<u>Hadron Production in Nucleus-Nucleus</u> <u>Collisions at the CERN SPS</u> (Search for the Quark-Gluon-Plasma and Critical Point)



P. Seyboth Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, München and Jan Kochanowski University, Kielce (for NA49/NA61 collaborations)



- early results: energy density, thermalisation, flow
- onset of deconfinement
- search for the critical point in fluctuations
- future programs





search for deconfinement (Quark-Gluon-Plasma)



hadrons

- more effective degrees of freedom \rightarrow enhanced pion production
- smaller mass of strangeness carriers \rightarrow strangeness enhancement
- screening of color force \rightarrow suppression of charmonium production
- stronger energy loss of propagating partons \rightarrow jet quenching



quasi-free

quarks and gluons



NA49 Detector search for the QGP data taking 1994-2002 (reactivated 2007 for NA61)

- two superconducting magnets (1.5 T, 7 Tm bending power)
- four time projection chambers (180k channels, $\sigma_{dE/dx} \approx 4\%$)
- two time-of-flight walls (1800 pixels, $\sigma_{TOF} \approx 60$ ps)
- mid-rapidity ring calorimeter (240 cells)
- zero degree calorimeter



NA49 collaboration 1996







Chuck Whitten Volker Eckardt Ian Ferguson

MTPC field cage



early NA49 results (Pb+Pb at 158A GeV)



strangeness enhancement



Strangeness Enhancement Unique Feature

of Nucleus - Nucleus Collisions



early NA49 results (Pb+Pb at 158A GeV)

event-to-event fluctuations (central collisions)



events are rather uniform, no unusual event classes



early NA49 results (Pb+Pb at 158A GeV)

analysis of $\pi\pi$ BE correlations (3d Yano-Koonin formalism)

Eur.Phys.J. C2, 661 (1998)

fireball properties - size, lifetime

collective expansion





 $\begin{array}{l} T_{fo,kin}\approx 120~MeV\\ \beta_T\approx~0.55 \end{array}$





+ J/Y suppression (NA38,NA50), low mass di-lepton enhancement (NA45)

signatures not unique for QGP -> look for threshold in energy scan



Exploration of phase diagram of strongly interacting matter



- QCD considerations suggest a 1st order phase boundary ending in a critical point
- hadro-chemical freeze-out points are obtained from statistical model fits to measured particle yields
- \bullet T and μ_B approach phase boundary and estimated critical point at SPS
- evidence of onset of deconfinement from rapid changes of hadron production properties
- search for a maximum of fluctuations as indication of the critical point



evidence for the onset of deconfinement (1)



 π yield related to entropy production

the kink

steeper increase in A+A suggests 3-fold increase of initial d.o.f



 plateau consistent with prediction for deconfinement

the horn



P.Seyboth: Hadron Production in Relativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions at the CERN SPS Whitten Memorial Symposium, UCLA, 15-16/12/2011

 10^{2}

∖∫s_{NN} (GeV)

evidence for the onset of deconfinement (2)



softening of transverse (step) and longitudinal (minimum of c_s) features of EoS due to mixed phase (soft point of EoS)

rapid changes of hadron production properties at low SPS energy most naturally explained by onset of deconfinement

NA49, C.Alt et al., PRC77, 024903 (2008); M.Gazdzicki et al., Acta Phys. Pol. B42, 307 (2011)



confirmation by recent STAR and ALICE results (1)

the step



- STAR low energy results confirm the step
- continued rise for ALICE/LHC (increase of radial flow)



confirmation by recent STAR and ALICE results (2)



• estimate obtained from ALICE data not inconsistent with extrapolation

• preliminary STAR results confirm horn

- K/ π constant above SPS as expected
- key observables evolve smoothly above top SPS energy
- onset of deconfinement at 30A GeV remains the most likely scenario



search for the critical point of strongly interacting matter

search strategy: 2-dimensional (T,µ_B) scan of phase diagram

expected "hill" of fluctuations

freeze-out points from stat. model Becattini et al, PRC73, 044905 (2006)



deconfinement necessary for observing CP effect (above 30A GeV)

- expected size of fluctuation signals (~ξ²) limited by short lifetime and size of collision system (correlation lengths ~ 3 – 6 fm for Pb+Pb) (M.Stephanov, K.Rajagopal, E.Shuryak, PRD60,114028(1999))
- freeze-out close enough to CP ?
- can fluctuation signals survive later fireball evolution ??



