



# Proposals for Site Monitoring Solutions

Elisa Lanciotti (CERN IT-ES)



EGI-InSPIRE RI-261323

www.egi.eu



#### Overview

- Define the **objectives** of a monitoring tool for sites:
  - VOs have specific monitoring systems (Dashboard, Dirac, Monalisa..) with very detailed information, designed to be used by the experiment's shifter, production manager, etc..
  - But for a person external to the VO, not easy to use and find the relevant information => a site monitoring tool is needed, designed with the site admin as target
- Show some possible solutions
  - Two proposals of possible tools that Dashboard could provide



#### Objectives

- Provide a view of the tests that the VO considers critical for the site usability
- Provide a summarized view of the VO's activities at the site, and their status, as it is evaluated by the VO, and allow fast and efficient detection of problems
- For every activity and VO provide links to the source of information (VO specific monitoring system), so the problem can be investigated further in an efficient way
- Assume that the user is a person external to the VO ( -> no VO specific terminology)
- Make the information available in only one tool ( -> no need to open one window for each VO)
- Do not duplicate information: it should just aggregate in one entry point the information available in already existing monitoring systems



#### **Possible solutions**

- Once agreed on the objectives and functionality, we should try and find the best way for the visualization
- Dashboard could provide two different solutions, to be evaluated which one is the most appropriate:
  - Siteview: a tool for aggregating the information from VO specific monitoring systems and display a summary in one view. Developed and put in production in 2009. Still in production, but would need to be validated (some information missing, or not correct..)
  - Site Status Board (SSB): used by ATLAS and CMS for monitoring the status of sites and the ongoing activities. Could implement a new view to display the status of all sites for all VOs, and serve as entry point to the VO specific monitoring systems





Simply collects the information from VO specific tools and publish some homogeneous metrics One interface, providing links to further debug problem





### Siteview main map

Siteview GridMap Test Page



 One view for each site

 Inside the main GridMap,

the hierarchy is:

- first level: Activity
- second level: VO

The main map only shows the main activities and uses a **common metrics,** for all the supported VOs

The **status** (=COLOR) should be provided by the VO. Usually it is computed on the basis of the success rate The **size** of the rectangle is proportional to the number of running jobs. A constant offset is added, in order to have all the supported VOs visible, even if they are running few (or no) jobs.



#### The site status

- The site status is the site usability as stated by the VO (see Andrea's talk about the definition of usability)
- Should provide a link to the VO monitoring tool used by the experiment's shifter: this guarantees it is the same information seen by the VO

#### Siteview GridMap



Put the mouse over any column header to get the description of the column Clicking on a column header will display the evolution of that column over the last 24 hours

: information is more than 24h old

Site Name	Visible	lob.Robot	SAM TESTS		Broduction	Anahusia	Site u	isage	Phedex		(	Maintenance	Sauanash	Linder investigat
Sile Name	VISIDIC		<u>CE</u>	<u>SRM</u>	Froduction	Analysis	Running	Pending	<u># Links</u>	In rate	Out rate	(expand this column)	Gavannan	Onder investigati
T3 UK London QMUL	<u>OK</u>	n/a	<u>OK</u>	error	100%(1367)	100%(478)	<u>890</u>	<u>302</u>	<u>3/9</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>14</u>	GOCDB	n/a	<u>m</u>



#### Ex. at NIKHEF status degraded for job processing



EXC (58)

2

Same data than in Dashboard but summarized in one view

#### The second level maps

Clicking on the main map, a sub map is displayed showing the sub activities

#### ink to User Guide

		gene	ral						
general/ATLAS		general,	CMS		94	general/LHCb			
		ioh proc	essino	r					
	job_prores	ssing/CMS							
	2	job processing/	CMS						
job_processing/ATLAS		Name mc_production user analysis	Size 136 81	Status good good	Date 2009-09-18 12:13 2009-09-18 12:13	cessing/LHCb			
			SIET 1	n					
ata_tran	mc_production	user_analysis	nsfer_1	n/CMS					
			fer o	ut					
da	fer_out/CMS								
Tier 0 1 2 3	Site IN2F	°3-CC	~			© CERN openiab			

- i.e. for CMS for job processing → MC production and user analysis.
- And for data transfer → transfers from tier1 to tier1, from tier1 to tier2, etc..

