

# Complete 2<sup>nd</sup> order fluid dynamics from the Boltzmann equation

Etele Molnár

MTA RMKI KFKI

30.11.2011

with:

**G. Denicol, H. Niemi and D. H. Rischke**

# Notation

- For simplicity we choose the flat space-time, the metric is  $g^{\mu\nu} \equiv g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ .
- The normalized 4-flow of matter is denoted by  $u^\mu(t, \mathbf{x})$ , where  $u^\mu u_\mu = 1$ , ( $c^2 = 1$ ).
- The local rest frame (LRF) is defined as,  $u_{LRF}^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ .
- The projection tensor is defined perpendicular to the 4-flow of matter,  $\Delta^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu$ , where  $\Delta^{\mu\nu} u_\mu = 0$ , and  $\Delta^{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\mu\nu} = 3$ .
- The comoving time-derivative or proper-time derivative in LRF of an arbitrary 4-vector  $A$  is denoted by,  $\dot{A} = u^\mu \partial_\mu A$  while, the comoving spatial-derivative or gradient is denoted by,  $\nabla^\mu A = \Delta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu A$ .
- The symmetric, traceless and orthogonal part of any tensor is denoted by,  $A^{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \Delta_\alpha^\mu \Delta_\beta^\nu + \Delta_\alpha^\nu \Delta_\beta^\mu \right) - \frac{1}{3} \Delta^{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\alpha\beta} \right] A^{\alpha\beta}$ .

Conservation laws for a simple (single component) fluid

$$\partial_\mu N^\mu = 0 \quad \text{charge conservation}$$

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \text{energy-momentum conservation}$$

Thermodynamic constraint,  $S^\mu = S^\mu(N^\mu, T^{\mu\nu})$ , such that

$$\partial_\mu S^\mu \geq 0$$

# Perfect Fluids I.

Conservation laws for a simple (single component) perfect fluid (no dissipation)

$$\partial_\mu N_0^\mu = 0 \quad \text{charge conservation} \quad \Rightarrow \mathbf{1 \text{ eq.}}$$

$$\partial_\mu T_0^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \text{energy-momentum conservation} \quad \Rightarrow \mathbf{4 \text{ eqs.}}$$

Perfect fluids - decomposition with respect to  $u^\mu$

$$N_0^\mu = n_0 u^\mu$$

$$T_0^{\mu\nu} = e_0 u^\mu u^\nu - p_0 \Delta^{\mu\nu}$$

$$n_0 = N_0^\mu u_\mu \quad \text{(net)charge density}$$

$$e_0 = T_0^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u_\nu \quad \text{energy density}$$

$$p_0 = -\frac{1}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu} T_0^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{isotropic (equilibrium) pressure}$$

- 5 equations with 6 unknowns:  $n_0(1)$ ,  $e_0(1)$ ,  $p_0(1)$  and  $u^\mu(3)$  uniquely defined!  
These equations are *postulated*!

## Perfect Fluids II.

- The assumption of local thermal equilibrium! Provides closure:

## Equation of State (EoS)

$$p_0 = p_0(e_0, n_0) \quad \text{EoS} \Rightarrow \mathbf{1 \text{ eq.}}$$

and  $s_0 = s_0(e_0, n_0)$ ,  $p_0(T_0, \mu_0)$ .

- Auxiliary,  $S_0^\mu = s_0 u^\mu$ , where  $s_0 = S_0^\mu u_\mu$ , hence

$$\partial_\mu S_0^\mu \geq 0$$

entropy is maximal in local thermal equilibrium.

- Thermodynamic relations are derived from,  $T_0 S_0^\mu = -\mu_0 N_0^\mu + T_0^{\mu\nu} u_\nu + p_0 u^\mu$ , that is,  $T \partial_\mu (s u^\mu) = \partial_\mu (e u^\mu) + p (\partial_\mu u^\mu) - \mu \partial_\mu (n u^\mu)$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 s_0 &= e_0 + p_0 - \mu n_0 \\ T_0 \dot{s}_0 &= \dot{e}_0 - \mu_0 \dot{n}_0 \\ \dot{p}_0 &= s_0 \dot{T}_0 + n_0 \dot{\mu}_0 \end{aligned}$$

# Dissipative Fluids I.

Conservation laws for a simple (single component) dissipative fluid

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu N^\mu &= 0 & \text{charge conservation} & \Rightarrow \mathbf{1 \text{ eq.}} \\ \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} &= 0 & \text{energy-momentum conservation} & \Rightarrow \mathbf{4 \text{ eqs.}} \end{aligned}$$

General decomposition with respect to  $u^\mu$

$$\begin{aligned} N^\mu &= nu^\mu + V^\mu \\ T^{\mu\nu} &= eu^\mu u^\nu - p\Delta^{\mu\nu} + W^\mu u^\nu + W^\nu u^\mu + \pi^{\mu\nu} \\ n &= N^\mu u_\mu & \text{charge density} \\ e &= T^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u_\nu & \text{energy density} \\ p &= -\frac{1}{3}\Delta_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu} & \text{isotropic pressure} \\ V^\mu &= \Delta^{\mu\alpha} N_\beta & \text{charge flow} \quad V^\mu u_\mu = 0 \\ W^\mu &= \Delta^{\mu\alpha} T_{\alpha\beta} u^\beta & \text{energy-momentum flow} \quad W^\mu u_\mu = 0 \\ \pi^{\mu\nu} &= T^{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} & \text{stress tensor} \quad \pi^{\mu\nu} u_\mu = \pi^{\mu\nu} g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- 5 equations with  $14 + 3$  unknowns,  $n(1)$ ,  $e(1)$ ,  $p(1)$ ,  $V^\mu(3)$ ,  $W^\mu(3)$ ,  $\pi^{\mu\nu}(5)$  and  $u^\mu(3)$

