# Constraining compressed supersymmetry using leptonic signatures

Kazuki Sakurai



in collaboration with: Krzysztof Rolbiecki (DESY)

based on: arXiv:1206.6767



# Introduction

• SUSY is one of the most promising candidates of beyond the SM ameliorating hierarchy problem, dark matter, gauge coupling unification, ...

Hierarchy problem and LHC:

$$(\text{weak scale})^2 \simeq m_H^2(\text{bare}) + \Delta m_H^2$$

SM: 
$$\Delta m_H \sim \Lambda ~(\sim 10^{19} \,\text{GeV})$$
  $\implies$  very precise tuning on  $m_H$ (bare)

SUSY:  $\Delta m_H \sim m_{\text{SUSY}} (m_{\tilde{t}}, m_{\tilde{g}}, \cdots) \implies \text{no fine tuning if } m_{\text{SUSY}} \sim m_{\text{weak}}$ 

SUSY should be seen at the LHC

## Is light SUSY possible?

• Constraint from direct SUSY searches  $(jets + \not\!\!E_T)$ :



 $m_{\widetilde{q}}, m_{\widetilde{g}} \gtrsim 1 \,\mathrm{TeV}$ 

# Where is SUSY hidden?

#### Split generation

- $m \stackrel{\bullet}{\underset{}{\leftarrow}} = \widetilde{q}(1, 2 \operatorname{gen})$  $\stackrel{\widetilde{g}}{\underset{}{\leftarrow}} \widetilde{t}$
- small cross sections of stop and gluino productions
- large # of final particles --> smaller  $p_T$ ,  $\not\!\!\!E_T$

$$\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}t \rightarrow 2b + 4j + \tilde{\chi}_1^0$$

Compressed spectrum





• small jet  $p_T$  $p_T \sim m_{\widetilde{q}} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}$ 



(LSPs decay to SM particles)

# Where is SUSY hidden?

#### Split generation

- $m \underbrace{\stackrel{\bullet}{\leftarrow}}_{\widetilde{t}} = \widetilde{q} (1, 2 \operatorname{gen})$  $\stackrel{\widetilde{g}}{\underset{\widetilde{t}}{\longrightarrow}} \widetilde{t}$
- small cross sections of stop and gluino productions
- large # of final particles --> smaller  $p_T$ ,  $\not\!\!\!E_T$

$$\tilde{g} \to \tilde{t}t \to 2b + 4j + \tilde{\chi}_1^0$$





• small  $\not\!\!\!E_T$ 

(LSPs decay to SM particles)

#### Compressed SUSY vs. multi-jet + $\not E_T$ searces

T.J.LeCompte, S.P.Martin Phys.Rev. D85



#### Leptonic searches

- Large  $p_T$  and  $E_T^{miss}$  cut kill a lot of signal events in compressed SUSY models.
- For leptonic searches, the  $p_T$  and  $E_T^{miss}$  cuts are much milder.

ATLAS multi-lepton searches:

arXiv:1110.6189, arXiv:1204.5638, ATLAS-CONF-2012-001

signal region	2OS	2SS	3LEP	4LEP
N(lep)	= 2 (OS)	= 2 (SS)	= 3	>= 4
leading $\mu$ (e) $p_T$ (GeV)	> 20 (25)	> 20 (25)	> 20 (25)	> 20 (25)
$E_T^{miss}$ (GeV)	> 250	> 100	> 50	> 50
dilpton mass (GeV)	> 12	> 12	> 20	> 20
luminosity (fb <sup>-1</sup> )	1.04	1.04	2.06	2.06

• If squarks and gluino decay into leptons in compressed SUSY models, leptonic searches can be used to constrain such models.

# Simplified model

• 3<sup>rd</sup> gen. sfermions and higssinos are decoupled.

• 
$$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \simeq \widetilde{B}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \simeq \widetilde{W}^0, \ \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \simeq \widetilde{W}^{\pm}$$

•  $m_{\widetilde{q}} \simeq m_{\widetilde{g}}$ 

• 
$$m_{\widetilde{W}} = \frac{m_{\widetilde{g}} + m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}}{2}, \ m_{\widetilde{\ell}} = \frac{m_{\widetilde{W}} + m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}}{2}$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{q}_L &\to \tilde{\chi}_2^0 q \to \tilde{\ell}^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} q \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 & BR = 33\% , \\ \tilde{q}_L &\to \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} q \to \tilde{\ell}^{\pm} \nu_{\ell} q \left( \tilde{\nu}_{\ell} \ell^{\pm} q \right) \to \ell^{\pm} \nu_{\ell} q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 & BR = 67\% , \\ \tilde{g} &\to \tilde{\chi}_2^0 q q \to \tilde{\ell}^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} q q \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} q q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 & BR \simeq 16\% , \\ \tilde{g} &\to \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} q q \to \tilde{\ell}^{\pm} \nu_{\ell} q q \left( \tilde{\nu}_{\ell} \ell^{\pm} q q \right) \to \ell^{\pm} \nu_{\ell} q q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 & BR \simeq 33\% . \end{split}$$