fluctuation measures studied by NA49

- σ_{dyn} measure of dynamical particle ratio fluctuations $\,$ (K/\pi, p/\pi, K/p) $\sigma_{dyn} = \operatorname{sign}(\sigma_{data}^2 - \sigma_{mix}^2) \sqrt{\left|\sigma_{data}^2 - \sigma_{mix}^2\right|} , \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{Var(A/B)}{\langle A/B \rangle^2} , \quad \sigma_{dyn}^2 \approx \left|v_{dyn}\right|$

not discussed

- e-by-e fit of dE/dx distribution required in NA49
- mixed events used as reference
- 1/N_w dependence
 V.Koch,T.Schuster PRC81,034910
- Φ_x measure of fluctuations of observable x (<p_T>, < ϕ >, Q, ...)

$$\Phi_x = \sqrt{\frac{\langle Z^2 \rangle}{\langle N \rangle}} - \sqrt{\langle Z^2 \rangle};$$

$$z = x - \langle x \rangle, \ Z = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \langle x \rangle)$$

- M.Gazdzicki and S.Mrowczynski, Z.Phys.C54,127(1992)
- independent particle emission: $\Phi_x = 0$
- superposition model: $\Phi_x(A+A) = \Phi_x(N+N)$
- Φ_x strongly intensive fluctuation measure independent of $\langle N_W \rangle$ and its fluctuations
- scaled variance ω of the multiplicity distribution P(n)

$$\omega = \frac{Var(n)}{\langle n \rangle} = \frac{\langle n^2 \rangle - \langle n \rangle^2}{\langle n \rangle}$$

- independent particle emission: $\omega = 1$
- superposition model: $\omega(A+A) = \omega(N+N) + \langle N_W \rangle \omega_{NW}$
- ω sensitive to fluctuations of N_W

- intermittency in the production of low mass $\pi^+ \pi^-$ pairs and protons

$$F_2(M) = \left\langle \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{i=1}^{M^2} n_i \cdot (n_i - 1) \right\rangle / \left\langle \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{i=1}^{M^2} n_i \right\rangle^2 \propto M^{2\Phi_2}$$
 M² cells in p_T phase space

ativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions at the Whitten Memorial Symposium, UCLA, 15-16/12/2011

results of critical point search (Φ_{pT} and ω) by NA49





P.Seyboth: Hadron Production in Relativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions at the CERN SPS Whitten Memorial Symposium, UCLA, 15-16/12/2011

map onto T, μ_B coordinates using statistical model fits





$\Phi_{\phi}\,$: fluctuations of average azimuthal angle

K.Grebieszkow, NA49 preliminary

- plasma instabilities (S.Mrowczynski, Phys.Lett. B314,118(1993))
- flow fluctuations
- (S.Mrowczynski,E.Shuryak,Act.Phys.Pol.B34,4241(2003)

critical point



T,μ_B dependence in central collisions:

no significant energy (μ_B) dependence in central Pb+Pb collisions
perhaps hint of maximum in nuclear size (T) dependence



intermittency in particle production as signal of the critical point

N.Antoniou et al., NPA693,799(2001); PRL97,032002(2006)

- at the critical point local density fluctuations with power-law singularity expected both in configuration and momentum space
 - σ field: density of σ particles, related to low-mass $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs
 - baryonic density: related to net baryon number (≈ protons)
- experimental observation via factorial moments in p_T space: (subdivided into M bins in $p_{T,x}$ and $p_{T,y}$)

$$F_{2}(M) = \left\langle \frac{1}{M^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{M^{2}} n_{i} \cdot (n_{i}-1) \right\rangle / \left\langle \frac{1}{M^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{M^{2}} n_{i} \right\rangle^{2} \qquad \propto M^{2\Phi_{2}}$$

predicted intermittency index at critical point: $\Phi_2 = 2/3$, 5/6

 estimate combinatorial and misidentification background by mixed events and subtract

$$\Delta F_2(M) = F_2^{data} - F_2^{mix} \propto M^{2\Phi_2}$$



$\sigma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ intermittency analysis

- use $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs near threshold to reduce combinatorial background
- exclude Coulomb correlation region at very small Q_{inv}





NA49 results on factorial moment ΔF_2 in central Si+Si collisions



NA 49

proton intermittency analysis (preliminary results) (N.Davis, Univ. of Athens)

- protons identified by dE/dx measured in the TPCs
- selection by cuts in dE/dx such that purity > 80 %
- cms rapidity $|y_{cms}| < 0.75$





preliminary results from proton intermittency analysis





Conclusion from the critical point search in NA49



fluctuations of $\langle p_T \rangle$, n_{ch} , $\langle \phi \rangle$, intermittency of $\pi^+\pi^-$, p tend to a maximum in Si+Si collisions at 158A GeV

first hint of the hill of fluctuations ??