## Dissipative Fluids II.

Generally,  $N^\mu = N_0^\mu + \delta N^\mu$  and  $T^{\mu\nu} = T_0^{\mu\nu} + \delta T^{\mu\nu}$

### Simplifications (I): Matching to equilibrium and the EOS

$$\begin{aligned} n &= n_0 + \delta n \\ e &= e_0 + \delta e \\ \rho(e, n) &= \rho_0(e_0, n_0) + \delta \rho \end{aligned}$$

- Convenient choice,  $\delta n = 0$ ,  $\delta e = 0$ ,  $\delta p = \Pi$  hence,  $n = n_0$ ,  $e = e_0$ ,  $\rho(e, n) = \rho_0(e_0, n_0) + \Pi$ , while  $T = T_0$  and  $\mu = \mu_0$ , but  $s = s_0 + \delta s$ !
- Still with 14 + 3 unknowns!  $n(1)$ ,  $e(1)$ ,  $\Pi(1)$ ,  $V^\mu(3)$ ,  $W^\mu(3)$ ,  $\pi^{\mu\nu}(5)$  and  $u^\mu(3)$ .
- Other choices also possible ! e.g.,  $e = e_0 + 3\Pi$ , etc.

### Simplifications (II): Fixing the Local Rest Frame

$$\begin{aligned} u_E^\mu &= N^\mu/n \Leftrightarrow V^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow q^\mu = W^\mu && \text{Eckart} \\ u_L^\mu &= T^{\mu\nu} u_{L\nu}/e \Leftrightarrow W^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow q^\mu = -\frac{e+p}{n} V^\mu && \text{Landau \& Lifshitz} \end{aligned}$$

- We eliminated (3) unknowns, such that  $u_L^\mu = u_E^\mu + \delta u^\mu$ .
- We are left with 14 unknowns!  $n(1)$ ,  $e(1)$ ,  $u^\mu(3)$  and  $p(1)$ ,  $q^\mu(3)$ ,  $\pi^{\mu\nu}(5)$ .

## Dissipative Fluids III. - The relativistic Navier-Stokes theory

- The entropy current is also modified  $S^\mu \equiv S_0^\mu + \delta S^\mu = (s_0 + \delta s)u^\mu + \Phi^\mu$ , where  $s \equiv S^\mu u_\mu = (s_0 + \delta s)$  and  $\Phi^\mu = \Delta^{\mu\nu} S_\nu$ .

### 2nd law of thermodynamics (Eckart's frame)

$$\partial_\mu S^\mu = \partial_\mu \left[ \Phi^\mu - \frac{q^\mu}{T} \right] - \frac{q^\mu}{T} \left( \frac{1}{T} \partial_\mu T - \dot{u}_\mu \right) - \frac{\Pi}{T} \partial_\mu u^\mu + \frac{\pi^{\mu\nu}}{T} \partial_\mu u_\nu \geq 0$$

- Assuming that  $s = s_0$ , i.e.,  $\delta s = 0$ , while  $\Phi^\mu = q^\mu / T$
- For small gradients, linear relations between thermodynamical forces and fluxes!

### The relativistic Navier-Stokes relations

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{NS} &= -\zeta \nabla_\mu u^\mu \\ \pi_{NS}^{\mu\nu} &= 2\eta \nabla^{\langle\mu} u^{\nu\rangle} \\ q_{NS}^\mu &= -\kappa T \frac{T n}{e + p} \nabla^\mu \left( \frac{\mu}{T} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- $\zeta \geq 0$ ,  $\eta \geq 0$  bulk and shear viscosity coefficients,  $\kappa \geq 0$  coefficient of thermal conductivity.
- Now, the equations of fluid dynamics are closed, but the relativistic Navier-Stokes theory leads to acausal signal propagation and stability issues.



## Dissipative Fluids IV. - 2nd order theories

Generalization by Müller (1967), Israel (1976) and Stewart (1971, 1977)

$$S^\mu \equiv s_0 u^\mu + \frac{q^\mu}{T} - (\beta_0 \Pi^2 - \beta_1 q^\mu q_\mu + \beta_2 \pi^{\mu\nu} \pi_{\mu\nu}) \frac{u^\mu}{2T} - \frac{\alpha_0 \Pi q^\mu}{T} + \frac{\alpha_1 \pi^{\mu\nu} q_\nu}{T} + O_3$$

- the newly introduced coefficients  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_0, \alpha_1$  are related to the relaxation time/length of bulk viscosity, heat conductivity and shear viscosity

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\Pi &= \zeta \beta_0 \\ \tau_q &= \kappa T \beta_1 \\ \tau_\pi &= 2\eta \beta_2 \\ l_{\Pi q} &= \zeta \alpha_0 \\ l_{q\Pi} &= \kappa T \alpha_0 \\ l_{q\pi} &= \kappa T \alpha_1 \\ l_{\pi q} &= 2\eta \alpha_1 \end{aligned}$$

- The  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_0, \alpha_1$  coefficients are frame dependent and remain undetermined in phenomenological theories!

