Development and Implementation of Optimal Filtering in a Virtex FPGA for the Upgrade of the ATLAS LAr Calorimeter Readout

# Steffen Stärz, on behalf of the ATLAS Liquid Argon Calorimeter Group





Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

### TWEPP 2012, September 18, 2012

### Overview



### Motivation

- 2 Signal Filter Requirements
- O Different Filter solutions
- 4 FPGA implementations
- 5 Summary and Outlook

#### Motivation

### Motivation

- Upgrade to HL-LHC in two phases ( $\approx 2015, \approx 2020$ ) •  $L = 10^{34} \times (1 \rightarrow 2-3 \rightarrow 5-7) \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$
- $\Rightarrow\,$  new readout electronics for ATLAS Liquid Argon Calorimeters required
  - $\Rightarrow$  provide finer granularity to Level-1 trigger system
    - 4 individual calorimeter layers, finer segmentation
  - ⇒ improve resolution for trigger objects like electrons, photons, jets, missing transverse and total energy
  - $\Rightarrow$  reduce trigger rates from background



#### The Challenge

- fully digitized readout (Super Cells)
- $\bullet~\approx$  60000 trigger readout channels
- $\bullet$  40  $\rm MHz$  sampling rate



Motivation

### Proposed readout architecture for LAr Barrel and Endcap

Red: new readout modules for Phase-I; other colours: current readout modules



## Signal Filter Requirements



### Filter Requirements in Upgrade Scenario

- noise suppression
- correction for out-of-time pile-up
- identification of the correct bunch crossing (BC)
- continuous ADC samples at 40 MHz
- tight latency budget (6 BCs pprox 150ns)

#### Possible filters

- adaptive filters: adjust transfer function on the fly
  - $\Rightarrow$  reference signal required, but relatively long transition time
  - ⇒ massive matrix multiplications
    - $\rightarrow\,$  bad for FPGA resources
- (non adaptive) FIR filters: calculate coefficients in beforehand
  - $\Rightarrow\,$  reference signal required, but stable, fix response delay
  - $\Rightarrow$  multiply-add structures
    - $\rightarrow\,$  good for FPGA resources

• something in between: IIR filter, Heuristic filter, Wiener filter, ...





## Filter simulation input data generation

- simulated flat signal energy spectrum: 0 - 80 GeV
- pile-up spectrum: 0 14 GeV (power-law parametrization [1])
- × pulse shapes from detector simulation (LAr Super Cells, Barrel, 2nd Layer)
- + noise RMS: 200 MeV [2]
- $\Rightarrow$  sequence of 1M BCs



Signal energy sequence

[1] The CMS Collaboration: Measurement of charged hadron spectra in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=$  14  ${
m TeV}$ 

[2] Georges Aad et al: Performance of the electronic readout of the ATLAS liquid argon calorimeters

**Optimal Filtering** 

### Filter simulation results

#### simulated input energy spectrum:



#### possible filter results:



### 5-staged FIR filter with shape detection

- similar to current implementation in ATLAS LAr Read-Out Driver
- $E = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \cdot (S_i + Ped_i)$
- shape detection:  $E \ge 0$  and at least 4 samples compatible with pulse shape  $g_i$ :  $|S_i g_i E| < \frac{1}{2^N} |g_i E|$  (easy to implement in FPGA)



• pile-up enlarges energy spread, poor signal detection efficiency

### FIR filter with shape detection and forward correction

• if signal found  $\rightarrow$  correct subsequent samples for known pulse shape:  $S_i \rightarrow S_i + \sum_{k,j} g_{k,j} E_k$ 



• more precise, improved detection efficiency

## 3-stage FIR: peak detection (derivative approach)

- derivative approach:  $E = \frac{w_1}{2} \cdot (S_{i+1} S_i) \frac{w_2}{2} \cdot (S_i S_{i-1})$
- weights depend on pulse shape



- less precise than FIR with shape detection
- fakes only signals at small amplitudes
- almost no signal losses

Different Filter solutions

### Wiener filter (FIR) with shape correction approach



• Final filter response (red) after  $\approx$  6 BCs (picture shows simulation)

Different Filter solutions

# Wiener filter (FIR) with shape correction approach

• combines Wiener filter with internal trigger for shape correction (2 small FIRs and shift registers)



- excellent precision
- no fakes
- almost no losses

### Other filters investigated

IIR Filter - discarded

• unstable in filter response (accumulating offset)

Inverse FIR Filter

 $\bullet$  very sensitive to pulse shape  $\rightarrow$  second tiny peak (2%) after 9 BCs

#### Heuristic Filter

• pile-up suppression by correcting for the history of up to 8 events in 25 BCs

### Implementation

### • Xilinx Virtex-5, 6 and 7 (ML505, ML605, VC707)



#### Implementation framework

- Interface with Gigabit Ethernet (UDP/IP)
- Input data buffered in RAM
- Online updatable filter coefficients (SRLC32E)

### Resource utilization

#### Resources for 1 filter unit

filter	DSPs	Slice LUTs	latency (clk/BCs)	max. channels
FIR (shape)	10	pprox 400	42/5.25	8*280
peak FIR	3	ongoing	28/3.5	8*933
Wiener	16	pprox 430	46/5.75	8*175

• All filters use 8-fold multiplexing (40 MHz LHC vs. 320 MHz FPGA)

- Channel estimates for Xilinx VC707 Evaluation board (VX485T-2 with 2800 DSPs and 75900 Slices)
- ATLAS LAr requirement: 1280 channels per FPGA  $\rightarrow$  fulfilled

## Summary and Outlook

#### Filter summary

- Wiener filter with shape correction shows best performance
- FPGA resource utilization varies a lot for different filters, but all implementations fulfill ATLAS LAr requirements
- Latency budget met by all filters by design

#### Future tasks

- Simulation with different physics scenarios
- Simulation of different detector regions
- Pulse saturation effects are under study

# Thanks for your attention! Your feedback is welcome at any time! Questions?

### Proposed readout architecture for LAr Barrel and Endcap

Black: current readout modules; Red: new readout modules for Phase-I



### The Wiener filter design in more detail



Steffen Stärz (IKTP TU Dresden)

TWEPP 2012 20 / 17

### The Wiener filter phase dependence



• quality plot: product of precision, inverse fake efficiency and signal detection efficiency

Steffen Stärz (IKTP TU Dresden)

### Coefficients module

### Updatable coefficients

- requirements:
  - instant readout
  - rewritable entries
  - ightarrow updatable LUT
- solution:
  - SRLC32E module
- side-effect:
  - 32 addresses minimum
  - bitwise data input
  - changing bit order
  - ightarrow offline calculation



### Saturation effects

#### Some thoughts

- $\bullet$  Saturation: pulse changes shape  $\rightarrow$  filters fail or suffer in performance
- Important for trigger: still identify correct BC
- energy reconstruction becomes less important in saturated region
- currently discussed: combination of linear and non-linear amplification region



- The CMS Collaboration: Measurement of charged hadron spectra in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{TeV}$
- Georges Aad et al.: Performance of the electronic readout of the ATLAS liquid argon calorimeters