 $\widetilde{q}, \ \widetilde{g}$ -  $\widetilde{W}^0, W^{\pm}$ -  $\widetilde{\ell}, \ \widetilde{\nu}$ 

 $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ 

 $\Delta m$ 

• Event generation: Herwig++, Detector simulation: Delphes

# Efficiency

- The efficiencies drop quickly from  $\Delta m$ =100 to 40.
- $\bullet$  The efficiency hardly depends on  $m_{\widetilde{g}}$







- Neutrinos appear in the SUSY decay chains associated with charged leptons.
- $E_T^{miss}$  distributions peak around (2/3)\* $\Delta$ m and have long tails.





- Neutrinos appear in the SUSY decay chains associated with charged leptons.
- $E_T^{miss}$  distributions peak around (2/3)\* $\Delta$ m and have long tails.





- Neutrinos appear in the SUSY decay chains associated with charged leptons.
- $E_T^{miss}$  distributions peak around (2/3)\* $\Delta$ m and have long tails.



#### E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>

- Neutrinos appear in the SUSY decay chains associated with charged leptons.
- $E_T^{miss}$  distributions peak around (2/3)\* $\Delta$ m and have long tails.
- If  $E_T^{miss}$  cut is raised to 140 from 100 GeV in 2SS, 80% of the BG is removed, but reduction on the signal is only 30% ( $\Delta m \sim 70$ ).



#### E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>

- Neutrinos appear in the SUSY decay chains associated with charged leptons.
- $E_T^{miss}$  distributions peak around (2/3)\* $\Delta$ m and have long tails.
- If  $E_T^{miss}$  cut is raised to 140 from 100 GeV in 2SS, 80% of the BG is removed, but reduction on the signal is only 30% ( $\Delta m \sim 70$ ).



# leading lepton p<sub>T</sub>

- $p_T^{lep}$  distributions peak around  $\Delta m/4$ , and quickly fall off towards the high  $p_T$  region.
- The  $p_T$  cut of 20 (25) for  $\mu$  (e) is the limiting factor of the analysis for the small  $\Delta m$ .



# leading lepton p<sub>T</sub>

- $p_T^{lep}$  distributions peak around  $\Delta m/4$ , and quickly fall off towards the high  $p_T$  region.
- The  $p_T$  cut of 20 (25) for  $\mu$  (e) is the limiting factor of the analysis for the small  $\Delta m$ .



# leading lepton $p_T$

- $p_T^{lep}$  distributions peak around  $\Delta m/4$ , and quickly fall off towards the high  $p_T$  region.
- The  $p_T$  cut of 20 (25) for  $\mu$  (e) is the limiting factor of the analysis for the small  $\Delta m$ .
- If a low pT cut of 40GeV is added in 3LEP, 90% of the BG is removed, but reduction on signal is only 50% ( $\Delta$ m ~ 140).



# leading lepton $p_T$

- $p_T^{lep}$  distributions peak around  $\Delta m/4$ , and quickly fall off towards the high  $p_T$  region.
- The  $p_T$  cut of 20 (25) for  $\mu$  (e) is the limiting factor of the analysis for the small  $\Delta m$ .
- If a low pT cut of 40GeV is added in 3LEP, 90% of the BG is removed, but reduction on signal is only 50% ( $\Delta$ m ~ 140).



## **Exclusion**

- $m_{Gluino} > 900 \text{GeV}$  if  $\Delta m > 100 \text{GeV}$ ,  $m_{Gluino} > 500 \text{GeV}$  if  $\Delta m > 50 \text{GeV}$ .
- New 3LEP+ significantly extends the exclusion limit.
- New 2SS+ does not extend the limit because of an excess in the signal region.



# **Exclusion**

- $m_{Gluino} > 900 \text{GeV}$  if  $\Delta m > 100 \text{GeV}$ ,  $m_{Gluino} > 500 \text{GeV}$  if  $\Delta m > 50 \text{GeV}$ .
- New 3LEP+ significantly extends the exclusion limit.
- New 2SS+ does not extend the limit because of an excess in the signal region.



# **Exclusion**

- $m_{Gluino} > 900 GeV$  if  $\Delta m > 100 GeV$ ,  $m_{Gluino} > 500 GeV$  if  $\Delta m > 50 GeV$ .
- New 3LEP+ significantly extends the exclusion limit.
- New 2SS+ does not extend the limit because of an excess in the signal region.



# Summary

• Compressed SUSY models still provide an interesting possibility to accommodate naturalness to direct SUSY search constraints.