# Dissipative Fluids V. - Equations of motion

## Relaxation equations in Eckart's frame (Israel 1976)

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{\Pi} \dot{\Pi} + \Pi &= \Pi_{NS} + l_{\Pi q} \nabla_{\mu} q^{\mu} \\ \tau_q \Delta_{\alpha}^{\mu} \dot{q}^{\alpha} + q^{\mu} &= q_{NS}^{\mu} + l_{q\Pi} \nabla^{\mu} \Pi - l_{q\pi} \Delta_{\alpha}^{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \pi^{\alpha\nu} \\ \tau_{\pi} \dot{\pi}^{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + \pi^{\mu\nu} &= \pi_{NS}^{\mu\nu} + l_{\pi q} \nabla^{\langle\mu} q^{\nu\rangle}\end{aligned}$$

- The equations determine the time evolution of  $\Pi$ ,  $q^{\mu}$ , and  $\pi^{\mu\nu}$
- The Navier-Stokes theory appears if the relaxation times and length scales  $\tau_i \rightarrow 0$ ,  $l_i \rightarrow 0$  with  $\zeta$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\kappa_q$  fixed, (asymptotic solution)
- Later  $O_2$  corrections ( $\sim \nabla_{\mu} \alpha_i$ ,  $\sim \nabla_{\mu} \beta_i$ ,  $\dots$ , etc.) were added by Israel and Stewart (1977-1979), Hiscock and Lindblom (1983), Relativistic Extended Thermodynamics of Liu, Müller and Ruggeri (1983) etc.

We need kinetic theory to motivate and determine the above introduced phenomenological equations self-consistently!

# The relativistic Boltzmann equation and conservation equations

In a dilute gas, the space-time evolution of the single-particle distribution function  $f_{\mathbf{k}} = f(t, \mathbf{x}, k^0, \mathbf{k})$  due to particle motion and binary collisions is given by the

## The relativistic Boltzmann equation

$$k^\mu \partial_\mu f_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{2} \int dK' dP dP' W_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}' \rightarrow \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'} \left( f_{\mathbf{p}} f_{\mathbf{p}'} \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{k}'} - f_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}'} \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{p}} \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{p}'} \right)$$

$k^\mu = (k^0, \mathbf{k})$  is the four-momenta of particles with mass  $m$  and energy  $k^0 = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}$ .  $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{k}} = 1 - a f_{\mathbf{k}}$ , with  $a = 0$  for Boltzmann,  $a = 1$  for Fermi,  $a = -1$  for Bose statistics. The inv. phase-space element is,  $dK = g d^3 \mathbf{k} / [(2\pi)^3 k^0]$ . The transition rate  $W$  is invariant, to final state momenta  $W_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}' \rightarrow \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'} = W_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}' \rightarrow \mathbf{p}'\mathbf{p}}$ , and satisfies detailed balance,  $W_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}' \rightarrow \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'} = W_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}' \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}$ .

## Conservation laws from the Boltzmann equation

$$\partial_\mu N^\mu \equiv \int dK k^\mu \partial_\mu f_{\mathbf{k}} = \int dK C[f_{\mathbf{k}}] = 0 \quad \text{charge cons.}$$

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} \equiv \int dK k^\nu k^\mu \partial_\mu f_{\mathbf{k}} = \int dK k^\nu C[f_{\mathbf{k}}] = 0 \quad \text{energy-momentum cons.}$$

Conservation laws are obtained, 5-collisional invariants, but we still need the solution of the Boltzmann equation  $f_{\mathbf{k}}!$

# Local thermal equilibrium

In local thermal equilibrium  $\partial S_0^\mu = 0$ , hence  $f_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow f_{0\mathbf{k}}$ , where  $f_{0\mathbf{k}} = f(\alpha_0, \beta_0, E_{\mathbf{k}})$

$$f_{0\mathbf{k}} \equiv [\exp(-\alpha_0 + \beta_0 E_{\mathbf{k}}) + a]^{-1} \quad \text{Jüttner distribution}$$

where  $\alpha_0 = \mu_0/T_0$  is the chemical potential,  $\beta_0 = 1/T_0$  is the inverse temperature,  $E_{\mathbf{k}} = k^\mu u_\mu$ , and  $a = 0$  for Boltzmann,  $a = 1$  for Fermi,  $a = -1$  for Bose statistics.

## Moments of the equilibrium distribution function

$$N_0^\mu(t, \mathbf{x}) \equiv \int dK k^\mu f_{0\mathbf{k}} = \langle k^\mu \rangle_0 \quad \text{charge current}$$

$$T_0^{\mu\nu}(t, \mathbf{x}) \equiv \int dK k^\mu k^\nu f_{0\mathbf{k}} = \langle k^\mu k^\nu \rangle_0 \quad \text{energy-momentum tensor}$$

$$S_0^\mu(t, \mathbf{x}) \equiv \int dK k^\mu f_{0\mathbf{k}} (\ln f_{0\mathbf{k}} - 1) = \langle k^\mu \Psi \rangle_0 \quad \text{entropy current}$$

## Conservation eqs. for and ideal gas

$$\partial_\mu N_0^\mu = 0, \quad \partial_\mu T_0^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \partial_\mu S_0^\mu \geq 0$$

( $a = 0$ ) hence  $p_0 = n_0 T_0$  ideal gas!

# General kinetic decompositions and definitions

Decompose the momenta,  $k^\mu = E_{\mathbf{k}} u^\mu + k^{\langle\mu\rangle}$ , where  $E_{\mathbf{k}} = k^\mu u_\mu$  and  $k^{\langle\mu\rangle} = \Delta_{\nu}^{\mu} k^\nu$

$$N^\mu = \langle E_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle u^\mu + \langle k^{\langle\mu\rangle} \rangle$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \langle E_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \rangle u^\mu u^\nu + \frac{1}{3} \Delta^{\mu\nu} \langle \Delta^{\alpha\beta} k_\alpha k_\beta \rangle + \langle E_{\mathbf{k}} k^{\langle\mu\rangle} \rangle u^\nu + \langle E_{\mathbf{k}} k^{\langle\nu\rangle} \rangle u^\mu + \langle k^{\langle\mu} k^{\nu\rangle} \rangle$$

where  $k^{\langle\mu} k^{\nu\rangle} = \Delta_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu} k^\alpha k^\beta$  and  $\langle k^{\mu_1} k^{\mu_2} \dots k^{\mu_n} \rangle \Rightarrow \int dK k^{\mu_1} \dots k^{\mu_n} f_{\mathbf{k}}$  for any  $f_{\mathbf{k}}$

$$\begin{aligned} n &\equiv \langle E_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle & e &\equiv \langle E_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \rangle & p &\equiv -\frac{1}{3} \langle \Delta^{\alpha\beta} k_\alpha k_\beta \rangle \\ V^\mu &\equiv \langle k^{\langle\mu\rangle} \rangle & W^\mu &\equiv \langle E_{\mathbf{k}} k^{\langle\mu\rangle} \rangle & \pi^{\mu\nu} &\equiv \langle k^{\langle\mu} k^{\nu\rangle} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

