Full-detector simulation

Full simulation

- GEANT4-based ("QGSP_BERT_HP")
- Whole detector implemented
- No beam line elements |z|>4m (further geometry is urgent)
 - No showers/neutrons from tunnel!
- Input:

-Track particles by SAD until they hit beam pipe wall, record position and momentum, then pass that information to GEANT4 (Touschek/Beam-gas)

–BBBrem particles are tracked from IP by SAD until they hit beam pipe wall, record position and momentum, then pass that information to GEANT4 (RBB)

-Use KoralW output directry in GEANT4 (2photon)

First campaign was in Dec. 2011
0.9GHz Touschek LER /2-photon
Second Campaign in Feb. 2012 (coming soon)
Touschek/Beam-gas/Rad. Bhabha/2-photon

We need to check:

-True event signals are <u>not hidden</u> by fake background hits?

-Our detectors/readout electronics are not severely damaged by radiation or neutrons?

Whole geometry ready in GEANT4



Belle-II/SuperB Joint BG meeting (Feb. 9-10, 2012)

Total BG



	LER (4GeV e+)	HER (7GeV e-)
Rad. Bhabha	0.55 W (eff. 0.9GHz)	1.60W (eff. 1.4GHz)
Touschek	0.10 W (0.16GHz)	0.05 W (0.05GHz)
Coulomb	0.06 W (0.09GHz)	0.001W (0.001GHz)

1GeV ,1GHz = 0.16W

Background picture at Belle-II



Belle-II focused review (Nov. 11th, 2011)

H.Nakayama (KEK)



Materials in simulation

Hiroyuki Nakayama (KEK)

Belle-II/SuperB Joint BG meeting (Feb. 9-10, 2012)

Beam pipe and Tungsten shield



QCS cryostat



Full-detector simulation

Generated vertex of all MC particles



10



Rad. Bhabha LER



Rad. Bhabha LER



Rad. Bhabha HER



Rad. Bhabha HER





Total (w/o SR, 2-photon)

Show particles with E>1MeV





Total (w/o SR, 2-photon)

Show particles with E>1MeV





PXD

A. Moll (MPI) VXD meeting on 7th Feb. 2012



Hiroyuki Nakay

19

A. Moll (MPI) VXD meeting on 7th Feb. 2012

PXD

Occupancy	v – Summary			17	
			Layer 1	Layer 2	
	Touschek	LER	0.12 %	0.09%	
	Touschek	HER	0.0 %	0.0 %	
	Beam-Gas Coulomb	LER	0.0 %	0.0 %	
	Beam-Gas Coulomb	HER	0.0 %	0.0 %	
	Radiative Bhabha	LER	10 ⁻⁴ %	10 ⁻⁴ %	
	Radiative Bhabha HER		10 ⁻³ %	10 ⁻³ %	
	4-fermion final state QED		0.64 %	0.23 %	
	Total		0.76 %	0.32 %	

Requirement: occupancy <2%

SVD

P. Kvanicica 10th Belle2 General Meeting (19 Nov. 2011)



CU Prague

Background occupancies

Μ

Percentage of strips fired by background radiation. The two columns show data for 1 µs and for 50 ns. Acceptance threshold is 5000 e-; strong influence on results. Not to be taken for granted! (sorry): the digitizer is not validated.

Layer	Occupancy 1 µs	Occupancy 50 ns
3	0.6%	0.03%
4	0.2%	0.01%
5	0.2%	0.01%
6	0.2%	0.01%

CDC

M. Uchida 10th Belle2 General Meeting (19 Nov. 2011)

Hit rate



Code under development ..

TOP

M. Petric 10th Belle2 General Meeting (19 Nov. 2011)



Hiroyuki Nakayam

23

K/pi separation

K. Inami 10th Belle2 General Meeting (19 Nov. 2011)

Number of background photons per bar in 50 ns:

 $N_{bkg} = 16 \text{ MHz/PMT} \times 32 \text{ PMT} \times 50 \text{ns} = 25.6$

 additional 25.6 photons on average (Poisson) generated uniformly over PMT channels and time



almost no performance degradation at all!

