

LHC Injectors Upgrade





LHC Injectors Upgrade

PS Upgrade

Project web page https://espace.cern.ch/liu-project/liu-ps/default.aspx

thanks to everyone for the contributions to this presentation

Simone Gilardoni for the PS-LIU Team LIU-2011 November 25, 2011 CERN



Reminder: Time structure of LHC beam

From LHC Design Report – Vol.3



Figure 2.1: Proton bunches in the PS, SPS and one LHC ring. Note the partial filling of the SPS (3/11 or 4/11) and the voids due to kicker rise-time. One LHC ring is filled in ~3 min.

Generation of 25 ns beam in the PS

Double batch injection from PSB (4+2 bunches, 6 bunches for PS at h=7) Transverse emittance produced in the PSB, longitudinal in the PS



RF gymnastics in PS:

- Triple splitting
- Acceleration
- Double splitting
- Double splitting
- Bunch rotation

With 5 different RF systems



See S. Hancock's presentation

PS Upgrade

U PS Upgrade UU Project

1. Conserve the transverse emittances produced in the PSB:

- Causes of blow-up:
 - Laslett tune shift due to space charge: < |0.3|
 - \rightarrow Blow-up of first batch waiting for the second batch injection

 \rightarrow Can be beaten by increasing the injection energy \rightarrow 2 GeV

(Chamonix 2010 proposal from M. Giovannozzi,

reason of the previous PSB extraction energy upgrade from 1 to 1.4 GeV)

- Injection mis-steering/oscillations → *good transverse damper needed*
- Other effects: head-tail instability at injection energy (→ *good transverse damper needed*), TMCI at transition crossing, electron cloud at extraction.

2. Define the longitudinal structure of the beam

- 25-50-75-150 ns bunch spacings are defined by RF gymnastics in the PS.
- Longitudinal beam quality can be spoiled mainly by coupled-bunch instabilities (ε₁) and transient beam loading (bunch-to-bunch equalization).



 2.5×10^{-7}

 $3. \times 10^{-7}$

3.5×10⁻⁷ 4.×10⁻⁷

Time[s]

 4.5×10^{-1}

 $5. \times 10^{-5}$



2 GeV injection needed to reduce space-charge-induced transverse emittance blow-up experienced by the first batch on the flat bottom (N.B.: fourth injection energy increase since PS construction 50 MeV - 800 MeV - 1 GeV - 1.4 GeV)

2 GeV injection requires:

- New injection elements and power converters: septum, kicker, injection bumpers Studies starting in 2012 for installation during LS2

 New magnets and power converters for orbit correctors and lattice quadrupoles used at low energy
 Studies started together with MDs to define the specifications

See J. Borburgh's presentation

N.B.: POPS operational, no new MPS required for upgrade.



Large to be but a

N.B.: The PS "official" birthday \

Magnet	Horizontal correctors	Vertical correctors	Quadrupoles	Skew quadr. (I)	Skew quadr. (II)
Converter	50 linear	20 switching	40 linear	20 linear	20 switching
Built	1974	1999	1975	1969	1999
MTBF	9.8 y	5.6 y	16 y	33 y	







d

Multi pole magnet example from preliminary Elena studies

Brief excursus: PS main magnets

PS: a) first strong focusing machine ever built; b) combined function magnets Working point control done with extra windings that generate up to b5



In the 5-Current Mode WP control there are :

- 4 machine physical parameters to control
- 5 free currents of the extra coils mounted on the poles of the main magnets (4 PFW + F8L)

The 5th machine parameter could be:

- Non-linear chromaticity (MTE)
- minimisation of the RMS F8L current

In normal operations, the working point is programmed by relative variations. Everything is programmed via matrices because the relationship between absolute value of the working point and currents in the extra coils is not known ...yet.