In equilibrium  $\langle k^{\mu_1} k^{\mu_2} \dots k^{\mu_n} \rangle_0 \Rightarrow \int dK k^{\mu_1} \dots k^{\mu_n} f_{0\mathbf{k}}$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} n_0 &\equiv \langle E_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle_0 & e_0 &\equiv \langle E_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \rangle_0 & p_0 &\equiv -\frac{1}{3} \langle \Delta^{\alpha\beta} k_\alpha k_\beta \rangle_0 \\ V^\mu &\equiv \langle k^{\langle\mu\rangle} \rangle_0 = 0 & W^\mu &\equiv \langle E_{\mathbf{k}} k^{\langle\mu\rangle} \rangle_0 = 0 & \pi^{\mu\nu} &\equiv \langle k^{\langle\mu} k^{\nu\rangle} \rangle_0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

# Out of equilibrium

- For a system out of equilibrium

$$f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{0\mathbf{k}} + \delta f_{\mathbf{k}}$$

- Let us define the following **irreducible moment**

$$\rho_{(r)}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell} \equiv \left\langle (E_{\mathbf{k}})^r k^{\langle \mu_1} \dots k^{\mu_\ell \rangle} \right\rangle_{\delta}$$

where  $\langle \dots \rangle_{\delta} \equiv \langle \dots \rangle - \langle \dots \rangle_0 = \int dK \dots f_{\mathbf{k}} - \int dK \dots f_{0\mathbf{k}} = \int dK \dots \delta f_{\mathbf{k}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta n &\equiv \rho_{(1)} & \delta e &\equiv \rho_{(2)} & \Pi &\equiv -\frac{m^2}{3} \rho_{(0)} \\ \nu^{\mu} &\equiv \rho_{(0)}^{\mu} & W^{\mu} &\equiv \rho_{(1)}^{\mu} & \pi^{\mu\nu} &\equiv \rho_{(0)}^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

## Matching: Non-equilibrium to equilibrium

$$\delta n \equiv \langle E_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle_{\delta} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad n = n_0$$

$$\delta e \equiv \langle E_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \rangle_{\delta} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad e = e_0$$

$$\Pi \equiv -\frac{1}{3} \langle \Delta^{\alpha\beta} k_{\alpha} k_{\beta} \rangle_{\delta} \quad \Rightarrow \quad p(e, n) = p_0(e_0, n_0) + \Pi$$

# General equations of motion

Equations of motion for the irreducible moments

$$\dot{\rho}_{(r)}^{\langle\mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell\rangle} = \Delta_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_\ell}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell} \frac{d}{d\tau} \int dK (E_{\mathbf{k}})^r k^{\langle\nu_1} \dots k^{\nu_\ell\rangle} \delta f_{\mathbf{k}}$$

where  $\dot{A} \equiv u^\mu \partial_\mu A \equiv dA/d\tau$  and  $\dot{\rho}_{(r)}^{\langle\mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell\rangle} \equiv \Delta_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_\ell}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell} \dot{\rho}_{(r)}^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_\ell}$

Now, using the Boltzmann equation  $k^\mu \partial_\mu f_{\mathbf{k}} = C[f]$  in the following form

$$\delta \dot{f}_{\mathbf{k}} = -\dot{f}_{0\mathbf{k}} - E_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} k_\nu \nabla^\nu f_{0\mathbf{k}} - E_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} k_\nu \nabla^\nu \delta f_{\mathbf{k}} + E_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} C[f]$$

where  $\nabla_\mu = \Delta_\mu^\nu \partial_\nu$ , we obtain the *exact* equations for the comoving derivatives of the irreducible moments,  $\rho_{(r)}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_l}$