ARICH

L. Santej 10th Belle2 General Meeting (19 Nov. 2011)



BKLM

Entries 282996

L. Piilonen 10th Belle2 General Meeting (19 Nov. 2011)



						_						_			
utr	on	hit	s (any	/ Z))						E	nin	65-2	82998
_	_	_	_	_	_	_									
F.	ł			ł			ł		ļ	1	i	:			
			Į	ł								1		÷	
-				ł					i		1	•		:	
	I.		ł	f							÷	:	:		
	1								i		÷		:	·	
	ŧ	i	i	ŧ	ł						1	1	:	:	
h						1				-	÷	-	:		
	1	1	1	÷	1	÷		1	1		:		:	1	
				1	1			÷	÷	-	÷	•	:	•	
E	:	-	Ξ	Ξ	2	2	:	:	:	:	:		:		
1	2	:	2	2	:	1		:	:	:	:		:		
[-	•	•	-	-	•	•	•	•	·	·	·	·	·	·	
		•	•	•	-	-	•	-	•	•	•	•	•		
				•	•	•	•	•	'	•	•	·	•		
					۰	•	•	•	•	•	•	·		·	
	_	_													
					0	0	0	٥	•	•	·	·	·	·	
						. 1		цL							
0		2		4		6		8		10		12		14	
													а	yer	
			utron hit	utron hits (utron hits (any 1	utron hits (any z)	utron hits (any z)	utron hits (any z) Image: state	utron hits (any z)	utron hits (any z) Image: state	utron hits (any z) Image: Im	utron hits (any z) Image: Im	utron hits (any z) Image: state	utron hits (any z) Enum Image: state sta	Implies 2 Implies 2

BKLM Layer	Touschek-induced RPC Rate (Hz/cm²)	Touschek-induced RPC Efficiency		
0	17.3	0.58		
1	12.7	0.69		
2	8.8	0.78		
3	5.6	0.86		
4	3.6	0.91		
5	2.1	0.95		
6	1.2	0.97		
7	0.7	0.98		
8	0.5	0.98		
9	0.3	0.99		
10	0.1	0.99		
11	0.1	0.99		
12	0.1	0.99		
13	0.0	0.99		
14	0.0	0.99		

Hiroyuki Nakayama (KEK)

Neutron hits (any KE)

Belle-II/SuperB Joint BG meeting (Feb. 9-10, 2012)

EKLM

T. Uglov 10th Belle2 General Meeting (19 Nov. 2011)

Neutron hits in scintillator strips



neutron damage /radiation dose



1MeV equivalent rate

Neutron flux

 $1 year = 10^{7} sec$

	Region	Simulation (Touschek BG)	Assumption used for R&D	Life time by irradiation test based on the assumption
PXD	Sensors, readout	2x10 ¹¹ /cm2/year (+0.7x10 ¹¹ from 2-photon)	10 ¹² /cm2/year	OK for at least 10 years (10 ¹³ n/cm2)
SVD	Sensors, chips	3 x 10 ¹¹ /cm2/year	-	ould be OK ted in ATLAS/CMS)
CDC	Readout Boards	~1x10 ¹⁰ /cm2/year	10 ¹¹ /2 2 ⁿ⁰	PGA) is OK for at least 2(5)
ТОР	Readout electronics	~ 5x10 ¹⁰ /cm2/year	ateu	etested
ARICH	HAPD/ASIC	~7x10 ¹⁰ /2 be up	year	OK for at least 4 years
ECL	Diodes	Ichould ion	o ¹¹ /cm2/year	OK for at least 40 years
EKLM	SiPMs	- up - not travel nore than 10us	10 ⁹ /cm2/year	OK for at least 10 years
BKLM	SiPMs	2~8x10 ⁹ /cm2/year	2x10 ¹⁰ /cm2/year	OK for at least 10 years

These numbers were not correct. Need to be updated in 2nd campaign.

Radiation dose

 $1 \text{ year} = 10^7 \text{ sec}$

	Region	Simulation (Touschek BG)	Assumption used for R&D	Life time by irradiation test based on the assumption			
PXD	DCD, DHP, switchers	∼2 Gy/year (+2 Gy/y from 2 photon) Only from neutron	10,000 Gy/year (conservative)	OK up to at least 10 years			
SVD	APV	3.5 kGy/year	ted in 2nd	more than 100Gy			
CDC	Readout boards	~50 Gy/year	update	optical receivers killed by ~3 year-dose, trying other products			
ТОР	Readout electronics	Tshould benaigh	year	OK up to at least 10 years			
ARICH	PCB,APDs	~1 camp	100 Gy/year	OK up to at least 10 years			
ECL	Crystals	~8 Gy/year	40 Gy/year	OK up to at least 10 years			
	Impact from Touschek BG is tolerable in terms of neutron/radiation dose. Next step is to see the impact from other BG sources, such as beam-gas BG, radiative Bhabha BG, SR, etc						

Summary

- Touschek, beam-gas have been reduced, now radiative Bhabha dominates. (Same as SuperB!)
- I hope we can understand the 2-photon number discrepancy today
- SR simulation in full simulation started recently
- Full detector simulation campain ongoing

backup



Touschek LER

Show particles with E>1MeV



Touschek LER

Show particles with E>1MeV



Touschek HER



Touschek HER





Coulomb LER

Show particles with E>1MeV



Coulomb LER

Magenta: primary particle Red: e+ Blue: e-Yellow: gamma Green: neutron



Show particles with E>1MeV

• 0 event generated for Coulomb HER in 100ns