	ΔI FN	ΔI FW	ΔI DN	ΔI DW	ΔI 8L
ΔQh	$f_{\Delta Qh}^{FN}\left(E ight)$	$f_{\Delta Qh}^{FW}\left(E ight)$	$f_{\Delta Qh}^{DN}\left(E ight)$	$f_{\Delta Qh}^{DW}\left(E\right)$	$f_{\Delta Qh}^{8L}\left(E\right)$
ΔQv	$f_{\Delta Qv}^{FN}\left(E ight)$	$f_{\Delta Qv}^{FW}\left(E\right)$	$f_{\Delta Qv}^{DN}\left(E\right)$	$f_{\Delta Qv}^{DW}\left(E\right)$	$f_{\Delta Qv}^{8L}\left(E\right)$
ΔXih	$f_{\Delta Xih}^{FN}\left(E ight)$	$f_{\Delta Xih}^{FW}\left(E\right)$	$f_{\Delta Xih}^{DN}\left(E\right)$	$f_{\Delta Xih}^{DW}\left(E\right)$	$f_{\Delta Xih}^{8L}\left(E\right)$
ΔXiv	$f_{\Delta Xiv}^{FN}\left(E ight)$	$f_{\Delta Xiv}^{FW}\left(E ight)$	$f_{\Delta Xiv}^{DN}\left(E ight)$	$f_{\Delta Xiv}^{DW}\left(E\right)$	$f^{8L}_{\Delta Xiv}\left(E\right)$

9/20

PS Upgrade Upgrade

For the second time in PS history (see late '90), study to correct injection chromaticities (linear) and study control of non-linear working point

- Use of the "nearly-newly available" Pole-Face-Windings
- Experimental studies of non-linearities at ⁰⁴ injection to optimise chromaticity control and dynamical aperture





PS Upgrade PS Upgrade

- Study of space charge limits at injection combining experimental measurements and simulations to determine maximum Laslett acceptable
- Measurement of tune diagrams at 1.4 GeV and 2 GeV using tune scans (*first in the (long...) PS history*) also with the goal to optimization of working point



11/20

U PS Upgrade

See S. Hancock's presentation

2011 studies concentrated on:

- Maximize beam performances for LHC operation
- Triple splitting and new RF manipulations tested at 2 GeV
- First attempts to define current limitation and how to combat them via dedicated feedbacks to assure high beam quality:
 - Transient beam loading causes relative intensity errors of up to 20% (± 10%) per splitting
 - Pattern well understood from RF manipulations.
 - Distributed problem since all the RF systems are used for splittings
 - Bunch length and longitudinal emittance also affected with consequences for SPS.
 - Coupled bunch instability observed during acceleration and at flat top, longitudinal emittance blow-up (MDs in 2011)



RF systems

PS Upgrade

Five different RF systems all involved in the LHC-beam long. gymnastics







See C. Rossi's presentation

Electron cloud in the PS

Electron cloud was observed but not clear yet if any deleterious effect on the beam. Might become more critical with higher brilliance.



New studies in 2011 since direct impact on time available for last RF manipulation Transverse instabilities at flat top observed in 2001, 2004 and again 2006.

Probably related to ecloud: why mainly horizontal and why not cured by chromaticity?

If solution like coating needed \rightarrow MU removal \rightarrow staging the intervention or LS2 $^{14/20}$

Beam instrumentation

The upgrade aims to smaller emittance to get larger brilliance

- \rightarrow the injectors should be able to precisely measure them
- \rightarrow only 5% emittance blow up as permitted budget
- \rightarrow absolute and relative precision will be fundamental

Ongoing revision of emittance measurement devices:

- BWS: precision for small emittance beams much improved this year mm
- BWS: cannot measure emittance bunch-by-bunch (1 LHC batch is 72 bunches with 25 ns) and *not in a continuous way along the magnetic cycle*

Improve intensity measurement to better evaluate losses

 \rightarrow only 5% losses as permitted budget

Need instrumentation to observe ghost bunches (< 1% of nominal int.)

 \rightarrow ghosts can be produced on purpose or not (S. Hancock's presentation)

-10

n

10

PS Upgrade Upgrade

- **On Road Goward**: project prepared as recommended by the PS Radiation WG, presented and approved by the IEFC.
- Above Septum 16: start of Civil Engineering study.





Must not be forgotten...

Vacuum System: if electron cloud would become an issue, than we risk to need important intervention as in the SPS. Many intervention during LS2 for installation of new elements.