# General equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_{(r)} - C_{(r-1)} &= -\beta_{\zeta}^{(r)} \theta + r \rho_{(r-1)}^{\mu} \dot{u}_{\mu} + \frac{G_{2r}}{D_{20}} W^{\mu} \dot{u}_{\mu} - \nabla_{\mu} \rho_{(r-1)}^{\mu} + \frac{G_{3r}}{D_{20}} \partial_{\mu} V^{\mu} - \frac{G_{2r}}{D_{20}} \partial_{\mu} W^{\mu} \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} \left[ (r-1) m^2 \rho_{(r-2)} - (r+2) \rho_{(r)} - 3 \frac{G_{2r}}{D_{20}} \Pi \right] \theta + \left[ (r-1) \rho_{(r-2)}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{G_{2r}}{D_{20}} \pi^{\mu\nu} \right] \sigma_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_{(r)}^{\langle\mu\rangle} - C_{(r-1)}^{\langle\mu\rangle} &= \beta_{\kappa}^{(r)} \nabla^{\mu} \alpha_0 - \alpha_h^{(r)} \dot{W}^{\mu} + r \rho_{(r-1)}^{\mu\nu} \dot{u}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{3} \left[ m^2 r \rho_{(r-1)} - (r+3) \rho_{(r+1)} - 3 \alpha_h^{(r)} \Pi \right] \dot{u}^{\mu} \\ &- \frac{1}{3} \nabla^{\mu} \left[ m^2 \rho_{(r-1)} - \rho_{(r+1)} \right] + \alpha_h^{(r)} \nabla^{\mu} \Pi - \Delta_{\nu}^{\mu} \left( \nabla_{\lambda} \rho_{(r-1)}^{\nu\lambda} + \alpha_h^{(r)} \partial_{\lambda} \pi^{\nu\lambda} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} \left[ (r-1) m^2 \rho_{(r-2)}^{\mu} - (r+3) \rho_{(r)}^{\mu} - 4 \alpha_h^{(r)} W^{\mu} \right] \theta + \left( \rho_{(r)\nu} + \alpha_h^{(r)} W_{\nu} \right) \omega^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{1}{5} \left[ (2r-2) m^2 \rho_{(r-2)}^{\nu} - (2r+3) \rho_{(r)}^{\nu} - 5 \alpha_h^{(r)} W^{\nu} \right] \sigma_{\nu}^{\mu} + (r-1) \rho_{(r-2)}^{\mu\nu\lambda} \sigma_{\nu\lambda}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_{(r)}^{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} - C_{(r-1)}^{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} &= 2\beta_{\eta}^{(r)} \sigma^{\mu\nu} + r \rho_{(r-1)}^{\mu\nu\lambda} \dot{u}_{\lambda} + \frac{2}{5} \left[ m^2 r \rho_{(r-1)}^{\langle\mu} - (r+5) \rho_{(r+1)}^{\langle\mu} \right] \dot{u}^{\nu\rangle} - \frac{2}{5} \nabla^{\langle\mu} \left( m^2 \rho_{(r-1)}^{\nu\rangle} - \rho_{(r+1)}^{\nu\rangle} \right) \\ &- \Delta_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\lambda} \rho_{(r-1)}^{\alpha\beta\lambda} + \frac{1}{3} \left[ (r-1) m^2 \rho_{(r-2)}^{\mu\nu} - (r+4) \rho_{(r)}^{\mu\nu} \right] \theta + 2 \rho_{(r)\lambda}^{\langle\mu} \omega^{\nu\rangle\lambda} \\ &+ \frac{2}{15} \left[ (r-1) m^4 \rho_{(r-2)} - (2r+3) m^2 \rho_{(r)} + (r+4) \rho_{(r+2)} \right] \sigma^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{2}{7} \left[ (2r-2) m^2 \rho_{(r-2)}^{\lambda\langle\mu} - (2r+5) \rho_{(r)}^{\lambda\langle\mu} \right] \sigma_{\lambda}^{\nu\rangle} + (r-1) \rho_{(r-2)}^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} \sigma_{\lambda\kappa}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Generalized collision terms

$$C_{(r)}^{\langle\mu_1 \dots \mu_{\ell}\rangle} = \int dK (E_{\mathbf{k}})^r k^{\langle\mu_1} \dots k^{\mu_{\ell}\rangle} C[f].$$



## Collision integral in a Boltzmann gas

$$f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{0\mathbf{k}} + \delta f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{0\mathbf{k}} (1 + \phi_{\mathbf{k}})$$

where  $\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \delta f_{\mathbf{k}}/f_{0\mathbf{k}} \ll 1$  close to equilibrium

## Collision integral for Boltzmann particles

$$C_{(r)}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell} = \frac{1}{2} \int dK dK' dP dP' W_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}' \rightarrow \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'} f_{0\mathbf{k}} f_{0\mathbf{k}'} (E_{\mathbf{k}})^r k^{\mu_1} \dots k^{\mu_\ell} \\ \times [(\phi_{\mathbf{p}} + \phi_{\mathbf{p}'} - \phi_{\mathbf{k}} - \phi_{\mathbf{k}'}) + (\phi_{\mathbf{p}}\phi_{\mathbf{p}'} - \phi_{\mathbf{k}}\phi_{\mathbf{k}'})]$$

Similar to

## Entropy

$$S^\mu = S_0^\mu - \langle k^\mu \ln(f_{0\mathbf{k}}) \rangle_\delta - \frac{1}{2} \langle k^\mu \phi_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \rangle_0$$

$$S^\mu = s_0 u^\mu - \alpha_0 V^\mu + \beta_0 W^\mu \\ - \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 \left( \beta_0^\Pi \Pi^2 - \beta_1^V V^\alpha V_\alpha - \beta_1^W W^\alpha W_\alpha - \beta_1^{VW} V^\alpha W_\alpha + \pi^{\alpha\beta} \pi_{\alpha\beta} \right) u^\mu \\ - \beta_0 \left( \alpha_0^V V^\mu + \alpha_0^W W^\mu \right) \Pi - \beta_0 \left( \alpha_1^V V_\alpha + \alpha_1^W W_\alpha \right) \pi^{\alpha\mu}$$

# The relaxation equations with a linearised collision integral

The original Israel-Stewart derivation neglected several terms; corrections added later. More terms from the non-linearized collision integral!