NA61/SHINE – successor and extension of NA49

(SHINE – SPS Heavy Ion and Neutrino Experiment)



- upgraded NA49 detector (DAQ, TPC readout (x8), PSD, He filled beam pipe)
- study of the onset of deconfinement and search for the critical point
- precision particle production measurement for improving calculations of neutrino beam (T2K) and air shower properties (P. Auger Obs., KASKADE)
- study of nuclear modification factor and Cronin effect using p+p and p+Pb interactions with extended range in $p_T \le 4.5$ GeV/c



Ion physics program of NA61/SHINE: scan in energy and system size A





Status and plans for ion collisions at SPS energies





QCD critical point searches – future experimental landscape



partly complementary programs CERN SPS 2011 → BNL RHIC 2010 → DUBNA Nuclotron 2015 NICA 2017 GSI SIS-100 2017

strong points of NA61:

- tight constraint on spectators
- high event rate at all SPS energies
- flexibility to change A and energy
- overlap with AGS energy
- coverage of full forward hemisphere

strong points of BNL/STAR:

- full azimuthal acceptance
- acceptance |y|<1 at all energies
- excellent TOF identification
- low track density



<u>Summary</u>

- NA49 evidence for the onset of deconfinement at SPS energies confirmed by STAR low energy scan at RHIC
- interpretation supported by LHC results
- critical point search of NA49 in the μ_B ,T phase diagram hints at a maximum of fluctuations in Si+Si collisions at 158A GeV
- NA49 results provide strong motivation for NA61/SHINE to perform a systematic μ_B ,T scan by varying energy and system size
- looking forward to: more STAR results from the RHIC low energy scan and the future programs at the Nuclotron, NICA and SIS



NA49:

78 physicists from 23 institutes and 12 countries:

NIKHEF, Amsterdam, Netherlands University of Athens, Athens, Greece Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovenia Eotvos Lorand University, Budapest, Hungar KFKI IPNP, Budapest, Hungary MIT, Cambridge, USA INP, Cracow, Poland Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia GSI, Darmstadt, Germany University of Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany CERN, Geneva, Switzerland an Kochanowski Univeristy, Kielce, Poland University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany MPI, Munich, Germany Charles University, Prag, Czech Republic University of Washington, Seattle, USA Faculty of Physics, University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria Sofia University, Sofia, Bulgaria INR&NE, BAS, Sofia, Bulgaria State University of New York, Stony Brook, USA Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland Rudjer Boskovic Institute, Zagreb, Croatia



NA61: 134 physicists from 27 institutes and 15 countries:

University of Athens, Athens, Greece University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland KFKI IPNP Budapest, Hungary Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia Fachhochschule Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany University of Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland University he, Karlsruhe, Germany Forschungszentrum Ka . University of Silesia. Katowice. Poland Institute of Physics wski Univeristy, Kielce, Pola Jan Kochanowski Institute for Nuclear search, Moscow, Russia ca, Nova Gorica, Slovenia University o PNHE Universites de Paris VI et VII, Paris, France aculty of Physics, University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria ate University, St. Petersburg, Russia State University of New York, Stony Brook, USA K, Tsukuba, Japan Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland Univeristy of Wroclaw, Wroclaw, Poland Universidad Tecnica Federico Santa Maria, Valparaiso, Chile Rudjer Boskovic Institute, Zagreb, Croatia ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland



$\Phi_{nT}^{(3)}$: 3rd moment of $< p_T >$ fluctuations

K.Grebieszkow and M.Bogusz, NA49 preliminary



(S.Mrowczynski, Phys.Lett.B465,8(1999))



higher moments are expected to be more sensitive to fluctuations

systematic errors are large

no theoretical predictions yet



Landscape of experimental program on nucleus-nucleus reactions

Facility	SPS	RHIC	NUCLOTRON-M	NICA	SIS-100/300	LHC
Laboratory	CERN Geneva	BNL Brookhaven	JINR Dubna	JINR Dubna	FAIR GSI Darmstadt	CERN Geneva
Experiment	NA61/SHINE	STAR PHENIX	BM@N	MPD	HADES + CBM CBM	ALICE ATLAS CMS
Start of data taking	2009(11)	2010	2015	2017	2017/18 (2019/20)	2009
cms energy [GeV/(N+N)]	5.1 – 17.3	7.7 (5?) – 200	< ~ 3.5	4 – 11	2.3 – ~4.5 ~4.5 – ~8.5	up to 5500 14000 (p+p)
Physics	CP & OD	CP & OD	HDM	OD & HDM	HDM, OD & CP	PDM

