



NLO/NNLO wishlist/ usage

J. Huston
Michigan State University



Start with the wishlist



- Began in 2005, added to in 2007 and 2009 (2011?)
 - ◆ only process 12 left among NLO
- Are there other motivated needs for NLO multi-parton final states?
 - ◆ from dedicated calculation or automatic calculation?
 - ▲ any reason to push beyond V +4 jets, for example?
 - ◆ one thing we promised to do at Les Houches is provide a table of the needed accuracy for each final state
 - ▲ i.e. what sorts of accuracy can we achieve experimentally?
 - ▲ are EW corrections necessary as well?
- In general, I think we have neglected the last point at the LHC
 - ◆ EW corrections for jet production ($\ln[p_T^2/m_W^2]$) possibly important

Process ($V \in \{Z, W, \gamma\}$)	Comments
Calculations completed since Les Houches 2005	
1. $pp \rightarrow VV$ jet	WW jet completed by Dittmaier/Kallweit/Uwer [4, 5]; Campbell/Ellis/Zanderighi [6]. ZZ jet completed by Binoth/Gleisberg/Karg/Kauer/Sanguinetti [7]
2. $pp \rightarrow \text{Higgs}+2\text{jets}$	NLO QCD to the gg channel completed by Campbell/Ellis/Zanderighi [8]; NLO QCD+EW to the VBF channel completed by Ciccolini/Denner/Dittmaier [9, 10]
3. $pp \rightarrow VVV$	ZZZ completed by Lazopoulos/Melnikov/Petriello [11] and WWZ by Hankele/Zeppenfeld [12] (see also Binoth/Ossola/Papadopoulos/Pittau [13])
4. $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$	relevant for $t\bar{t}H$ computed by Bredenstein/Denner/Dittmaier/Pozzorini [14, 15] and Bevilacqua/Czakon/Papadopoulos/Pittau/Worek [16]
5. $pp \rightarrow V+3\text{jets}$	calculated by the Blackhat/Sherpa [17] and Rocket [18] collaborations
Calculations remaining from Les Houches 2005	
6. $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}+2\text{jets}$	relevant for $t\bar{t}H$ computed by Bevilacqua/Czakon/Papadopoulos/Worek [19]
7. $pp \rightarrow VV b\bar{b}$,	relevant for VBF $\rightarrow H \rightarrow VV$, $t\bar{t}H$
8. $pp \rightarrow VV+2\text{jets}$	relevant for VBF $\rightarrow H \rightarrow VV$ VBF contributions calculated by (Bozzi/Jäger/Oleari/Zeppenfeld [20–22])
NLO calculations added to list in 2007	
9. $pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$	$q\bar{q}$ channel calculated by Golem collaboration [23]
NLO calculations added to list in 2009	
10. $pp \rightarrow V+4\text{ jets}$	top pair production, various new physics signatures
11. $pp \rightarrow Wbbj$	top, new physics signatures
12. $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$	various new physics signatures
Calculations beyond NLO added in 2007	
13. $gg \rightarrow W^*W^* \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2\alpha_s^3)$	backgrounds to Higgs
14. NNLO $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$	normalization of a benchmark process
15. NNLO to VBF and Z/γ +jet	Higgs couplings and SM benchmark
Calculations including electroweak effects	
16. NNLO QCD+NLO EW for W/Z	precision calculation of a SM benchmark



Start with the wishlist



- Should we move on to expanding the NNLO list?
 - ◆ only a few processes known at NNLO
 - ▲ Drell-Yan, Higgs production, $\gamma\gamma$
 - ◆ People are close to finishing
 - ▲ inclusive jet production
 - ▲ $t\bar{t}$ production
 - ◆ People are working on
 - ▲ $gg \rightarrow WW$ (strong experimental desire for this)
 - ▲ VV
 - ▲ $V+1$ jet production
 - ▲ Higgs+1 jet production
 - ▲ any others?
- Again, EW effects may be competitive