$$\tau_{\Pi} \dot{\Pi} + \Pi = \Pi_{\text{NS}} + \tau_{\Pi q} \mathbf{q} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} - \ell_{\Pi q} \partial \cdot \mathbf{q} - \zeta \hat{\delta}_0 \Pi \theta$$

$$+ \lambda_{\Pi q} \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \alpha + \lambda_{\Pi \pi} \pi^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\tau_q \Delta^{\mu\nu} \dot{q}_\nu + \mathbf{q}^\mu = \mathbf{q}_{\text{NS}}^\mu - \tau_{q\Pi} \Pi \dot{u}^\mu - \tau_{q\pi} \pi^{\mu\nu} \dot{u}_\nu$$

$$+ \ell_{q\Pi} \nabla^\mu \Pi - \ell_{q\pi} \Delta^{\mu\nu} \partial^\lambda \pi_{\nu\lambda} + \tau_q \omega^{\mu\nu} q_\nu - \frac{\kappa}{\beta} \hat{\delta}_1 q^\mu \theta$$

$$- \lambda_{qq} \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu + \lambda_{q\Pi} \Pi \nabla^\mu \alpha + \lambda_{q\pi} \pi^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \alpha$$

$$\tau_\pi \dot{\pi}^{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + \pi^{\mu\nu} = \pi_{\text{NS}}^{\mu\nu} + 2\tau_{\pi q} q^{\langle\mu} \dot{u}^{\nu\rangle}$$

$$+ 2\ell_{\pi q} \nabla^{\langle\mu} q^{\nu\rangle} + 2\tau_\pi \pi_\lambda^{\langle\mu} \omega^{\nu\rangle\lambda} - 2\eta \hat{\delta}_2 \pi^{\mu\nu} \theta$$

$$- 2\tau_\pi \pi_\lambda^{\langle\mu} \sigma^{\nu\rangle\lambda} - 2\lambda_{\pi q} q^{\langle\mu} \nabla^{\nu\rangle} \alpha + 2\lambda_{\pi\Pi} \Pi \sigma^{\mu\nu}$$

W. Israel, J.M. Stewart, Ann. Phys. 118 (1979) 341

W. Israel, J.M. Stewart, Ann. Phys. 118 (1979) 341

A. Muronga, PRC 76 (2007) 014909; A. Muronga, *ibid.*

B. Betz, D. Henkel, D. H. Rischke, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 62:556 (2009); B. Betz et.al. (2011).

# The complete 2<sup>nd</sup> order relaxation equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Pi} + \frac{\Pi}{\tau_{\Pi}} + \mathcal{A}_{\Pi\Pi}^{(r)} \Pi^2 + \mathcal{A}_{VV}^{(r)} V^{\mu} V_{\mu} + \mathcal{A}_{VW}^{(r)} V^{\mu} W_{\mu} + \mathcal{A}_{WW}^{(r)} W^{\mu} W_{\mu} + \mathcal{A}_{\pi\pi}^{(r)} \pi^{\mu\nu} \pi_{\mu\nu} \\ = - \frac{\zeta^{(r)}}{\tau_{\Pi}} \theta + \tau_{\Pi W}^{(r)} W_{\mu} \dot{u}^{\mu} - l_{\Pi W}^{(r)} \partial_{\mu} W^{\mu} + \lambda_{\Pi W}^{(r)} W^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} \alpha_0 \\ - \delta_{\Pi}^{(r)} \Pi \theta + \tau_{\Pi V}^{(r)} V_{\mu} \dot{u}^{\mu} - l_{\Pi V}^{(r)} \partial_{\mu} V^{\mu} + \lambda_{\Pi V}^{(r)} V^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} \alpha_0 + \lambda_{\Pi\pi}^{(r)} \pi^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{R} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}^{\mu} + \psi_W^{(r)} \dot{W}^{\mu} + \frac{V^{\mu}}{\tau_V^{(r)}} + \psi_W^{(r)} \frac{W^{\mu}}{\tau_W^{(r)}} + \mathcal{B}_{V\Pi}^{(r)} \Pi V^{\mu} + \psi_W^{(r)} \mathcal{B}_{W\Pi}^{(r)} \Pi W^{\mu} + 2\mathcal{B}_{V\pi}^{(r)} \Delta^{\mu(\alpha} V^{\beta)} \pi_{\alpha\beta} + 2\psi_W^{(r)} \mathcal{B}_{W\pi}^{(r)} \Delta^{\mu(\alpha} W^{\beta)} \pi_{\alpha\beta} \\ = \frac{\kappa_q^{(r)}}{\tau_V^{(r)} \beta_0^2 h_0^2} \nabla^{\mu} \alpha_0 + \psi_W^{(r)} W_{\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu} + V_{\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu} - \psi_W^{(r)} \delta_W^{(r)} W^{\mu} \theta + \delta_V^{(r)} V^{\mu} \theta \\ - \tau_{q\Pi}^{(r)} \Pi \dot{u}^{\mu} - \tau_{q\pi}^{(r)} \pi^{\mu\nu} \dot{u}_{\nu} + l_{q\Pi}^{(r)} \nabla^{\mu} \Pi - l_{q\pi}^{(r)} \Delta_{\alpha}^{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \pi^{\alpha\nu} \\ + \lambda_{q\Pi}^{(r)} \Pi \nabla^{\mu} \alpha_0 + \lambda_{q\pi}^{(r)} \pi^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\nu} \alpha_0 - \psi_W^{(r)} \lambda_{WW}^{(r)} W_{\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \lambda_{VV}^{(r)} V_{\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{R}^{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\pi}^{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + \frac{\pi^{\mu\nu}}{\tau_{\pi}^{(r)}} + c_{VV}^{(r)} V^{\langle\mu} V^{\nu\rangle} + c_{VW}^{(r)} V^{\langle\mu} W^{\nu\rangle} + c_{WW}^{(r)} W^{\langle\mu} W^{\nu\rangle} + c_{\pi\Pi}^{(r)} \Pi \pi^{\mu\nu} + c_{\pi\pi}^{(r)} \pi_{\alpha}^{\langle\mu} \pi^{\nu\rangle} \alpha \\ = \frac{2\eta^{(r)}}{\tau_{\pi}^{(r)}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} + 2\lambda_{\pi\Pi}^{(r)} \Pi \sigma^{\mu\nu} + 2\tau_{\pi W}^{(r)} W^{\langle\mu} \dot{u}^{\nu\rangle} + 2\tau_{\pi V}^{(r)} V^{\langle\mu} \dot{u}^{\nu\rangle} + 2l_{\pi W}^{(r)} \nabla^{\langle\mu} W^{\nu\rangle} + 2l_{\pi V}^{(r)} \nabla^{\langle\mu} V^{\nu\rangle} \\ - 2\lambda_{\pi W}^{(r)} W^{\langle\mu} \nabla^{\nu\rangle} \alpha_0 - 2\lambda_{\pi V}^{(r)} V^{\langle\mu} \nabla^{\nu\rangle} \alpha_0 - 2\delta_{\pi}^{(r)} \pi^{\mu\nu} \theta - 2\lambda_{\pi\pi}^{(r)} \pi_{\alpha}^{\langle\mu} \sigma^{\nu\rangle} \alpha + 2\pi_{\alpha}^{\langle\mu} \omega^{\nu\rangle} \alpha + \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