The context help shows the metrics for every sub activity and the links to the source of the information

job_processing										
ob_proc	data transfer ou	t/CMS N	1							
job_1		5	data transfer out/CM	15						
ob_proc			Name	Size	Status	Date				
		data transfer	data_transfer_t1_t0	1	good	2009-09-18				
			data_transfer_t1_t1	172	good	2009-09-18 09:32				
data_tra	data_transfer_t1_t1		data_transfer_t1_t2	45	good	2009-09-18 09:32				
		data_transfer	data_transfer_t1_t3	20	good	2009-09-18 09:32				
data_transfer_out/ATL		data_transfer	data_transfer_out/C	:MS						
Tier 0 1	2 3 Site F	RAL-LCG2	~							



# Site Status Board as a solution for providing a VO view for the site

- Site Status Board (SSB) is a Dashboard monitoring system used by ATLAS and CMS to monitor their activities across all sites: one view allows the VO to monitor many sites
- SSB is highly flexible and can provide customized views
- A new view could be created to display the status of all sites for all VOs, and then provide links to the VO specific monitoring systems



#### Example of SSB for CMS

- One view per VO, where all sites are displayed
- More columns can be added showing activities/tests results
- Columns can be clicked on and provide links to the source of information

Help Login	Help Login Site Status for the CMS sites, v0.14.0_rc5 者														
Show 200 v entries Print view: delaut v															
Site Name 🗘	Visible 💠	JobRobot 🗘	SAM CE ≎ S	TESTS SRM ≎	Production 💠	Analysis ≎	Site u Running 🗘	Pending ≎	Commissioned Links (expand this column)	Under investigation $\diamond$	Sitelssues ≎	In_rate_phedex 👻	Out_rate_phedex ≎	Savannah CMS ≎	TopologyMaintenances 🗘
T1_CH_CERN	n/a	1004(400)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2/5 combined	mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1 SPLIV2 . 6 CPEAM-CE . 4
T3_US_Vanderbilt_EC2	error	n/a	error	error	n/a	n/a	n/a	10	n/a	mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a 🗧
T1_UK_RAL_Disk	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3/5 combined	mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T2_CH_CAF	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6 CREAM-CE . 4 CE .
T2_CH_CERN	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2/5 combined	mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1 SRMv2 - 6 CREAM-CE - 4 - CE -
T2_GR_loannina	ОК	n/a	ок	ОК	n/a	99%(2221)	116	838	2/5 combined	mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1 SRMv2 . 1 CREAM-CE .
T2_PL_Cracow	ок	n/a	error	error	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2/5 combined	mark	info	n/a	n/a	n/a	1 SRMv2 - 2 CREAM-CE - 2 CE -
T2_RU_RRC_KI	ок	n/a	error	ок	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2/5 combined	wrong	info	n/a	n/a	1 tickets	OUTAGE UNSCHEDULED 1/1 CREAM-CE down, 0/1 CE down
T3_BY_NCPHEP	ОК	n/a	error	error	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1 SRM/2 . 1 CREAM-CE .
T3_CN_PKU	error	n/a	error	error	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	mark	info	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T3_DE_Karlsruhe	error	n/a	error	error	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	mark	info	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T3_GR_Demokritos	error	n/a	error	error	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	mark	info	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T3_GR_IASA	ОК	n/a	error	error	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	mark	info	n/a	n/a	n/a	2 SHMV2 .1 CREAM-CE . 2 CE .
T3_GR_loannina	n/a	n/a	ОК	ОК	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	mark	info	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T3_IT_Bologna	ОК	n/a	OK	ОК	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1 SRM/2 . 1 CREAM-CE .
T3_IT_Firenze	error	n/a	error	error	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	mark	info	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
T3_IT_Napoli	ОК	n/a	error	error	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	mark	info	n/a	n/a	n/a	1 SRMW. 1 CE.
T3_IT_Padova	ОК	n/a	error	error	100%(33)	100%(247)	85	178	n/a	mark	info	n/a	n/a	n/a	3 CREAM-CE . 1 CE .
Showing 1 to 118 c	of 118 entri	ies DB query	r took 0.0511 :	s									First Previous	1 Next La	st 🔼 📰 🖉 🙁



# One matrix with all WLCG

12

- SSB could provide one overall view for all WLCG sites
- Correlations can be easily spotted and help to distinguish whether it's a site issue or a problem of the VO (one site red for all VOs is probably having problems. But one site red only for 1 VO, which has all sites red, probably points to a problem of the VO (e.g. proxy for pilot jobs expired etc...))
- Cells are click-able and are linked to VO specific tool for site monitoring. e.g.