Process ($V \in \{Z, W, \gamma\}$)	Comments
Calculations completed since Les Houches 2005	
1. $pp \rightarrow VV$ jet	WW jet completed by Dittmaier/Kallweit/Uwer [4, 5]; Campbell/Ellis/Zanderighi [6]. ZZ jet completed by Binoth/Gleisberg/Karg/Kauer/Sanguinetti [7]
2. $pp \rightarrow \text{Higgs}+2\text{jets}$	NLO QCD to the gg channel completed by Campbell/Ellis/Zanderighi [8]; NLO QCD+EW to the VBF channel completed by Ciccolini/Denner/Dittmaier [9, 10]
3. $pp \rightarrow VVV$	ZZZ completed by Lazopoulos/Melnikov/Petriello [11] and WWZ by Hankele/Zeppenfeld [12] (see also Binoth/Ossola/Papadopoulos/Pittau [13])
4. $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}\bar{b}\bar{b}$	relevant for $t\bar{t}H$ computed by Bredenstein/Denner/Dittmaier/Pozzorini [14, 15] and Bevilacqua/Czakon/Papadopoulos/Pittau/Worek [16]
5. $pp \rightarrow V+3\text{jets}$	calculated by the Blackhat/Sherpa [17] and Rocket [18] collaborations
Calculations remaining from Les Houches 2005	
6. $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}+2\text{jets}$	relevant for $t\bar{t}H$ computed by Bevilacqua/Czakon/Papadopoulos/Worek [19]
7. $pp \rightarrow VV\bar{b}\bar{b}$, 8. $pp \rightarrow VV+2\text{jets}$	relevant for VBF $\rightarrow H \rightarrow VV$, $t\bar{t}H$ relevant for VBF $\rightarrow H \rightarrow VV$ VBF contributions calculated by (Bozzi/Jäger/Oleari/Zeppenfeld [20–22])
NLO calculations added to list in 2007	
9. $pp \rightarrow \bar{b}\bar{b}\bar{b}\bar{b}$	$q\bar{q}$ channel calculated by Golem collaboration [23]
NLO calculations added to list in 2009	
10. $pp \rightarrow V+4$ jets 11. $pp \rightarrow Wbbj$ 12. $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}\bar{t}\bar{t}$	top pair production, various new physics signatures top, new physics signatures various new physics signatures
Calculations beyond NLO added in 2007	
13. $gg \rightarrow W^*W^* \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2\alpha_s^3)$ 14. NNLO $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ 15. NNLO to VBF and Z/γ +jet	backgrounds to Higgs normalization of a benchmark process Higgs couplings and SM benchmark
Calculations including electroweak effects	
16. NNLO QCD+NLO EW for W/Z	precision calculation of a SM benchmark



Start with the wishlist



- There's also the issue of how experimentalists can use these calculations
 - ◆ aMC@NLO: recently, $W+2$ jets was added to the program; but what is the learning curve to get to say $W + 3,4$ jets at NLO, or other cross sections not even currently known at NLO
 - ◆ are ntuples still useful for more immediate use?

Process ($V \in \{Z, W, \gamma\}$)	Comments
Calculations completed since Les Houches 2005	
1. $pp \rightarrow VV$ jet	WW jet completed by Dittmaier/Kallweit/Uwer [4, 5]; Campbell/Ellis/Zanderighi [6]. ZZ jet completed by Binoth/Gleisberg/Karg/Kauer/Sanguinetti [7]
2. $pp \rightarrow \text{Higgs}+2\text{jets}$	NLO QCD to the gg channel completed by Campbell/Ellis/Zanderighi [8]; NLO QCD+EW to the VBF channel completed by Ciccolini/Denner/Dittmaier [9, 10]
3. $pp \rightarrow VVV$	ZZZ completed by Lazopoulos/Melnikov/Petriello [11] and WWZ by Hankele/Zeppenfeld [12] (see also Binoth/Ossola/Papadopoulos/Pittau [13])
4. $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$	relevant for $t\bar{t}H$ computed by Bredenstein/Denner/Dittmaier/Pozzorini [14, 15] and Bevilacqua/Czakon/Papadopoulos/Pittau/Worek [16]
5. $pp \rightarrow V+3\text{jets}$	calculated by the Blackhat/Sherpa [17] and Rocket [18] collaborations
Calculations remaining from Les Houches 2005	
6. $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}+2\text{jets}$	relevant for $t\bar{t}H$ computed by Bevilacqua/Czakon/Papadopoulos/Worek [19]
7. $pp \rightarrow VV b\bar{b}$,	relevant for VBF $\rightarrow H \rightarrow VV$, $t\bar{t}H$
8. $pp \rightarrow VV+2\text{jets}$	relevant for VBF $\rightarrow H \rightarrow VV$ VBF contributions calculated by (Bozzi/Jäger/Oleari/Zeppenfeld [20–22])
NLO calculations added to list in 2007	
9. $pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$	$q\bar{q}$ channel calculated by Golem collaboration [23]
NLO calculations added to list in 2009	
10. $pp \rightarrow V+4$ jets	top pair production, various new physics signatures
11. $pp \rightarrow Wbbj$	top, new physics signatures
12. $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$	various new physics signatures
Calculations beyond NLO added in 2007	
13. $gg \rightarrow W^*W^* \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2\alpha_s^3)$	backgrounds to Higgs
14. NNLO $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$	normalization of a benchmark process
15. NNLO to VBF and Z/γ +jet	Higgs couplings and SM benchmark
Calculations including electroweak effects	
16. NNLO QCD+NLO EW for W/Z	precision calculation of a SM benchmark