# So Many Jokes, So Little Time ...

## Seriously...

- The equations and coefficients are in better agreement with the non-equilibrium solution of the Boltzmann equation than the others out there!
- Hard to see the fine details, though !
- Different choices (of methods, moments, coefficients etc.) lead to the same asymptotic (Navier-Stokes) solutions, but not the other way around!

# Backup slides

Backup

# Power Counting

We power count with

- Knudsen number

$$\text{Kn} = \frac{\ell_{\text{micr}}}{L_{\text{macr}}}$$

- e.g.  $\frac{2\eta}{P_0} \sigma^{\mu\nu} = O(\text{Kn})$
- inverse Reynolds number

$$R_{\Pi}^{-1} \sim \frac{|\Pi|}{P_0}, \quad R_n^{-1} \sim \frac{|n^\mu|}{n_0}, \quad R_\pi^{-1} \sim \frac{|\pi^{\mu\nu}|}{P_0}$$

- Note that in general Knudsen number and inverse Reynolds number are not equivalent (only in the NS/Burnett-limit)
- Aim is to derive fluid dynamics with some definite order in Kn and  $R^{-1}$

Expansion of  $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ 

The previous definitions from kinetic theory are only useful if we can specify  $f_{\mathbf{k}}$  or  $\delta f_{\mathbf{k}}$

$$f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{0\mathbf{k}} + \delta f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{0\mathbf{k}} (1 + \phi_{\mathbf{k}})$$

where  $\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \delta f_{\mathbf{k}}/f_{0\mathbf{k}} \ll 1$  close to equilibrium

- Expand  $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}$  using an orthogonal basis i.e.,  $1, k_{\langle\mu_1\rangle}, k_{\langle\mu_1} k_{\mu_2\rangle}, \dots$

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{\langle\mu_1 \dots \mu_{\ell}\rangle} k_{\langle\mu_1} \dots k_{\mu_{\ell}\rangle} \quad \text{deviation from equilibrium}$$

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{\langle\mu_1 \dots \mu_{\ell}\rangle} = \sum_{n=0}^{N_{\ell}} c_{(n)}^{\langle\mu_1 \dots \mu_{\ell}\rangle} P_{\mathbf{k}}^{(n\ell)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{(n)}^{\langle\mu_1 \dots \mu_{\ell}\rangle} (E_{\mathbf{k}})^n \quad \text{coefficients}$$

where

$$P_{\mathbf{k}}^{(n\ell)} = \sum_{r=0}^n a_{nr}^{(\ell)} (E_{\mathbf{k}})^r \quad \chi_{(n)}^{\langle\mu_1 \dots \mu_{\ell}\rangle} \equiv \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} c_{(m)}^{\langle\mu_1 \dots \mu_{\ell}\rangle} a_{mn}^{(\ell)}$$

$$\int dK \omega_{\ell} P_{\mathbf{k}}^{(n\ell)} P_{\mathbf{k}}^{(m\ell)} = \delta^{mn}, \quad \omega_{\ell} \equiv \frac{N_{\ell}}{(2\ell + 1)!!} \left( \Delta^{\alpha\beta} k_{\alpha} k_{\beta} \right)^{\ell} f_{0\mathbf{k}} \tilde{f}_{0\mathbf{k}}$$

Expansion of  $f_{\mathbf{k}}$  II

Orthogonality conditions imply that

$$c_{(n)}^{\langle \mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell \rangle} = \frac{\mathcal{N}_\ell}{\ell!} \left\langle P_{\mathbf{k}}^{(n\ell)} k^{\langle \mu_1} \dots k^{\mu_\ell \rangle} \right\rangle_\delta \equiv \frac{\mathcal{N}_\ell}{\ell!} \sum_{m=0}^n \rho_{(m)}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell} a_{nm}^{(\ell)}$$

Full expansion of  $f_{\mathbf{k}}$  reads:

$$f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{0\mathbf{k}} \left[ 1 + \sum_{\ell, n=0}^{\infty} \rho_{(n)}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell} k^{\langle \mu_1} \dots k^{\mu_\ell \rangle} \left( \frac{\mathcal{N}_\ell}{\ell!} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a_{mn}^{(\ell)} P_{\mathbf{k}}^{(m\ell)} \right) \right]$$

$$\chi_{(n)}^{\langle \mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell \rangle} = \frac{\mathcal{N}_\ell}{\ell!} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \rho_{(n)}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell} a_{mn}^{(\ell)} a_{mk}^{(\ell)}$$

$$\rho_{(r)}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell} = \ell! \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{(n)}^{\langle \mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell \rangle} I_{r+n+2\ell, \ell}$$

where the thermodynamical integrals are

$$I_{n+r, q} = \frac{1}{(2q+1)!!} \left\langle (E_{\mathbf{k}})^{n+r-2q} \left( \Delta^{\alpha\beta} k_\alpha k_\beta \right)^q \right\rangle_0$$