• In such a model, if squarks and gluino have sizeable leptonic branching ratios, multi-lepton searches can be used to constrain the model.

• In our simplified model, the bound on the gluino/squark mass is:

 $m_{g/q} > 900$  (500) GeV for  $\Delta m > 100$  (50) GeV.

#### Application to the other model

• The estimated mass limits are not applicable to models with different cross sections and branching ratios.

• The visible cross section may be decomposed as:

$$\sigma_{\text{vis}}^{(i)} = \sum_{a \to X, b \to Y} \sigma_{ab} \cdot B_{a \to X} \cdot B_{b \to Y} \cdot \epsilon_{a \to X, b \to Y}^{(i)}$$

*a*, *b*: SUSY particles

X, Y: decay processes

 $\sigma_{ab}$ : cross section of a, b production

 $B_{a\to X}$ : branching ratio of  $a\to X$ .

 $\epsilon_{a \to X, b \to Y}^{(i)}$ : efficiency of signal region (i)

• The event simulation and detector simulation are required only to calculate the efficiencies.

#### Application to the other model

• The estimated mass limits are not applicable to models with different cross sections and branching ratios.

• The visible cross section may be decomposed as:

requires MC simulation

$$\sigma_{\text{vis}}^{(i)} = \sum_{a \to X, b \to Y} \sigma_{ab} \cdot B_{a \to X} \cdot B_{b \to Y} \cdot \epsilon_{a \to X, b \to Y}^{(i)}$$

a, b: SUSY particles X, Y: decay processes  $\sigma_{ab}$ : cross section of a, b production  $B_{a \to X}$ : branching ratio of  $a \to X$ .

 $\epsilon_{a \to X, b \to Y}^{(i)}$ : efficiency of signal region (i)

• Only efficiencies require the MC simulation to be estimated.

• Visible cross section can be estimated without doing MC simulation if the efficiencies are known.

• We define three types of decay processes as:

$$(a \to X) = \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}: \quad \widetilde{q}/\widetilde{g} \to \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} + \text{jets} \to \ell^{+}\ell^{-}\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} + \text{jets}$$
$$\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}: \quad \widetilde{q}/\widetilde{g} \to \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} + \text{jets} \to \ell\nu\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} + \text{jets}$$
$$\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}: \quad \widetilde{q}/\widetilde{g} \to \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} + \text{jets}$$

• Considering both decay chains, relevant signal regions are identified for each event process, XY:

$$\begin{split} XY &= \quad \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \Longrightarrow 2\text{OS}; \\ \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \Longrightarrow 2\text{OS}, 2\text{SS}; \\ \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \Longrightarrow 3\text{LEP (2OS, 2SS)}; \\ \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \Longrightarrow 4\text{LEP (3LEP, 2OS, 2SS)}; \\ \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \Longrightarrow \text{less than 2 leptons.} \end{split}$$

 $\epsilon_{XY}^{(i)}$  in %  $(m_{\tilde{q}/\tilde{g}} = 800 \,\mathrm{GeV})$ 

$\Delta m$ (0	GeV)	50	60	70	80	100	120	140
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp}$	0.21	0.53	0.87	1.30	1.9	2.6	3.0
2OS	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0$	0.18	0.41	0.61	0.82	1.07	1.29	1.34
	$ ilde{\chi}^0_2  ilde{\chi}^0_2$	0.18	0.27	0.37	0.42	0.52	0.53	0.48
	$ ilde{\chi}_2^0  ilde{\chi}_1^0$	0.26	0.70	1.31	1.85	3.52	4.43	4.33
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	0.79	2.23	4.40	7.00	12.93	18.24	22.34
2SS	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0$	0.38	0.88	1.46	2.00	3.16	4.22	5.25
	$ ilde{\chi}^0_2 ilde{\chi}^0_2$	0.36	0.70	1.03	1.28	1.28	2.12	2.08
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	0.55	1.54	2.79	4.63	7.76	9.23	11.70
2SS+	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0$	0.25	0.56	0.89	1.18	1.82	2.11	2.80
	$ ilde{\chi}^0_2  ilde{\chi}^0_2$	0.25	0.46	0.63	0.78	0.63	1.03	1.00
3LEP	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0$	0.16	0.73	2.16	4.41	10.39	14.75	17.33
	$ ilde{\chi}^0_2  ilde{\chi}^0_2$	0.43	1.58	4.01	7.70	12.35	15.91	18.03
3LEP+	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0$	0.15	0.71	2.05	4.07	8.57	10.17	8.83
	$ ilde{\chi}^0_2  ilde{\chi}^0_2$	0.42	1.55	3.87	7.01	10.18	10.53	8.23
4LEP	$ ilde{\chi}^0_2  ilde{\chi}^0_2$	0.28	1.24	3.73	8.50	15.76	18.83	23.61

#### caveats:

• Contributions from other processes are neglected.

e.g. 
$$\tilde{g} \to \tilde{t}^{(*)} t^{(*)} \to \ell \ell \nu \nu b b \chi_1^0$$

• Efficiencies vary about factor of 2-5 btw  $m_{g/q} = 400$  to 1200GeV depending on  $\Delta$ m.