Controls: PS is profiting of recent renovation of the control system (INCA for example) but new elements will be installed and new systems will be implements (RF digital beam control for example).

Electrical Systems: PS will profit of the consolidation of the electrical network.

Cooling and Ventilation: renovation of various systems, like the ventilation stations, will be fundamental to assure a safe and reliable operation.

Transport: a large number of elements will be installed/removed in a very short amount of time, in particular during LS2.

Survey: realignment of the machine and TL will be done during next shutdowns, starting from LS1. Important to preserve beam quality and minimize losses

Commissioning and Operation: collaboration during the MDs and final commission are two key points of the upgrade.



Study of alternative scheme for LHC beam production (not merely trying to upgrade the existing one), one example is Batch Compression in the PS to increase brightness after acceleration to a suitable energy, but other were/will be analyzed (triple batch inj., small emittance-half intensity, etc...)

Batch compression:

Abandon factor 7 in PS harmonics to (i) use all four PSB rings (with single batch transfer) and (ii) use batch compression to increase brightness Fill as much as possible of the PS circumference at injection

Batch compression after first acceleration to an appropriate energy to avoid space-charge

Reduced number of bunches per PS cycle \rightarrow Higher intensity per bunch and, thus, brightness

Difficult operation due to many RF harmonics changes







- Continue with Machine and Theoretical studies to improve our understanding of the performances limits
- Design/built new elements, knowing that even if almost all the installation will happen during LS2, this must start basically now
- Stage whenever possible the interventions according to available resources and priorities (LS1 work will be mainly civil engineering)
- Collaborate with the consolidation program to renovate at maximum the first proton synchrotron ever built to remain the beating hear of the CERN injectors ...

N.B.: PS upgrade studies started only about 1 year ago. Thanks to everyone for the impressive amount of work/studies in such a short time.



LHC Injectors Upgrade

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!



LHC beams: injector performances



The LHC50 (ns) DB cycle in the PS



 \rightarrow Each bunch from the Booster divided by $6 \rightarrow 6 \times 3 \times 2 = 36$

Beam quality (assuming perfect SPS)



Nominal conditions @ PS extraction:

→

- +/- 10% intensity spread along the batch
- → average bunch length about 4 ns within 0.35 eVs, 1.3E11 ppb within 3 μ mrad (1 σ norm)
- ➔ first bunch always different as first third of batch since affected by transient beam loading
- → satellite bunches ~1% (cannot measure less in the PS), observed to be less than 1% in LHC

590	Due to slow drift or wrong adjustment (solvable)	Due to beam intensity (difficult to avoid)		
r spr	Relative phase settings between RF harmonics	Shot-to-shot variations in PSB, also ring-to-ring		
ces o	Badly adjusted beam transfer PS-SPS	Transient beam loading $ ightarrow$ rel. RF phase diff. along batch		
Sour	Big intensity differences of PSB rings or number of rings	Coupled-bunch instabilities		



- **Preventive maintenance and monitoring of Main Magnets:**
 - Anticipate degradation of the magnets in order to repair / repla \geq and avoid down time
- Yearly monitoring activities:
 - HV tests on the Main Coils, the PFWs, The F8Ws; •
 - Audio visual patrol •
 - Inspection of the loose laminations •
 - Measurement of the crimping resistance in the old PFWs •
 - Internal resistance of the F8W.
- Everything $OK \rightarrow No MU$ renovation foreseen





- The Pole Face Windings
 - Procurement of 30 sets of spare PFW launched in 2010 for long term operation of the PS
- Diagnostic campaign for detection of the



EXAMPLE Performed during the next shut down.





Actual Status of the Main Bus Bars:

- The design of new PS bus Bars started in 2010 is driven by studies and extensive testing (more than 55 samples)
- Use of cylindrical aluminium conductor with pre-impregnated resin rich tape with Mica flakes as an dielectric insulation not sensitive to the ionizing radiations.
- Manufacturing of eight spare Bus bar set (one of each type) to cover the full machine.

Diagnostic of the accelerator Bus Bar will be started next shut down in order to evaluate their status and to decide if all the Bus Bars must be replaced.