Calculations



- Once we have the calculations, how do we (experimentalists) use them?
- If a theoretical calculation is done, but it can not be used by any experimentalists, does it make a sound?
- We need public programs and/or public ntuples
- ...as for example pioneered by MCFM





Example: Blackhat+Sherpa ntuples



NLO with BlackHat+Sherpa

NLO cross section

$$\sigma_n^{NLO} = \int_n \overset{\text{Born}}{\sigma_n^{tree}} + \int_n \overset{\text{loop: lc and fmlc}}{(\sigma_n^{virt} + \sum_n^{sub} \underset{\text{vsub}}{\sigma_n^{sub}})} + \int_{n+1} \overset{\text{real}}{(\sigma_{n+1}^{real} - \sigma_{n+1}^{sub})}$$



BlackHat

so this is not Sherpa the parton shower, but Sherpa used as a (very efficient) fixed order matrix element generator



Sherpa



ROOT ntuples



- More complex to use than MCFM
 - ◆ no manual for example
 - ◆ and you don't produce the events yourself
 - ◆ my student Brian Martin and I are the beta users
- ntuples produced separately by \longrightarrow Blackhat + Sherpa for
- No jet clustering has been performed; that's up to the user
 - ◆ a difference from MCFM, where the program has to be re-run for each jet size/algorithm
- What algorithms/jet sizes that can be run depends on how the files were generated
 - ◆ i.e. whether the right counter-events are present
- For the files on the right at 7 TeV (for $W^+ + 3$ jets), one can use kT, antikT, siscone (f=0.75) for jet sizes of 0.4, 0.5, 0.6 and 0.7
- bornLO (stands alone for pure LO comparisons; not to be added with other contributions below)
 - 20 files, 5M events/file, 780 MB/file
- Born
 - 18 files, 5M events/file, 750 MB/file
- loop-lc (leading color loop corrections)
 - 398 files, 100K events/file, 19 MB/file
- loop-fmlc (needed for full color loop corrections)
 - 399 files, 15K events/file, 3 MB/file
- real (real emission terms)
 - 169 files, 2.5 M event/file, 5 GB/file
- vsub (subtraction terms)
 - 18 files, 10M events/file, 2.8 GB/file



Predictions



- From Blackhat+Sherpa, we have ntuples (in same format) for $W + 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ jets
- Makes it easy to make plots for different jet multiplicities and/or combined jet multiplicities
 - ◆ including PDF uncertainties
 - ◆ including scale uncertainties
 - ◆ examining dependence on jet size/algorithm
- How can we make best use of the NLO matrix element information for these n-jet final states?
 - ◆ something like CKKW addition possible at NLO?



Choosing jet size



- Experimentally

- ◆ in complex final states, such as $W + n$ jets, it is useful to have jet sizes smaller so as to be able to resolve the n jet structure
- ◆ this can also reduce the impact of pileup/underlying event

- Theoretically

- ◆ hadronization effects become larger as R decreases
- ◆ for small R , the $\ln R$ perturbative terms referred to previously can become noticeable
- ◆ this restriction in the gluon phase space can affect the scale dependence, i.e. the scale uncertainty for an n -jet final state can depend on the jet size,

We can now easily change jet algorithms/sizes in the theory? Are we willing to do this in the data? If new physics is present at 7 TeV, it's pretty subtle. Should we develop a better perturbative understanding by varying the jet size/algorithm/etc?



...and now



- Discussion