• Efficiencies differ if the assumption

$$m_{\widetilde{W}} = \frac{m_{\widetilde{g}} + m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}}{2}, \ m_{\widetilde{\ell}} = \frac{m_{\widetilde{W}} + m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}}{2}$$

is relaxed. We have checked the efficiencies do not change much in the events with wino decaying to leptons through three body decays.

 $\sigma_{\rm vis}^{(i)} \sim \sum_{a \to X, b \to Y} \sigma_{ab} \cdot B_{a \to X} \cdot B_{b \to Y} \cdot \epsilon_{a \to X, b \to Y}^{(i)} (\Delta m)$ 

# Efficiency

- The efficiencies drop quickly from  $\Delta m$ =100 to 40.
- $\bullet$  The efficiency hardly depends on  $m_{\widetilde{g}}$





# • The efficiencies drop quickly from $\Delta m = 100$ to 40.

 $\bullet$  The efficiency hardly depends on  $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ 







mother particle	$\tilde{\ell}^{\pm}$	$ ilde{\chi}_2^0$	$\tilde{\chi}$	$\frac{\pm}{1}$	$\tilde{q}_R$	ĝ	ĨL		${ ilde g}$	
decay mode	$\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ell^{\pm}$	$\tilde{\ell}^{\pm}\ell^{\mp}$	$\tilde{\ell}^{\pm} \nu_{\ell}$	$\tilde{\nu}_\ell \ell^\pm$	$\tilde{\chi}_1^0 q$	$\tilde{\chi}_2^0 q$	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} q$	$\tilde{\chi}_1^0 q q$	$\tilde{\chi}_2^0 q q$	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} q q$
BR(%)	100	100	50	50	100	33	67	50.5	16.5	33.0



Let  $n_{s/b}^{(i)}$  and  $\sigma_{s/b}^{(i)}$  be the number of expected events and the systematic error for signal/background in the signal region *i*, respectively. The number of expected events can then be written as

$$\lambda^{(i)}(\delta_b, \delta_s) = n_b^{(i)}(1 + \delta_b \sigma_b^{(i)}) + n_s^{(i)}(1 + \delta_s \sigma_s^{(i)}), \qquad (5.1)$$

where  $\delta_b$  and  $\delta_s$  are nuisance parameters, which parametrise the actual size of the systematic errors. Assuming that the number of observed events follows Poisson distribution and systematic errors have Gaussian probability distribution, the probability of observing nevents is given by

$$P(n, n_b^{(i)}, n_s^{(i)}) = \int_{-1/\sigma_s^{(i)}}^{\infty} d\delta_s \int_{-1/\sigma_b^{(i)}}^{\infty} d\delta_b \frac{e^{-\lambda^{(i)}} (\lambda^{(i)})^n}{n!} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\delta_s^2 + \delta_b^2)}.$$
 (5.2)

Note that the lower limits on the integration ranges are set to assure that the number of signal and background events are positive. If an experiment observes  $n_o^{(i)}$  events, the *p*-value for the signal plus background hypothesis and that for the background only hypothesis are obtained as

$$p_{s+b}(n_o^{(i)}) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_o^{(i)}} P(n, n_b^{(i)}, n_s^{(i)}) \quad \text{and} \quad p_b(n_o^{(i)}) = \sum_{n=n_o^{(i)}}^{\infty} P(n, n_b^{(i)}, 0), \quad (5.3)$$

respectively. Finally, the  $CL_s$  variable is defined as

$$CL_s = \frac{p_{s+b}}{1 - p_b} \,. \tag{5.4}$$

#### Is light SUSY possible?



- Loh.

imit on

signal region	2OS	2SS	3LEP	4LEP
N(lep)	= 2 (OS)	= 2 (SS)	= 3	>= 4
leading $e(\mu) p_T$ (GeV)	> 20 (25)	> 20 (25)	> 20 (25)	> 20 (25)
$E_T^{miss}$ (GeV)	> 250	> 100	> 50	> 50
dilpton mass (GeV)	> 12	> 12	> 20	> 20
luminosity (fb <sup>-1</sup> )	1.04	1.04	2.06	2.06



signal region	205	2SS	3LEP	4LEP
N(lep)	= 2 (OS)	= 2 (SS)	= 3	>= 4
leading e (mu) p <sub>T</sub> (GeV)	> 20 (25)	> 20 (25)	> 20 (25)	> 20 (25)
dilpton mass (GeV)	> 12	> 12	> 20	> 20
luminosity (fb <sup>-1</sup> )	1.04	1.04	2.06	2.06