# Approximate solutions: Grad's method of moments

The previous definitions from kinetic theory are only useful if we can specify  $f_{\mathbf{k}}$  or  $\delta f_{\mathbf{k}}$

$$f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{0\mathbf{k}} + \delta f_{\mathbf{k}} \simeq f_{0\mathbf{k}} (1 + \phi_{\mathbf{k}})$$

where  $\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \delta f_{\mathbf{k}}/f_{0\mathbf{k}} \ll 1$  close to equilibrium,  $f_{0\mathbf{k}} \equiv [\exp(-\alpha_0 + \beta_0 E_{\mathbf{k}}) + a]^{-1}$ , where  $\alpha_0 = \mu_0/T_0$  is the chemical potential,  $\beta_0 = 1/T_0$  is the inverse temperature,  $E_{\mathbf{k}} = k^\mu u_\mu$ , and  $a = 0$  for Boltzmann,  $a = 1$  for Fermi,  $a = -1$  for Bose statistics.

Relativistic Grad's approach: Stewart (1972), Israel and Stewart (1977-1979)

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_{(l)}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_l} k_{\mu_1} \dots k_{\mu_l} = \epsilon_{(0)} + \epsilon_{(1)}^\mu k_\mu + \epsilon_{(2)}^{\mu\nu} k_\mu k_\nu + \dots$$

Assuming that in  $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}$  only  $l = 0, 1, 2$ -rank tensors appear  $\lambda(1)$ ,  $\lambda^\mu(4)$  and  $\lambda^{\mu\nu}(9)$  where  $\lambda_\mu^\mu = 0$ , we provide a closure for the hierarchy (truncation) and be able to determine the 14 moments of dissipative fluid dynamics!

## Applying the 14-method

## Non-equilibrium 14-moment ansatz

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv & f_{0\mathbf{k}} \left[ 1 + \epsilon_{(0)} + E_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{(1)}^{\mu} u_{\mu} + \epsilon_{(1)}^{\langle \mu \rangle} k_{\langle \mu \rangle} + E_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \epsilon_{(2)}^{\mu\nu} u_{\mu} u_{\nu} + \frac{1}{3} \left( \Delta^{\alpha\beta} k_{\alpha} k_{\beta} \right) \Delta_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{(2)}^{\mu\nu} \right. \\
 & \left. + E_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{(2)}^{\langle \mu \rangle \nu} k_{\langle \mu \rangle} u_{\nu} + E_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{(2)}^{\langle \mu \rangle \nu} k_{\langle \nu \rangle} u_{\mu} + \epsilon_{(2)}^{\langle \mu\nu \rangle} k_{\langle \mu} k_{\nu \rangle} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

which is basically an expansion in  $E_{\mathbf{k}}^n$  and  $k_{\langle \mu_1} \dots k_{\mu_n \rangle}$

## Decomposition and matching

$$\begin{aligned}
 \epsilon_{(0)} - \alpha_0 &= A_0 \Pi \\
 \epsilon_{(1)}^{\mu} u_{\mu} - \beta_0 &= A_1 \Pi \\
 \epsilon_{(2)}^{\mu\nu} u_{\mu} u_{\nu} &= A_2 \Pi \\
 \epsilon_{(1)}^{\langle \mu \rangle} &= B_0 q^{\mu} + B_1 V^{\mu} \\
 \epsilon_{(2)}^{\langle \mu \rangle \beta} u_{\beta} &= C_0 q^{\mu} + C_1 V^{\mu} \\
 \epsilon_{(2)}^{\mu\nu} &= A_3 (3u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - \Delta^{\mu\nu}) \Pi + 2C_0 u^{(\mu} q^{\nu)} + 2C_1 u^{(\mu} V^{\nu)} + D_0 \pi^{\mu\nu} \\
 \epsilon_{(2)}^{\langle \mu\nu \rangle} &= D_0 \pi^{\mu\nu}
 \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients  $\epsilon_{(0)}$ ,  $\epsilon_{(1)}^{\mu}$ ,  $\epsilon_{(2)}^{\mu\nu}$  expressed in terms of dissipative fields,  $\Pi$ ,  $q^{\mu}$  ( $V^{\mu}$ ),  $\pi^{\mu\nu}$

# The relaxation equations

Using the 14-moment ansatz, the 3rd moment,  $\langle k^\mu k^\nu k^\lambda \rangle = F(e, n, p, u^\mu, \Pi, q^\mu, \pi^{\mu\nu})$ , therefore, using the Boltzmann transport eq.,  $\partial_\lambda \langle k^\mu k^\nu k^\lambda \rangle = \langle C^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ , we get:

Israel-Stewart (1979)

$$u_\mu u_\nu \partial_\lambda \langle k^\mu k^\nu k^\lambda \rangle = u_\mu u_\nu \langle C^{\mu\nu} \rangle \quad \text{Bulk eq.}$$

$$\Delta_\mu^\alpha u_\nu \partial_\lambda \langle k^\mu k^\nu k^\lambda \rangle = \Delta_\mu^\alpha u_\nu \langle C^{\mu\nu} \rangle \quad \text{Heat-flow eq.}$$

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\lambda \langle k^\mu k^\nu k^\lambda \rangle = \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} \langle C^{\mu\nu} \rangle \quad \text{Shear viscosity eq.}$$

The linearised collision integral

$$\begin{aligned} u_\mu u_\nu \langle C^{\mu\nu} \rangle &= C_\Pi \Pi \\ \Delta_\mu^\alpha u_\nu \langle C^{\mu\nu} \rangle &= C_q q^\alpha \\ \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} \langle C^{\mu\nu} \rangle &= C_\pi \pi^{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_\Pi, C_q, C_\pi \sim \langle \sigma \rangle$