

Study on Authorization

Christoph Witzig, SWITCH (witzig@switch.ch)

JRA1 Oct 25, 2007

www.eu-egee.org





EGEE-II INFSO-RI-031688

EGEE and gLite are registered trademarks





Introduction

- Goal of this study
- Priorities of the study
- Requirements from the experiments and sites
- First ideas --> discussion
 - Note: These are ideas and I am now hoping for feedback (in this meeting or by email afterwards)



- Task by C.Grandi to look into authorization (authZ) in gLite with the goal to specify design for "authorization service" work item in EGEE-II/-III
 - EGEE-III proposal: authZ service: Nikhef, UvA, CNAF, SWITCH
- Should specify work in 2008 / early 2009
 - Comment: should be fully deployed within lifetime of EGEE-III
- Deliverable is a proposal with clear recommendations based on input of many people (experiments, SAx, JRA1) to be accepted/rejected by TCG



- September / early October: requirement gathering PLEASE let me know to whom I should talk to
- mid-October late Nov: working out the recommendations and a proposal of the design
- Discussion at MWSG meeting in December
- Presentation and decision in TCG in January



List of priorities in order (as approved by TCG):

- **1.** Should fix some of the limitations of the current authZ framework
- 2. Introduce new features to the extend that they are needed by the
 - 1. Experiments / VOs
 - 2. Sites / SAx
 - 3. JRA1
- 3. Interoperability
- 4. Use of standards if possible



In the following I will present some first initial ideas to be discussed

Some of them may end up being recommendations, some may not

Feedback from developers most welcome





- Introduction
 - Goal of this study
 - Priorities of the study
- Requirements from the experiments and sites
- Review of existing authorization mechanisms
- First ideas --> discussion



- So far spoke with CERN experiments
 - There are other VOs than LHC experiments
- Personally I believe many new requirements from VOs will appear once physics data is available



- They want a simple system that works and gives them most of the control of the system
- < 50 groups, a few roles</p>
- Roles:
 - production: to run production jobs
 - Icgadmin: to install software
 - soft-valid: to run monitor jobs
- Groups:
 - Currently very few groups
 - I would expect this will change once we have production data



- Differerent kind of jobs:
 - Read data from SE, process and write data to SE
 - Need access privileges for software installation at sites
 - Manipulate data in SE and catalogues which may require special priviledges



- They want a simple system that gives them <u>all</u> of the control of their site
- From their perspective they want minimal installation and maintenance while supporting VOs
- They want
 - simple, clear rules for installation and maintenance
 - configuration files that are simple to set up, change rarely and are intuitive to understand
 - understand and control user mapping
 - have a grasp what the user jobs are doing (security wise)
- We should be aware that the site administrators community is rather diverse
 - Knowledge in grid ranges from expert to novice



authZ = permission to access a resource based on a set of attributes

• Basic mechanism in gLite:

- Proxy certs with VOMS extensions
 - DN, pFQAN, sFQANs
 - 1. identity of the user
 - 2. membership in VO (and its subgroups)
 - 3. role (dynamically chosen by the user)
- Use of this information by different algorithms at different places in the middleware





Introduction

- Goal of this study
- Priorities of the study
- Requirements from the experiments and sites
- First ideas --> discussion