#### Useful plots provided by SSB

- The historical view of site availability
- The ranking of sites

SAM-Site Availability	4	SAM-Site Availability Ranking	<b>4</b>
Show Results			
	T1_TW_ASGC	Site	e Availability, last 31 days
		T2_PT_LIP_Lisbon	
	Site Availability	T2_RU_PNPI	
	24 Hours from 2011-12-09 17:00 to 2011-12-10 17:00 UTC	T2_IT_Legnaro	
T0_CH_CERN		T2_US_Florida	
		T2_ES_CIEMAT	
T1 FR CCIN2P3		T2_UK_London_Brunel	
T1_IT_CNAF		T2_TW_Taiwan	
T1_TW_ASGC		T2_BR_SPRACE	
T1_UK_RAL		T2_CN_Beijing	
T2 AT Vienna		T1_DE_KIT T2_IT_Bari	
T2_BE_IIHE		T2_US_Wisconsin	
T2_BE_UCL		T1_UK_RAL T2_EB_GBIE_IBEU	
T2_BR_SPRACE		T2_UK_London_IC	
T2_BR_UERJ		T2_UK_SGrid_Bristol	
T2 CN Beijing		T2_US_UCSD	
T2_DE_DESY		T2_PK_NCP	
T2_DE_RWTH		T2_FR_IPHC	
T2_EE_Estonia		T2_ES_IFCA	
T2_ES_CIEMAI		T2_IT_Pisa	
T2 FI HIP		T2_KR_KNU	
T2_FR_CCIN2P3		T2_CH_CSCS	
T2_FR_GRIF_IRFU		T1_FR_CON2P3	
T2_FR_GRIF_LLR		T2_BE_UCL	
T2 GR Ioannina		T2_US_Nebraska	
T2_HU_Budapest		T2_RU_IHEP T2_GR_Ioannina	
T2_IN_TIFR		T0_CH_CERN	
T2_IT_Bari		T1_IT_CNAF T2_DE_DESY	
T2 IT Pisa		T2_BE_IIHE	
T2_IT_Rome		T2_PT_NCG_Lisbon T2_RU_SINP	
T2_KR_KNU		T1_TW_ASGC	
T2_PK_NCP		T2_AT_Vienna T2_ER_CCIN2P3	
T2_PL_Cracow		T2_UK_SGrid_RALPP	
T2 PT LIP Lisbon		T2_US_Caltech	
T2_PT_NCG_Lisbon		T2_RU_INR	
T2_RU_IHEP		T2_FR_GRIF_LLR	
T2_RU_INR T2_RU_ITER		T2_PL_Warsaw	
T2_RU_IINR		T2_FI_HIP T2_US_Venderbilt	
T2_RU_PNPI		T2_EE_Estonia	
T2_RU_RRC_KI		T2_BR_UERJ	
T2_RU_SINP T2_TR_METU		T2_PL_Cracow	
T2_TW_Taiwan		T2_RU_RRC_KI	
T2_UA_KIPT		0 20	40 50 80 1
T2_UK_London_Brunel			
T2_UK_London_IC			
T2_UK_SGrid_Bristol		0% 10% 20% 30%	40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 10



#### Summary and outlook

- Sites need a tool for monitoring VOs activities and tests for site usability
  - Should be one interface, for all the VOs
  - Easy to use for people external to the VO
  - Give a realistic picture of VO activities at the site taking information from the VO monitoring system actually used by computing shifters
- Two possible solutions proposed:
  - Dashboard Siteview: one view per site reporting the site status as evaluated by the VO and reporting a summary of the activities, plus links to the sources (VO specific tools). No development needed, but a thorough validation of the information displayed
  - Dashboard Site Status Board: could provide one view with all VOs and sites. From there, links to the particular VOs monitoring tools. Nice feature like historical view of site availability and site ranking would be provided

Either of them can be easily put in place. Sites should express their preference