,J_i} - \omega(N_{J_c}))
$$

Gluon Jet:

$$
J_i^g(m_J^2, p_{0,J_i}, R) = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{2(p_{0,J_i})^3} \sum_{N_{J_i}} \langle 0 | \xi_{\sigma} F^{\sigma\nu}(0) \Phi_{\xi}^{(g)\dagger}(0, \infty) | N_{J_i} \rangle
$$

$$
\times \langle N_{J_i} | \Phi_{\xi}^{(g)}(0, \infty) F_{\nu}^{\rho}(0) \xi_{\rho} | 0 \rangle \delta(m_J^2 - \tilde{m}_J^2(N_{J_i}, R))
$$

$$
\times \delta^{(2)}(\hat{n} - \tilde{n}(N_{J_i})) \delta(p_{0,J_i} - \omega(N_{J_c}))
$$

Almeida, et. al. PRD79 (2009) 074012

Jet energy profile

$$
\Psi(r) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{N_{jet}}} \sum_{\mathrm{jets}} \frac{P_T(0, r)}{P_T(0, R)}, \quad 0 \le r \le R
$$

$$
\Psi(r, P_T) = \frac{\sum_c \int dM_J^2 \sum_{r_i < r, i \in J} P_{Ti} d\sigma_c / (dP_T dM_J^2)}{\sum_c \int dM_J^2 \sum_{r_i < R, i \in J} P_{Ti} d\sigma_c / (dP_T dM_J^2)}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum_c \int dM_J^2 \sum_{r_i < r, i \in J} P_{Ti} (d\sigma_c / dP_T) J_c (M_J^2, P_T, R)}{\sum_c \int dM_J^2 \sum_{r_i < R, i \in J} P_{Ti} (d\sigma_c / dP_T) J_c (M_J^2, P_T, R)}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum_c \int dM_J^2 (d\sigma_c / dP_T) J_c^E (M_J^2, P_T, R, r)}{\sum_c \int dM_J^2 (d\sigma_c / dP_T) J_c^E (M_J^2, P_T, R, R)}
$$

Jet energy profile JE can be obtained by inserting the needed step functions in jet function:

$$
\frac{J_q^{E(1)}(m_J^2, P_T, \nu^2, R, \mu^2) =}{2\sqrt{2}(P_J^0)^2 N_c} \sum_{\sigma,\lambda} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_p} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega_k} [p^0\Theta(R - \theta_p) + k^0\Theta(R - \theta_k)]
$$

×Tr $\{ \xi(0|q(0)W_{\xi}^{(\bar{q})\dagger}(\infty, 0)|p, \sigma; k, \lambda \rangle \langle k, \lambda; p, \sigma | W_{\xi}^{(\bar{q})}(\infty, 0)\bar{q}(0)|0 \rangle \}$
× $\delta(m_J^2 - (p + k)^2) \delta(\hat{n} - \hat{n}_{\vec{p} + \vec{k}}) \delta(P_J^0 - p^0 - k^0),$

At NLO,
$$
\overline{J_E^q} \approx \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{P_J^0 \pi} \left[-\frac{1}{4} \ln^2 \frac{R^2}{r^2} - \frac{3}{4} \ln \frac{R^2}{r^2} \right].
$$

This is an integrable which is an integrable virtual de sur meagrais en 1988.
Communication Geography The above statements apply to both the light-quark and gluon jets. besides, we shall not specify the dependence on This is the reason why the reason which is absent at LO, because \mathcal{L} virtual gluons emitted into the special vertex are factorized into the same hard function \mathcal{S} into the same hard function \mathcal{S} **which is an integrable**

d³k

" d³p

^J , P^T , ^ν², R, µ²) = (2π)³

Convolute with di-jet hard scattering (integrate out jet mass)

Dependence on pT@ Tevatron

Dependence on pT@ Tevatron

(r) \maltese

Opportunities with this new pQCD calculations

Can discriminate gluon from quark jets using energy profile, as a function of jet pT (either at Tevatron or LHC).

Can test SM and new physics models (for producing certain composition of extra quark and gluon jets) from the composition (gluon vs quark) of the observed jets.

Can further analyze CDF "W+jj" anomaly events by testing the composition of jets in side-bands of the mass bump with SM predictions.

Jet energy profile @ CMS

Predicted by perturbative resummation calculation (No non-perturbative contribution is needed.)

Dependence on pT@ LHC

Summary & Prospect

- **Studying jet substructure** is useful for testing Standard Model and identifying New Physics.
- Fixed-order calculations in jet mass distribution and jet energy profile contain large logs, making predictions unreliable in
- small jet mass or small r region.
- **Q QCD resummation provides reliable prediction and making** independent check to full event generators.
- **C**Resummation predictions for jet energy profile agree with CDF and CMS data.
- Resummed jet mass distribution including non-perturbative contribution agrees with PYTHIA8 for different jet pT and R, and Tevatron CDF data.
- Our formalism can be extended for heavy quark jet, e.g., a boosted top quark jet. (in progress)
- Same formalism can be used in jet study at HERA and RHIC.

Backup slides

Jet mass distribution

Resummation for Jet Mass distribution

In fixed order calculations, there are large logarithmic terms of the ratio of pT to mass (MJ) of the jet (with radius R),

which can be resummed by applying renormalization group (RG) technique.

Mellin Transform $M_J \leftarrow N$

RG evolution resum large log ln(*N*)

The pQCD resummation formalism does not include all the non-perturbative effects originated from underlying event and haronization .

> **Hence, non-perturbative contribution needs to be introduced**

$$
S^{NP}(N) = \frac{N^2 Q_0^2}{R^2 P_T^2} (C_c \alpha_0 \ln N + \alpha_1) + C_c \alpha_2 \frac{N Q_0}{R P_T}
$$

Non-perturbative parameters are universal, and get estimated for pT=600GeV with R=0.7.

Predicting jet mass distribution at Tevatron adn LHC

 Determine universal NP parameters for jet with pT=600GeV and R=0.7, produced at Tevatron.

 Using the same parameters to predict jet mass distribution for any value of pT, R, and collider energy, e.g., to compare with CDF data for pT>400GeV with R=0.4 & 0.7, or to compare with LHC jet data.

Compare with CDF data

 $P_T^{jet} > 400 GeV$

Resummation dramatically improve prediction in small to medium jet mass range, compared to NLO.

Compare with CDF data

Resummation dramatically improve prediction in small to medium jet mass range, compared to NLO.